

The Office of Management and Budget requires all Federal agencies to submit their budget requests 13 months before the beginning of each fiscal year. The Commission is formulating its budget request for fiscal year 1997, which begins on October 1, 1996.

For this reason, the Commission will conduct a public hearing on June 23, 1995, to receive comments from the public concerning its agenda and priorities for fiscal year 1997. The Commissioners desire to obtain the views of a wide range of interested persons including consumers; manufacturers, importers, distributors, and retailers of consumer products; members of the academic community; consumer advocates; and health and safety officers of state and local governments.

The Commission is charged by Congress with protection of the public from unreasonable risks of injury associated with consumer products. The Commission enforces and administers the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2051 *et seq.*); the Federal Hazardous Substances Act (15 U.S.C. 1261 *et seq.*); the Flammable Fabrics Act (15 U.S.C. 1191 *et seq.*); the Poison Prevention Packaging Act (15 U.S.C. 1471 *et seq.*); and the Refrigerator Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 1211 *et seq.*). Standards and regulations issued under provisions of those statutes are codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, title 16, chapter II.

While the Commission has broad jurisdiction over products used by consumers in or around their homes, in schools, in recreation, and other settings, its staff and budget are limited. Section 4(j) of the CPSA expresses Congressional direction to the Commission to establish an agenda for action each fiscal year and, if feasible, to select from that agenda some of those projects for priority attention.

When the Commission selects priorities, it does so in accordance with its policy statement governing establishment of priorities codified at 16 CFR 1009.8. That policy statement includes the following factors to be considered by the Commission when selecting its priorities:

- Frequency and severity of injuries.
- Causality of injuries.
- Chronic illness and future injuries.
- Costs and benefits of Commission action.
- Unforeseen nature of a risk of injury.
- Vulnerability of the population at risk.
- Probability of exposure to hazard.

The order of listing of these criteria does not indicate their relative importance.

Persons who desire to make oral presentations at the hearing on June 23, 1995, should call or write Rockelle Hammond, Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, D.C. 20207, telephone (301) 504-0800, telefax (301) 504-0127, not later than June 16, 1995.

Presentations should be limited to approximately ten minutes. Persons desiring to make presentations must submit the written text of their presentations to the Office of the Secretary not later than June 16, 1995. The Commission reserves the right to impose further time limitations on all presentations and further restrictions to avoid duplication of presentations. The hearing will be immediately after the completion of the Commission meeting which begins at 10 a.m. on June 23, 1995, and will conclude the same day.

Written comments on the Commission's agenda and priorities for fiscal year 1997, should be received in the Office of the Secretary not later than June 16, 1995.

Dated: May 30, 1995.

**Sadye E. Dunn,**

*Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.*

[FR Doc. 95-13598 Filed 6-2-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Public Information Collection Requirement Submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Review

**ACTION:** Notice.

The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

*Title; Applicable Form; and OMB Control Number:* Application for the U.S. Army ROTC 2 and 3 year Scholarship; ROTC Cadet Command Form 166-R; OMB Control Number 0702-0083.

*Type of Request:* Revision.  
*Number of Respondents:* 4,400.  
*Responses Per Respondent:* 1.  
*Annual Responses:* 4,400.  
*Average Burden Per Response:* 30 minutes.

*Annual Burden Hours:* 2,200.  
*Needs and Uses:* The application for a U.S. Army ROTC scholarship is one of the primary tools used in the selection

process for scholarship applicants. These scholarships provide highly qualified men and women with the opportunity to pursue a commission in the U.S. Army. The information collected hereby, provides the Department of the Army with the data necessary to evaluate and determine scholarship awards.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households.

*Frequency:* Annually.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Required to obtain or retain a benefit.

*OMB Desk Officer:* Mr. Edward C. Springer. Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Mr. Springer at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

*DOD Clearance Officer:* Mr. William Pearce. Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Pearce, WHS/DIOR, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302.

Dated: May 30, 1995.

**Patricial L. Toppings,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 95-13599 Filed 6-2-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

## Department of the Army

### Corps of Engineers

#### Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement, Improvements for Juvenile Salmon Migration, Lower Snake River

**AGENCY:** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare a draft EIS.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) intends to prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The EIS will investigate proposals for use of reservoir drawdown and surface-oriented bypass systems to improve juvenile salmon migration by decreasing water travel time through reservoirs and reducing stress associated with dam passage at the four lower Snake River dams and reservoirs. Alternatives will consider various designs for structural modifications and combination of the proposed modifications to these four dams.

Drawdown of the four lower Snake River reservoirs below minimum