

extension of the comment deadline from June 12 to June 30, 1995.

The Commission finds the DEP's request reasonable and will hereby extend the comment due date for the Sebago Lake Plan from June 12, 1995 to June 30, 1995.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-13473 Filed 6-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-303-000]

Williams Natural Gas Co.; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

May 26, 1995.

Take notice that on May 24, 1995, Williams Natural Gas Company (WNG) tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets:

Third Revised Sheet No. 1
Fourth Revised Sheet No. 2
First Revised Sheet No. 144
Third Revised Sheet No. 200
First Revised Sheet No. 201-203
Second Revised Sheet No. 204
Third Revised Sheet No. 205
Second Revised Sheet Nos. 206 and 207
First Revised Sheet Nos. 212-216 and 218-220
Second Revised Sheet No. 226
Third Revised Sheet Nos. 227 and 228
Second Revised Sheet No. 229
First Revised Sheet Nos. 231-234, 236, 238, 239 and 241
Second Revised Sheet No. 243
First Revised Sheet No. 249
Second Revised Sheet No. 250
First Revised Sheet No. 257-263
Second Revised Sheet No. 264
First Revised Sheet No. 265, 269, 403, 411, 419, 426, 432, 433, 438-442, 444, 449, 455, and 456
Original Sheet No. 456A
First Revised Sheet Nos. 458, 461, and 490

The proposed effective date of these tariff sheets is July 1, 1995.

WNG states that the purpose for the instant filing is to make general maintenance changes to WNG's FERC Gas Tariff, second Revised Volume No. 1. WNG has operated under Order No. 636 since October 1, 1993, when its restructuring in Docket No. RS92-12 was made effective. WNG has gained experience operating under Order No. 636, during this nineteen-month period, and has found minor changes that need to be made to its tariff.

WNG states that a copy of its filing was served on all jurisdictional customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before June 5, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-13477 Filed 6-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-4723-6]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared April 24, 1995 Through April 28, 1995 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 260-5076.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 1995 (72 FR 19047).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-K65169-CA Rating LO, Snowy Trail Off-Highway Vehicle Re-Route, Smith Fork Parcel of Los Padres National Forest, Approval and Implementation, Mount Pinos Ranger District, Ventura County, CA.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the action but did request that the final document discuss the applicability of the Clean Water Act's stormwater permitting provisions.

ERP No. D-AFS-K67029-AZ Rating Eu3, Carlota Open-Pit Copper Mine Project, Construction and Operation, Plan of Operations and COE Section 404 Permit, Tonto National Forest, Gila and Pinal Counties, AZ.

Summary: EPA identified potential adverse impacts that would be unsatisfactory from the standpoint of environmental quality. Specific

concerns relate to ground and surface water impacts. The proposal lacks an adequate alternative analysis and mitigation measures. EPA also expressed serious concerns regarding potential significant adverse effects on air quality and residential water supply wells in the project vicinity. EPA recommended that the document should be formally revised.

EPA No. D-FHW-J40135-MT Rating EC2, US 93 Highway Transportation Project, Improvements between Evaro and Polson, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Missoula and Lake Counties, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding air quality, water quality, and the preservation of wetlands and environmentally sensitive areas. An air quality conformity determination for PM-10 emissions, and a comprehensive wetlands mitigation plan are needed.

ERP No. DS-FHW-K50007-CA Rating EC2, Benicia-Martinez Bridge Project, Transportation Improvements, Updated Information, I-680 from CA-4 in Martinez to I-80 in Fairfield, I-80 from Red Top Road to CA-12 east in Fairfield, I-780 from the I-680 Interchange in Benicia to Lemon St. In Vallejo, Funding, US CGD Bridge and COE Section 10/404 Permits, Contra Costa and Solano Cos., CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding increased carbon monoxide and other air pollutant levels that would occur outside the limits of the bridge project. EPA also expressed concerns regarding wetlands, water quality and contaminated sediment. EPA requested that these issues be addressed and appropriate mitigation be implemented.

Dated: May 30, 1995.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 95-13538 Dated 6-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[ER-FRL-4723-5]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 260-5076 OR (202) 260-5075. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed May 22, 1995 Through May 26, 1995 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 950210, Draft EIS, GSA, CA, Fresno—United States Courthouse, Site Selection and Construction, City of Fresno, Fresno County, CA, Due: