

will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-13195 Filed 5-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. EC95-12-000, et al.]

**Century Power Corporation, et al.,
Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation
Filings**

May 23, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Century Power Corporation

[Docket No. EC95-12-000]

Take notice that on May 19, 1995, Century Power Corporation filed an application under § 203 of the Federal Power Act for an order authorizing it to terminate its status as a public utility under the Act as of the later of (1) January 2, 1996, or such other date as it sells its 8.2% ownership interest in San Juan Unit 3 and ceases making sales for resale of electric power, or (2) the expiration of appeal rights under the last of the final Commission orders in Docket Nos. ER79-97 or EL93-19, in which Century serves as a conduit to pay over to San Diego Gas & Electric Company any refunds received from Tucson Electric Power Company. Upon the later of these events, Century expects to no longer perform any function subject to the Commission's jurisdiction under the Act.

Comment date: June 8, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company

[Docket No. EC94-14-000]

Take notice that on May 9, 1995, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (Cleveland Electric) and The Toledo Edison Company (Toledo Edison) (together, the Applicants), pursuant to § 203 of the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. § 824b, and Part 33 of the Rules and Regulations of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission), tendered for filing an amendment to the application for an order from the Commission authorizing the merger of Toledo Edison into Cleveland Electric.

The Applicants are public utilities organized and existing under the laws of

the State of Ohio, and both Applicants are engaged in the business of supplying electric energy to wholesale and retail customers within the State of Ohio.

Cleveland Electric generates, transmits, distributes and sells electric energy to approximately 748,000 customers in Northeastern Ohio. Toledo Edison generates, transmits, distributes and sells electric energy to approximately 285,000 customers in Northwestern Ohio. Cleveland Electric's and Toledo Edison's operations are subject to regulation by The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. Centerior Energy Corporation (Centerior), which is organized and existing under the laws of the State of Ohio, is the 100% owner of the common stock of both Cleveland Electric and Toledo Edison. Each of Cleveland Electric and Toledo Edison has outstanding serial preferred shares that are held by the public.

Under the terms and conditions of a definitive Agreement of Merger entered into by Cleveland Electric and Toledo Edison, 100% of the common shares of Toledo Edison will be converted into newly-issued common shares of Cleveland Electric, the Toledo Edison preferred shares will be exchanged for newly-issued preferred shares of Cleveland Electric, and any dissenting preferred shareholders of Toledo Edison will be paid cash for their shares upon exercise of applicable dissenters' rights. Upon the occurrence of these events, Toledo Edison will be merged into Cleveland Electric, and the separate corporate existence of Toledo Edison will cease. Cleveland Electric will, by operation of law, acquire title to and interest in all facilities of Toledo Edison that are currently under the jurisdiction of the Commission, and Cleveland Electric will operate such facilities without change.

Cleveland Electric and Toledo Edison believe that the proposed corporate reorganization is consistent with the public interest, and that it will be in the best interest of the customers, share owners and employees of both Applicants.

Comment date: June 8, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. Central Power and Light

[Docket No. ER95-853-000 Company]

Take notice that on May 16, 1995, Central Power and Light Company (CPL) tendered for filing an amendment to its Coordination Sales Tariff, filed March 31, 1995. Under the Coordination Sales Tariff, CPL will make Economy Energy, Short-Term Power and Energy, General Purpose Energy and Emergency Energy Service available to customers upon

mutual agreement. The amendment lowers the rate for purchase and resale transactions.

CPL has asked for an effective date of April 1, 1995. Copies of this filing were served on the Public Utility Commission of Texas and all customers presently established under the Tariff. Copies are also available for public inspection at CPL's offices in Corpus Christi, Texas.

Comment date: June 6, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-13225 Filed 5-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. ER95-595-000, et al.]

**Kentucky Utilities Company, et al.,
Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation
Filings**

May 24, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Kentucky Utilities Company

[Docket No. ER95-595-000]

Take notice that on May 12, 1995, Kentucky Utilities Company (KU) filed an Amendment to the modified Letter Agreement between KU and Wabash Valley Power Association, Inc. (Wabash Valley).

Comment date: June 7, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. Northeast Utilities Service Company

[Docket No. ER95-781-000 Company]

Take notice that on May 1, 1995, Northeast Utilities Service Company (NUSCO) submitted for filing, on behalf of the Northeast Utilities (NU) System

Companies, supplemental information relating to the Fourth Amendment to a System Power Sales Agreement between NUSCO and Bozrah Light and Power Company (BL&P). NUSCO renews its request that the Agreement be permitted to become effective April 1, 1995.

Comment date: June 7, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-1030-000]

Take notice that on May 10, 1995, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (Con Edison), tendered for filing an agreement with Rainbow Energy Marketing Corporation, Inc. (REMC) to provide for the sale of energy and capacity. For energy sold by Con Edison the ceiling rate is 100 percent of the incremental energy cost plus up to 10 percent of the SIC (where such 10 percent is limited to 1 mill per MWhr when the SIC in the hour reflects a purchased power resource). The ceiling rate for capacity sold by Con Edison is \$7.70 per megawatt hour. All energy and capacity sold by REMC will be at market-based rates.

Con Edison states that a copy of this filing has been served by mail upon REMC.

Comment date: June 7, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Boston Edison Company

[Docket No. ER95-1031-000]

Take notice that on May 10, 1995, Boston Edison Company (Edison) tendered for filing for informational purposes a letter agreement dated March 20, 1995, with Wellesley Municipal Light Plant (WMLP) implementing the terms and conditions of Exhibit C, Section V, of the October 26, 1992 Agreement between Edison and WMLP, which was approved by the Commission in Docket Nos. ER86-562-000, ER87-122-000 and ER91-149-000.

Edison states that it has served a copy of this filing on WMLP and the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities.

Comment date: June 7, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. Wisconsin Public Service Corporation

[Docket No. ER95-1032-000]

Take notice that on May 10, 1995, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation tendered for filing an executed service agreement with Enron Power Marketing, Inc. under its CS-1 Coordination Sales Tariff.

Comment date: June 7, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Minnesota Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER95-1033-000]

Take notice that on May 11, 1995, Minnesota Power & Light Company tendered for filing signed Service Agreements with CENERGY, Rainbow Energy Marketing Corporation and Heartland Energy Services Inc. under its Wholesale Coordination Sales Tariff to satisfy its filing requirements under this tariff.

Comment date: June 7, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. Nevada Power Company

[Docket No. ER95-1035-000]

Take notice that on May 11, 1995, Nevada Power Company (Nevada Power) tendered for filing the proposed Power Sale Agreement (Agreement) between Nevada Power and the Colorado River Commission (CRC) having a proposed effective date of June 1, 1995.

The Agreement proposes that Nevada Power will make available to the CRC, when pre-scheduled by the CRC, up to 15 MW of on-peak firm capacity and energy during the summer season (May through September) and up to 45 MW during the non-summer season. Nevada Power will make available to the CRC up to 100 MW of firm capacity and energy off-peak year round. An annual minimum energy scheduled of 50,000 Mwh on-peak and 85,000 Mwh off-peak will be required. The term of the Agreement is from June 1, 1995 through May 31, 1996. The rate for sales under the Agreement contains a Capacity Charge component and an Energy Charge component.

Copies of this filing have been served on the CRC and the Nevada Public Service Commission.

Comment date: June 7, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

8. New England Power Company

[Docket No. ER95-1040-000]

Take notice that on May 12, 1995, New England Power Company filed Service Agreements and Certificates of Concurrence with three power marketers under NEP's FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 5.

Comment date: June 7, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. Pacific Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER95-1041-000]

Take notice that on May 12, 1995, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), Southern California Edison Company (Edison), and San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) (collectively the California Companies), tendered for filing Rate Schedule changes to: (1) Amendment No. 1 to the July 31, 1967 contract between the California Companies and Western, Central Valley Project, California, for Extra High Voltage Transmission and Exchange Service (Contract No. 2947A), and (2) Ruling No. 44, Revision 2 as an addendum to the August 25, 1966 California Companies Pacific Intertie Agreement (CCPIA).

Amendment No. 1 to Contract No. 2947A and Ruling No. 44, Revision 2 to the CPPIA change certain of the transmission loss factors used under Contract No. 2947A and the CCPIA, in order to be similar to those established in the Coordinated Operations Agreement previously submitted to FERC and designated PG&E Rate Schedule FERC No. 146, Edison Rate Schedule FERC No. 270 and SDG&E Rate Schedule FERC No. 78.

Copies of this filing have been served upon the parties on the service list and the California Public Utilities Commission.

Comment date: June 7, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

10. System Energy Resources, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-1042-000]

Take notice that on May 12, 1995, Entergy Services, Inc. (ESI), tendered for filing on behalf of System Energy Resources, Inc. (SERI) amendments to SERI Rate Schedule No. 2 (the Unit Power Sale Agreement and related Billing Format) applicable to Arkansas Power & Light Company, Louisiana Power & Light Company, Mississippi Power & Light Company, and New Orleans Public Service Inc. The proposed changes would increase revenue from jurisdictional sales and service by approximately \$65,537,000 based on the 12-month period ending December 31, 1994.

The proposed changes will, among other things, increase the rate of return on equity, increase the revenue requirement associated with decommissioning costs, and increase the depreciation accrual rate. ESI requests that the proposed changes become effective 50 days after the filing date, but be suspended until September 1, 1995.

Copies of the filing were served upon the appropriate state and local regulators in Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Tennessee.

Comment date: June 7, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs:

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-13226 Filed 5-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP95-327-000]

Transwestern Pipeline Company; Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Assessment for Transwestern Pipeline Company's Proposed Rio Grande River Crossing Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues

May 24, 1995

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) will prepare an environmental assessment (EA) that will discuss environmental impacts of the construction and operation of the facilities proposed in the Rio Grande River Crossing Project. This EA will be used by the Commission in its decision-making process to determine whether an environmental impact statement is necessary and whether to approve the project.¹

Summary of the Proposed Project

Transwestern Pipeline Company (Transwestern) proposes to construct and operate approximately 3,200 feet of

30-inch-diameter pipeline under the Rio Grande River in Valencia County, New Mexico. The proposed pipeline segment would replace one of Transwestern's two 30-inch-diameter pipelines that originally crossed over the Rio Grande River on a steel structure pipeline bridge. On August 20, 1994, an explosion ruptured one of these two pipelines and the bridge were replaced under § 2.55 of the Commission's Regulations but the second pipeline was not replaced at that time.

The proposed segment would be installed from an existing block valve on Transwestern's existing pipeline located about 1,100 feet southeast of the Upper San Juan Riverside Drain. It would cross the Upper San Juan Riverside Drain, the Rio Grande River, and the Upper Sabinal Riverside Drain, and end at another existing block valve located about 350 feet northwest of the Upper Sabinal Riverside Drain.

The general location of the project facilities is shown in appendix 1.²

Land Requirements for Construction

Transwestern proposes to use an existing 150-foot-wide pipeline corridor for construction but may require up to a 250-foot-wide construction work space. Based on a 150-foot-wide construction work space, about 11 acres of land would be affected by construction.

The EA Process

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires the Commission to take into account the environmental impacts that could result from an action whenever it considers the issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. NEPA also requires us to discover and address concerns the public may have about proposals. We call this "scoping". The main goal of the scoping process is to focus the analysis in the EA on the important environmental issues. By this Notice of Intent, the Commission requests public comments on the scope of the issues it will address in the EA. All comments received are taken into account during the preparation of the EA. State and local government representatives are encouraged to notify their constituents of this proposed action and encourage them to comment on their areas of concern.

²The appendices referenced in this notice are not being printed in the **Federal Register**. Copies are available from the Commission's Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, Room 3104, 941 North Capitol Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20426, or call (202) 208-1371. Copies of the appendices were sent to all those receiving this notice in the mail.

The EA will discuss impacts that could occur as a result of the construction and operation of the proposed project. These impacts may include, but are not limited to:

- Geology and soils.
- Water resources, fisheries, and wetlands.
- Vegetation and wildlife.
- Endangered and threatened species.
- Land use.
- Cultural resources.
- Public safety.

We will also evaluate possible alternatives to the proposed project or portions of the project, and make recommendations on how to lessen or avoid impacts on the various resource areas.

Our independent analysis of the issues will be in the EA. Depending on the comments received during the scoping process, the EA may be published and mailed to Federal, state, and local agencies, public interest groups, interested individuals, affected landowners, newspapers, libraries, and the Commission's official service list for this proceeding. A comment period will be allotted for review if the EA is published. We will consider all comments on the EA before we recommend that the Commission approve or not approve the project.

Currently Identified Environmental Issues

We have already identified three issues that we think deserve attention based on a preliminary review of the proposed facilities and the environmental information provided by Transwestern. Keep in mind that this is a preliminary list. The list of issues may be added to, subtracted from, or changed based on your comments and our analysis. Issues are:

- The proposed project would cross three waterbodies, the Upper Sabinal Riverside Drain, the Rio Grande River and the Upper San Juan Riverside Drain.
- Two federally listed and two state endangered species may occur in the proposed project area.
- The proposed project would cross the Casa Colorado Waterfowl Management Area.

Public Participation

You can make a difference by sending a letter addressing your specific comments or concerns about the project. You should focus on the potential environmental effects of the proposal, alternatives to the proposal (including alternative routes), and measures to avoid or lessen environmental impact. The more specific your comments, the more useful they will be. Please follow

¹ Transwestern Pipeline Company's application was filed with the Commission pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's Regulations.