

programs, policies, and plans for the Center for Legislative Archives in the Office of Special and Regional Archives.

DATES: June 19, 1995, from 9 a.m. to 10:30 a.m.

ADDRESSES: United States Capitol Building, LBJ Room (S-211).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael L. Gillette, Director, Center for Legislative Archives, (202) 501-5350.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Agenda

Review of Committee Activities
Five-Year Plan for the Management and Preservation of the Records of Congress

Update—Legislative Support Agency Task Force Online Access to Legislative Records

Videotaped Floor Proceedings

Other current issues and new business

The meeting is open to the public.

Dated: May 19, 1995.

Ralph C. Bledsoe,

Acting Archivist of the United States.

[FR Doc. 95-13280 Filed 5-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-499]

Houston Lighting & Power Company City Public Service Board of San Antonio Central Power and Light Company City of Austin, Texas; South Texas Project, Unit 2 Environmental Assessment And Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from Facility Operating License No. NPF-80, issued to Houston Lighting & Power Company (HL&P) acting on behalf of itself and for the City Public Service Board of San Antonio (CPS), Central Power and Light Company (CPL), and City of Austin, Texas (COA) (the licensees), for operation of the South Texas Project, Unit 2, located in Matagorda County, Texas.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Acting

The proposed action would grant an exemption from a requirement of Section III.D.1.(a) of appendix J to 10 CFR part 50, which requires a set of three type A tests (Containment Integrated Leak Rate Test or CILRT) be performed, at approximately equal intervals during each 10-year service

period. This licensee request for an exemption would delay the next scheduled containment integrated leak rate test for one outage, from the fourth refueling outage to the fifth refueling outage.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for exemption dated March 16, 1995.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed because the licensee's current schedule would require the second CILRT to be performed during the fourth refueling outage (Fall 1995). Minimal safety benefit would be realized by performing the scheduled CILRT, since the majority of primary containment leakage has previously been identified through the biennial performance of the Local Leak Rate Test (LLRT). Without this exemption, the licensee would not be allowed to reduce a regulatory burden that has minimal impact on safety.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the exemption would not significantly increase the probability or amount of expected containment leakage, and that containment integrity would thus be maintained.

The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no

change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the "Final Environmental Statement related to the operation of South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2," dated August 1986.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on April 25, 1995, the staff consulted with the Texas State official, Arthur C. Tate of the Bureau of Radiation Control, Texas Department of Health, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated March 16, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Wharton County Junior College, J.M. Hodges Learning Center, 911 Boling Highway, Wharton, TX 77488.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 23rd day of May 1995.

For The Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

George Kalman,

Project Manager, Project Directorate IV-1, Division of Reactor Projects—III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-13206 Filed 5-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application To Withdraw From Listing and Registration; (Pure Tech International, Inc., Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value) File No. 1-11025

May 24, 1995.

Pure Tech International, Inc. ("Company") has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 12(d) of the Securities and

Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") and Rule 12d2-2(d) promulgated thereunder, to withdraw the above specified security ("Security") from listing and registration on the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc. ("BSE").

The reasons alleged in the application for withdrawing the Security from listing and registration include the following:

According to the Company, it is voluntarily requesting that the Security be delisted from the BSE due to low trading volumes.

Any interested person may, on or before June 15, 1995 submit by letter to the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549, facts bearing upon whether the application has been made in accordance with the rules of the exchanges and what terms, if any, should be imposed by the Commission for the protection of investors. The Commission, based on the information submitted to it, will issue an order granting the application after the date mentioned above, unless the Commission determines to order a hearing on the matter.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

Jonathan G. Katz,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-13216 Filed 5-30-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[Release Nos. 33-7172; 34-37560; File No. 265-20]

Advisory Committee on the Capital Formation and Regulatory Processes

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: This is to give notice that the Securities and Exchange Commission Advisory Committee on the Capital Formation and Regulatory Processes will meet on June 15, 1995 in room 1C30 at the Commission's main offices, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., beginning at 2:00 p.m. The meeting will be open to the public, and the public is invited to submit written comments to the Committee.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be submitted in triplicate and should refer to File No. 265-20. Comments should be submitted to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David A. Sirignano, Committee Staff Director, at 202-942-2870; Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with section 10(a) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. 10a, notice is hereby given that the Committee will meet on June 15, 1995 in room 1C30 at the Commission's main offices, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., beginning at 2:00 p.m. The meeting will be open to the public.

The Committee was formed in February 1995, and its responsibilities include advising the Commission regarding the informational needs of investors and the regulatory costs imposed on the U.S. securities markets.

The purpose of this meeting will be to discuss the progress of the Committee's work, begin evaluation of possible alternative approaches to the capital formation and regulatory processes, as well as to discuss general organizational matters.

Dated: May 24, 1995.

Jonathan G. Katz,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-13217 Filed 5-30-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[Release No. 34-35759; File No. SR-CBOE-95-22]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change by the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc., Relating to Members' Compliance With Position and Exercise Limits for Non-CBOE Listed Options

May 24, 1995.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on April 20, 1995, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. ("CBOE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The CBOE proposes to amend CBOE Rules 4.11, "Position Limits," and 4.12, "Exercise Limits," to require CBOE members who trade on-CBOE listed option contracts and who are not

members of the exchange where the options are traded to comply with the option position and exercise limits set by the exchange where the transactions are effected.¹ In addition, the CBOE proposes to amend the text of CBOE Rule 4.12 to replace references to the Exchange's previous equity option position limits with references to the Exchange's current equity position limits, which were excluded inadvertently from the text of CBOE Rule 4.12 when the equity option position limits were increased in December 1993.² Finally, the CBOE proposes to amend CBOE Rules 4.11 and 4.12 to indicate that the Exchange's position and exercise limits are now established by the staff of the CBOE, rather than by the CBOE's Board of Directors ("Board").

The text of the proposal is available at the Office of the Secretary, CBOE, and at the Commission.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

According to the CBOE, one purpose of the proposal is to eliminate a jurisdictional loophole whereby a CBOE member, who exceeds position or exercise limits on another options exchange in an option class not listed on the CBOE and who is not a member of the other exchange, falls outside of both the CBOE's and the other options

¹ Position limits impose a ceiling on the number of option contracts in each class on the same side of the market *i.e.*, aggregating long calls and short puts or long puts and short calls) that can be held or written by an investor or group of investors acting in concert. Exercise limits prohibit an investor or group of investors acting in concert from exercising more than a specified number of puts or calls in a particular class within five consecutive business days.

² See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 33283 (December 3, 1993), 58 FR 65204 (December 13, 1993) (order approving File No. SR-CBOE-93-43) ("Position Limit Approval Order").