

be reviewed and modified as part of the maintenance plan."

Response to CACI Comment 7: The reader is referred to EPA's responses to CACI's Comment 1 and CPA's Comment 2. In addition, EPA notes that it does not have the discretion to unilaterally withhold action on the submittals of the 1989 and 1990 Reg. 7 revisions until the State submits its redesignation request and maintenance plan. EPA will work with the State in developing its redesignation request and maintenance plan, if so requested, to determine if any modifications to Reg. 7 are legally supported.

Final Action

EPA is approving Colorado's Ozone SIP revisions, submitted by the Governor on September 27, 1989, and August 30, 1990. These revisions consist of amendments to Reg. 7.

Nothing in this action should be construed as permitting or allowing or establishing a precedent for any future request for revision to any State Implementation Plan. Each request for revision to any State Implementation Plan shall be considered separately in light of specific technical, economic, and environmental factors and in relation to relevant statutory and regulatory requirements.

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 600 *et seq.*, EPA must prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis assessing the impact of any proposed or final rule on small entities. 5 U.S.C. 603 and 604. Alternatively, EPA may certify that the rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and government entities with jurisdiction over populations of less than 50,000.

SIP approvals under section 110 and Subchapter I, Part D of the CAA do not create any new requirements, but simply approve requirements that the State is already imposing. Therefore, because the federal SIP-approval does not impose any new requirements, I certify that it does not have significant impact on any small entities affected. Moreover, due to the nature of the federal-state relationship under the CAA, preparation of a regulatory flexibility analysis would constitute federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of state action. The CAA forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. *Union Electric Co. v. U.S. E.P.A.*, 427 U.S. 246, 256-66 (S.Ct. 1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2).

This action has been classified as a Table 2 action by the Regional

Administrator under the procedures published in the **Federal Register** on January 19, 1989 (54 FR 2214-2225), as revised by an October 4, 1993, memorandum from Michael H. Shapiro, Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation. The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this regulatory action from Executive Order 12866 review.

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by July 31, 1995. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements (see section 307(b)(2)).

Approval of this specific revision to the SIP does not indicate EPA approval of the SIP in its entirety.

Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of Section 6 of Executive Order 12866.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Note: Incorporation by reference of the State Implementation Plan for the State of Colorado was approved by the Director of the Federal Register on July 1, 1980.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: April 19, 1995.

William P. Yellowtail,
Regional Administrator.

40 CFR part 52, subpart G, is amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Subpart G—Colorado

2. Section 52.320 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(70) to read as follows:

§ 52.320 Identification of plan.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(70) Revisions to the Colorado State Implementation Plan were submitted by the Governor on September 27, 1989, and August 30, 1990. The revisions consist of amendments to the Ozone provisions in Regulation No. 7, "Regulation To Control Emissions of Volatile Organic Compounds."

(i) Incorporation by reference.

(A) Revisions to Regulation No. 7, Sections 7.I (Applicability), 7.II (General Provisions), 7.III (General Requirements for Storage and Transfer of Volatile Organic Compounds), 7.IV (Storage of Highly Volatile Organic Compounds), 7.V (Disposal of Volatile Organic Compounds), 7.VI (Storage and Transfer of Petroleum Liquid), 7.VIII (Petroleum Processing and Refining), 7.IX (Surface Coating Operations), 7.X (Use of Solvents for Degreasing and Cleaning), 7.XI (Use of Cutback Asphalt), 7.XII (Control of VOC Emissions from Dry Cleaning Facilities Using Perchloroethylene as a Solvent), 7.XIII (Graphic Arts), 7.XIV (Pharmaceutical Synthesis), 7.XV (Control of Volatile Organic Compound Leaks from Vapor Collection Systems Located at Gasoline Terminals, Bulk Plants, and Gasoline Dispensing Facilities), and Appendices A (Criteria for Control of Vapors from Gasoline Transfer to Storage Tanks), B (Criteria for Control of Vapors from Gasoline Transfer at Bulk Plants-Vapor Balance System), and D (Test Procedures for Annual Pressure/Vacuum Testing of Gasoline Transport Trucks). The following new emission sources and appendices were added to Regulation No. 7: 7.IX.A.7 (Fugitive Emission Control), 7.IX.N. (Flat Wood Paneling Coating), 7.IX.O. (Manufacture of Pneumatic Rubber Tires), and Appendix E (Emission Limit Conversion Procedure). These revisions became effective on October 30, 1989, and August 30, 1990.

(ii) Additional material.

(A) February 5, 1992, letter from John Leary, Acting Director, Colorado Air Pollution Control Division, to Douglas Skie, EPA. This letter contained the State's commitment to conduct capture efficiency testing using the most recent EPA capture efficiency protocols, and the commitment to adopt federal capture efficiency test methods after they are officially promulgated by EPA.

[FR Doc. 95-13118 Filed 5-26-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P