

APPENDIX A.—COMPARISON OF EXISTING AND REVISED FEE AMOUNTS—Continued

37 CFR Sec.	Description	Pre-Oct 1995	Oct 1995
2.6(a)(8)	Issuing New Certificate of Registration	100	(1)
2.6(a)(9)	Certificate of Correction of Registrant's Error	100	(1)
2.6(a)(10)	Filing Disclaimer to Registration	100	(1)
2.6(a)(11)	Filing Amendment to Registration	100	(1)
2.6(a)(12)	Filing Affidavit Under Section 8, Per Class	100	(1)
2.6(a)(13)	Filing Affidavit Under Section 15, Per Class	100	(1)
2.6(a)(14)	Filing Affidavit Under Sections 8 & 15, Per Class	200	(1)
2.6(a)(15)	Petitions to the Commissioner	100	(1)
2.6(a)(16)	Petition to Cancel, Per Class	200	(1)
2.6(a)(17)	Notice of Opposition, Per Class	200	(1)
2.6(a)(18)	Ex Parte Appeal to the TTAB, Per Class	100	(1)
2.6(a)(19)	Dividing an Application, Per New Application Created	100	(1)
2.6(b)(1)(i)	Copy of Registered Mark	3	(1)
2.6(b)(1)(ii)	Copy of Registered Mark, overnight delivery to PTO box or fax	6	(1)
2.6(b)(1)(iii)	Copy of Reg. Mark Ordered Via Exp. Mail or Fax, Exp. Svc	25	(1)
2.6(b)(2)(i)	Certified Copy of TM Application as Filed	12	15
2.6(b)(2)(ii)	Certified Copy of TM Application as Filed, Expedited	24	30
2.6(b)(3)	Cert. or Uncert. Copy of TM-Related File Wrapper/Contents	50	(1)
2.6(b)(4)(i)	Cert. Copy of Registered Mark, Title or Status	10	(1)
2.6(b)(4)(ii)	Cert. Copy of Registered Mark, Title or Status—Expedited	20	(1)
2.6(b)(5)	Certified or Uncertified Copy of TM Records	25	(1)
2.6(b)(6)	Recording Trademark Property, Per Mark, Per Document	40	(1)
2.6(b)(6)	For Second and Subsequent Marks in Same Document	25	(1)
2.6(b)(7)	For Assignment Records, Abstracts of Title and Cert	25	(1)
2.6(b)(8)	Terminal Use X-SEARCH	40	(1)
2.6(b)(9)	Self-Service Copy Charge	0.25	(1)
2.6(b)(10)	Labor Charges for Services	30	(1)
2.6(b)(11)	Unspecified Other Services	(2)	(1)

¹ These fees are not affected by this rulemaking.
² Actual cost.

[FR Doc. 95-12751 Filed 5-25-95; 8:45 am]
 BILLING CODE 3510-16-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5212-3]

40 CFR Parts 51, 52, 60, 61, and 64

Enhanced Monitoring Rule

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed rule; notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that EPA will hold a public meeting on May 31, 1995 to discuss EPA's proposed enhanced monitoring rule and potential approaches to restructuring this rulemaking. On October 22, 1993 (58 FR 54648), EPA published a notice of proposed rulemaking that contained proposed rules to govern a new enhanced monitoring program under section 114(a)(3) and related provisions of the Clean Air Act. 58 FR 54648. In light of the President's concerns regarding flexibility and cost-effectiveness, EPA believes that it may be inappropriate to take final action on the rule as proposed. Moreover, EPA believes that it can develop a more cost-

effective method of enhanced monitoring that will also meet the statutory requirement of section 114(a)(3). The Agency will use this meeting to obtain the views of interested parties before taking further action in connection with this rulemaking.

DATES: This public meeting will be held on May 31, 1995 from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. at the address set forth below.

ADDRESSES: *Meeting location:* The public meeting will be held at the DuPont Plaza Hotel, 1550 New Hampshire Avenue, Washington, DC 20036, telephone 202-483-6000. *Supporting Documents:* Documents related to discussions will be available at the meeting and in the docket discussed below. Subsequent to the meeting, these documents and a summary of the meeting will be available on the Technology Transfer Network, Emission Measurement Technical Information Center Electronic Bulletin Board, telephone 919-541-5742, Internet address TELNET ttnbbs.rtpnc.epa.gov.

Docket: The Agency has established EPA Air Docket A-91-52 for this rulemaking. This docket is available for public inspection and copying between 8:00 a.m. and 5:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Government holidays, and is located at EPA Air Docket (LE-131), Room M-1500,

Waterside Mall, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robin Segall, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, 919-541-0893.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 1, 1995, EPA received a 60-day extension of the court-ordered deadline in *Sierra Club v. Browner*, No. 93-0564 NHJ (D.D.C.), for final promulgation of enhanced monitoring rules in order for the Agency to reassess the approach it has developed and to consider other, alternative approaches. EPA advised the court that during this 60-day period, EPA will determine the best means to accomplish the substantive goals of the enhanced monitoring requirements of the Clean Air Act in a cost-effective manner. EPA also advised the court that it anticipates that it will need a substantially longer extension beyond June 30, 1995, in order to promulgate rules embodying a new approach to enhanced monitoring.

The Agency plans to take a fresh look at enhanced monitoring in light of the President's reform efforts to design performance-based environmental programs that provide industry with the flexibility to comply in cost-effective ways, while requiring accountability for achieving results. EPA had prepared a draft notice of final rulemaking based

upon the proposed enhanced monitoring rule and submitted it to the Office of Management and Budget for interagency review under Executive Order 12866. However, in order to provide an opportunity to reevaluate this rulemaking, on April 4, 1995, the Environmental Protection Agency withdrew the draft final enhanced monitoring rule from further review by the Office of Management and Budget. In addition, the Agency has withdrawn 13 proposed example enhanced monitoring protocols that had been placed upon the Technology Transfer Network in anticipation of promulgation of final enhanced monitoring rules, in order to avoid confusion.

One of the first steps the Agency is taking in considering a possible restructured rule is to hold the public meeting on May 31, 1995. At this meeting the Agency will continue to work with representatives from industry, State and local agencies, and environmental groups in developing a rule that meets the objectives of the President's Environmental Regulation Reinvention effort. The meeting will include a number of representative stakeholders that will sit at the main meeting table by invitation. The number of stakeholders who will sit at the table will be limited to 40; the Agency has invited a broad representation of industry, State and local agencies, and environmental organizations to sit at the table. Additional seating at the meeting will be on a first come, first served basis. It is important to note that the Agency is seeking the opinions of all individuals/organizations present and *not* seeking consensus. There will be opportunities for all parties present to offer their views.

The purpose of the meeting will be to explain the Agency's underlying principles and to solicit opinions from stakeholders for formulation of new approaches to enhanced monitoring rules. One approach being considered would be to issue a revised proposed rule in the form of a Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM) Rule that would focus on improving current operation and maintenance (O&M) monitoring requirements. An enhanced O&M monitoring protocol would require that a source owner document operation and maintenance of a control device or process operation in accordance with established, reliable operating and maintenance practices and implement any necessary corrective action to ensure that emissions have been reduced. The Agency is also considering combining the periodic monitoring requirements in 40 CFR part 70 with this CAM rule so that all compliance-

related monitoring requirements would be integrated in one set of requirements. To facilitate that approach, EPA also will consider the option of using any proposed CAM rule (or publicly released draft of the rule) as interim Agency guidance for implementation of the current periodic monitoring provisions of part 70. EPA will also consider other approaches as part of this review.

Dated: May 22, 1995.

Mary D. Nichols,

Assistant Administrator, Office of Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 95-13137 Filed 5-25-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

40 CFR Part 52

[DC15-1-6358b; FRL-5178-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; for the District of Columbia—Emission Statement Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the District of Columbia for the purpose of establishing an emission statement program for stationary sources of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and/or nitrogen oxides (NOx). In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the District's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing by June 26, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to Thomas J. Maslany, Director, Air, Radiation, and Toxics Division (3AT00), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building,

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air, Radiation, and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107; and the District of Columbia Department of the Consumer and Regulatory Affairs, 2100 Martin Luther King Avenue SE., Washington, D.C. 20020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Enid A. Gerena, (3AT14), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Air, Radiation, and Toxics Division, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 19107, (215) 597-8239.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the direct final action of the same title which is located in the rules and regulations section of this **Federal Register**.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Oxides of nitrogen, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: January 25, 1995.

Peter H. Kostmayer,

Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 95-12926 Filed 5-25-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[ID12-1-6992b; FRL-5206-7]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans: Idaho

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Idaho on April 14, 1992 for the City of Pinehurst PM-10 nonattainment area (59 FR 43745 (August 25, 1994)) as satisfying certain PM-10 planning requirements for the area just outside the City of Pinehurst which was designated nonattainment in January 1994. In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct