

Comment date: June 2, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

28. Louisville Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER95-1020-000]

Take notice that on May 8, 1995, Louisville Gas and Electric Company, tendered for filing a copy of a service agreement between Louisville Gas and Electric Company and ENRON Power Marketing, Inc., under Rate GSS.

Comment date: June 2, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

29. Energy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-1021-000]

Take notice that on May 8, 1995, Energy Services, Inc. (ESI), tendered for filing Electric Service Rate Schedule No. 1, together with a petition for waivers and blanket approvals of various Commission Regulations necessary for such Rate Schedule to become effective 60 days after the date of the filing.

ESI states that it intends to engage in electric power and energy transactions as a marketer and a broker, and that it proposes to make sales under rates, terms and conditions to be mutually agreed to with the purchasing party. ESI further states that it does not own any generation or transmission facilities.

Comment date: June 2, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

30. Texas-New Mexico Power Company

[Docket No. ES95-32-000]

Take notice that on May 12, 1995, Texas-New Mexico Power Company filed an application under § 204 of the Federal Power Act seeking authorization to issue short-term promissory notes and other evidence of indebtedness aggregating not more than \$25 million principal amount outstanding at any one time, during the period ending June 1, 1997, with final maturities not later than June 1, 1998.

Comment date: June 12, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

31. Energy Resource Marketing, Inc.

[Docket No. ER94-1580-002]

Take notice that on May 15, 1995, Energy Resource Marketing, Inc. tendered for filing certain information as required by the Commission's order dated September 30, 1994. Copies of the informational filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-12921 Filed 5-25-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Project No. 11077-001]

Alaska Power and Telephone Company; Notice of Intent to Conduct Environmental Scoping Meetings and Site Visit

May 23, 1995.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) has received an application for a license of the proposed Goat Lake Hydroelectric Project, Project No. 11077-001. The project is proposed by Alaska Power and Telephone Company (Alaska Power). The project would be located along Pitchfork Falls, a tributary to the Skagway River, about 7 miles northeast of Skagway, in southeast Alaska. The project lies almost exclusively on U.S. Forest (FS) property.

The FERC and FS (staff) intend to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) on the proposed Goat Lake Hydroelectric Project in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act. In the EA, staff will consider both site-specific and cumulative environmental impacts of the project and reasonable alternatives, and will include an economic, financial, and engineering analysis.

The draft EA will be issued and circulated for review by all interested parties. All comments filed on the draft EA will be analyzed and considered by the staff in a final EA. The staff's conclusions and recommendations will then be presented for the consideration by the Commission in reaching its final licensing decision.

Scoping Meetings

Staff will hold two scoping meetings. A scoping meeting oriented towards the public will be held on June 20, 1995 at 7 p.m., at the Skagway School, Multipurpose Room, 15th Avenue and Main Street, Skagway, Alaska. A scoping meeting oriented towards the agencies will be held on June 22, 1995 at 9:30 a.m., at the U.S. Forest Service, Juneau Ranger District, Conference Room, 8465 Old Dairy Road, Juneau, Alaska.

Interested individuals, organizations, and agencies are invited to attend either or both meetings and assist the staff in identifying the scope of environmental issues that should be analyzed in the EA.

To help focus discussions at the meetings, a scoping document outlining subject areas to be addressed in the EA will be mailed to agencies and interested individuals on the FERC mailing list. Copies of the scoping document will also be available at the scoping meetings.

Objectives

At the scoping meetings the staff will:

- (1) Identify preliminary environmental issues related to the proposed project;
- (2) identify preliminary resource issues that are not important and do not require detailed analysis;
- (3) identify reasonable alternatives to be addressed in the EA;
- (4) solicit from the meeting participants all available information, especially quantified data, on the resource issues; and
- (5) encourage statements from experts and the public on issues that should be analyzed in the EA, including points of view in opposition to, or in support of, the staff's preliminary views.

Procedures

The scoping meetings will be recorded by a court reporter and all statements (oral and written) will become part of the formal record of the FERC proceedings on the Goat Lake Hydroelectric Project. Individuals presenting statements at the meetings will be asked to clearly identify themselves for the record.

Individuals, organizations, and agencies with environmental expertise and concerns are encouraged to attend the meetings and assist the staff in defining and clarifying the issues to be addressed in the EA.

Persons choosing not to speak at the meetings, but who have views on the issues or information relevant to the issues, may submit written statements for inclusion in the public record at the meetings. In addition, written scoping

comments may be filed with the Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, until July 22, 1995.

All written correspondence should clearly show the following caption on the first page: Goat Lake Hydroelectric Project, FERC Project No. 11077-001.

Intervenors—those on the FERC's service list for this proceeding (parties)—are reminded of the FERC's Rules of Practice and Procedure, requiring parties filing documents with FERC, to serve a copy of the document on each person whose name appears on the official service list. Further, if a party or interceder files comments or documents with FERC relating to the merits of an issue that may affect the responsibilities of a particular resource agency, they must also serve a copy of the document on that resource agency.

Site Visit

A site visit to the proposed Goat Lake Hydroelectric Project is planned for Tuesday, June 20, 1995, and is intended to provide interested parties a first hand observation of the project site. Because of the remoteness and difficulty of ground access at the proposed project site, we intend to provide helicopter shuttle to the site. To plan on helicopter use in advance of the visit, we must identify the number of parties interested in attending the site visit. Therefore, if you have a particular interest in visiting the proposed project site and plan on participating in scoping of this project as identified in section 3 of the Scoping Document 1, you *must* first register with Mr. Stan Selmer at (907) 983-2202, no later than June 5, 1995. Mr. Selmer will serve as the principle site visit coordinator.

We will meet at Alaska Power's office at 5th and Spring Street in Skagway, Alaska at 7:00 a.m., and promptly leave for the upper project area near Goat Lake located about 8 miles away, via helicopter.¹ Those in attendance will then hike down Pitchfork Falls along the alignment of proposed project features. Around 1:00 p.m., the participants will be picked up around milepost 9 by a flag train on the White Pass and Yukon Route Railroad, and will travel northbound across White Pass to Frazer, Canada to give participants a scenic overview of the Klondike area around the proposed

¹ Because of the remote steep topography, shrub thickets, and abundant surface water in the project area, those attending the site visit should be physically fit and must wear appropriate clothing and footwear. In addition, those shuttled by helicopter to the project site not on official agency business, may need to sign a waiver of liability.

project. There will be a cost of approximately \$64.00 for the train ride to Frazer, and tickets would have to be purchased in advance. Vehicles will be parked at Frazer to provide transportation back to Skagway along the Klondike Highway.² Arrival in Skagway is expected around 4:00 p.m.³

In the event inclement weather precludes the site visit on June 20, an alternate site visit will be held on Wednesday, June 21, 1995, with the same itinerary.

Any questions regarding this notice may be directed to Mr. Carl Keller, FERC Environmental Coordinator, Washington, DC (202) 219-2831, or Ms. Margaret Beilharz, FS Project Manager at (907) 586-8800, Juneau, Alaska.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-13063 Filed 5-25-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-4723-4]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared April 17, 1995 through April 21, 1995 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 260-5076.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in the **Federal Register** dated April 14, 1995 (72 FR 19047).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-J61095-CO Rating EC2, Loveland Ski Area Master Development Plan, Implementation, Arapaho National Forest, Clear Creek Ranger District, Clear Creek County, CO.

² To enter Canada, proof of U.S. citizenship will be required. Therefore, all participants must provide one of the following: birth certificate, voters registration card, social security card, or U.S. passport.

³ One alternate for returning to Skagway would be for participants to board an 11:00 a.m. southbound flag train around milepost 9 on the WP&YR RR. This train would arrive in Skagway around 12:00 noon and the trip would cost \$25.00. Another alternate for returning to Skagway would be for participants to board a 5:30 p.m. southbound flag train around milepost 9 on the WP&YR RR. Its arrival in Skagway would be around 6:30 p.m. at a cost of \$25.00. All tickets would have to be purchased in advance.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding wetland impact analysis. EPA requested that the final document provide additional information on this issue.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65239-OR Rating LO, East Fork Deer Creek Long-Term Ecosystem Productivity Research Study, Implementation, Willamette National Forest, Blue River Ranger District, Lane County, OR.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the proposed action.

ERP No. D-BLM-J02030-WY Rating LO1, Texaco's Stagecoach Draw Unit Natural Gas Field Development Project, Implementation, Application for Permit to Drill, Right-of-Way Grant, Temporary Use-Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Farson, Sweetwater County, WY.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the proposed action.

ERP No. D-BLM-K61135-AZ Rating EC2, Grand Canyon National Park General Management Plan, Implementation, Coconino and Mohave Counties, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding drinking water compliance, wastewater treatment, air quality and wetland. EPA requested addition information be included in the final document to address these issues.

ERP No. D-COE-D36072-VA Rating LO, Grundy Flood Damage Reduction/Highway Upgrade Project, Implementation, Town of Grundy, Buchanan County, VA.

Summary: EPA had not identified any potential environmental impacts requiring substantive changes to the proposal. However, EPA requested additional information concerning cumulative impacts of the proposal.

ERP No. D-FTA-K40209-CA Rating EC2, Mid-Coast Corridor Mass Transit Improvement Project, Funding, San Diego County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the local CO modeling, potential impacts to wetlands and runoff to surface waters impacts. EPA also suggested that the two best performing alternatives, the HOV and Light Rail Transit, should be examined together and discussed.

ERP No. D-IBR-L64044-OR Rating LO, Fish Passage Improvements, Savage Rapids Dam, Implementation, Grants Pass Irrigation District, Rogue River, Josephine and Jackson Counties, OR.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the proposed action.

ERP No. D-MMS-L02024-AK Rating EC2, Cook Inlet Planning Area, Alaska Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Sale 149, Leasing Offering, AK.