

and its application in the entry screening function would preclude unauthorized use of a badge/keycard, the requested exemption would allow employees and contractors to keep their badges at the time of exiting the protected area. The process of verifying badge/keycard issuance, ensuring badge/keycard retrieval, and maintaining badges/keycards, could be eliminated while the balance of the access procedure would remain intact. Firearm, explosive, and metal detection equipment and provisions for conducting searches will remain as well. The security officer responsible for the last access control function (controlling admission to the protected area) will also remain isolated within a bullet-resistant structure in order to assure his or her ability to respond or to summon assistance.

Use of a hand geometry biometrics system exceeds the present verification methodology's capability to discern an individual's identity. Unlike the combined photograph identification badge/keycard, hand geometry is nontransferable. During the initial access authorization or registration process, hand measurements are recorded and the template is stored for subsequent use in the identity verification process required for entry into the protected area. Authorized individuals insert their badge/keycard into the card reader and the biometrics system records an image of the hand geometry. The unique features of the newly recorded image are then compared to the template previously stored in the database. Access is ultimately granted based on the degree to which the characteristics of the image match those of the "signature" template.

Since both the badge/keycard and hand geometry would be necessary for access into the protected area, the proposed system would provide for a positive verification process. Potential loss of a badge/keycard by an individual, as a result of taking the badge offsite, would not enable an unauthorized entry into protected areas.

The access process will continue to be under the observation of security personnel. The system of identification badges/keycards will continue to be used for all individuals who are authorized access to protected areas without escorts. Badges/keycards will continue to be displayed by all individuals while inside the protected area. Addition of a hand geometry biometrics system will provide a significant contribution to effective implementation of the security plan at the site.

The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not effect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statements for the Beaver Valley Power Station Units Nos. 1 and 2.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on April 18, 1995, the staff consulted with the Pennsylvania State official, Robert C. Maiers of the Bureau of Radiation Protection, Department of Environmental Resources, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated February 8, 1995, which is

available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the B.F. Jones Memorial Library, 663 Franklin Avenue, Aliquippa, Pennsylvania 15001.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 22nd day of May 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John F. Stolz,

Director, Project Directorate I-2, Division of Reactor Projects-I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-12970 Filed 5-25-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Supplement 1 to Revision 1 to Generic Letter 92-01, "Reactor Vessel Structural Integrity"; Issued

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of issuance.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued Supplement 1 to Revision 1 to Generic Letter 92-01, "Reactor Vessel Structural Integrity," on May 19, 1995. This generic letter supplement will be available in the NRC Public Document Room under accession number 9505090312. This generic letter supplement was issued on an expedited basis in accordance with NRC procedures. This generic letter supplement is discussed in Commission information paper SECY-95-118 which will also be available in the NRC Public Document Room.

DATES: The generic letter supplement was issued on May 19, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Not applicable.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edwin M. Hackett, (301) 415-2751.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Not applicable.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 19th day of May 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Brian K. Grimes,

Director, Division of Project Support, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-12969 Filed 5-25-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Uranium Recovery Facilities: Availability of Staff Technical Position on Effluent Disposal at Licensed Uranium Recovery Facilities

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission is announcing the availability of "Staff Technical Position on Effluent Disposal at License Uranium Recovery Facilities." This Staff Technical Position (STP) is a NRC staff guidance document that provides guidance and discusses the technical and regulatory basis for review and evaluation of proposals for disposal of liquid waste at licensed uranium recovery facilities, including conventional mills and in situ leach facilities. The STP is primarily intended to guide NRC staff reviews of site-specific proposals for disposal of liquid waste, but it can also be used by licensees and applicants for preparation of such proposals.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the STP on effluent disposal at licensed uranium recovery facilities may be requested by writing to: Dr. John H. Austin, Chief, Performance Assessment and Hydrology Branch, Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, Mailstop 7-D-13 TWFN, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington DC 20555, or by calling (301) 415-7252.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Latif S. Hamdan, Performance Assessment and Hydrology Branch, Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, Mailstop 7-D-13 TWFN, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington DC 20555. Telephone: (301) 415-6639.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Persons interested in commenting on the STP on effluent disposal at licensed uranium recovery facilities may provide written comments to Chief, Performance Assessment and Hydrology Branch, Mail Stop TWFN 7-D-13, Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. Comments received will be considered in any future revisions of the STP. There is no date set for expiration of the comment period.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 19th day of May, 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John H. Austin,

Chief, Performance Assessment and Hydrology Branch, Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 95-12971 Filed 5-25-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

The National Partnership Council; Meeting

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) announces the next meeting of the National Partnership Council (the Council). Notice of this meeting is required under the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

TIME AND PLACE: The Council will meet June 13, 1995, from 1:00 to 3:00 p.m., in the Strom Auditorium of the Richard B. Russell Federal Building, 75 Spring Street, SW., Atlanta, GA 30303.

TYPE OF MEETING: This meeting will be open to the public. Seating will be available on a first-come, first-served basis. Handicapped individuals wishing to attend should contact OPM at the number shown below to obtain appropriate accommodations.

POINT OF CONTACT: Douglas K. Walker, National Partnership Council, Executive Secretariat, Office of Personnel Management, Theodore Roosevelt Building, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 5315, Washington, DC 20415-0001, (202) 606-1000.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Council is holding meetings outside the Washington, DC Metropolitan area in an effort to get the labor-management partnership message out to as many people as possible. This will be an interactive meeting. There will be presentations on partnership experiences followed by an audience participation segment. Persons seated in the audience will be invited to ask questions from the floor. The meeting will end with a discussion of various Council workplan items.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION: We invite interested persons and organizations to submit written comments or recommendations. Mail or deliver your comments or recommendations to Mr. Douglas K. Walker at the address shown above. Comments should be received by June 9, in order to be considered at the June 13, meeting.

Office of Personnel Management.

James B. King,

Director.

[FR Doc. 95-12939 Filed 5-25-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 33-7170; 34-35750; IC-21086]

Securities Transactions Settlement

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission.

ACTION: Grant of exemption.

SUMMARY: The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission" or "SEC") is exempting certain transactions in foreign securities from Rule 15c6-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which requires settlement of transactions in three days.

EFFECTIVE DATE: The exemption from rule 15c6-1 for certain transactions in foreign securities will be effective on June 7, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jerry W. Carpenter, Assistant Director, or Christine Sibille, Senior Counsel, at 202/942-4187, Office of Securities Processing Regulation, Division of Market Regulation, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Mail Stop 5-1, Washington, DC 20549.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 6, 1993, the Commission adopted Rule 15c6-1¹ which establishes three business days after the trade date ("T+3") instead of five business days ("T+5") as the standard settlement time frame for most broker-dealer securities transactions. Rule 15c6-1 becomes effective June 7, 1995.²

Rule 15c6-1 covers all securities other than exempted securities (including government securities and municipal securities),³ commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, or commercial bills. In addition, the rule contains specific exemptions for sales of unlisted limited partnership interests and for sales of securities pursuant to a firm commitment offering.⁴

As adopted, Rule 15c6-1 covers purchases and sales of securities between U.S. broker-dealers and their

¹ 17 CFR 240.15c6-1 (1994).

² As adopted, Rule 15c6-1 was to become effective June 1, 1995. In order to provide for an efficient conversion, the Commission changed the effective date to June 7, 1995. Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34952 (November 9, 1994), 59 FR 59137.

³ The Commission approved a proposed rule change of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board that requires transactions in municipal securities to settle by T+3. Securities Exchange Act Release No. 35427 (February 28, 1995), 60 FR 12798.

⁴ On May 10, 1995, the Commission adopted amendments to Rule 15c6-1 that eliminated the exemption for firm commitment offerings. Securities Exchange Act Release No. 35705 (May 10, 1995), 60 FR 26604.