

(202) 289-4357/4359. (Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through TDD services (202) 927-5721.)

Decided: May 19, 1995.

By the Commission, Chairman Morgan, Vice Chairman Owen, and Commissioners Simmons and McDonald.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-12978 Filed 5-25-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

In accordance with 42 U.S.C. 9622(d)(2) and 6973(d), and Departmental policy, 28 C.F.R. 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed consent decree in *United States v. Broderick Investment Company, et al.*, Civil Action No. 86-Z-369, was lodged on May 22, 1995 with the United States District Court for the District of Colorado.

The settlement concerns the Broderick NPL Superfund Site north of Denver, Colorado. The predecessor of the owner of the Site operated a wood treatment plant where wood was treated with creosote, pentachlorophenol, and other hazardous substances. Process wastes and associated sludges were disposed of in impoundments or on the ground at the Site, contaminating soils and groundwater. Pursuant to an earlier partial consent decree, defendants conducted a remedial investigation/feasibility study and EPA completed some of the remedial action at the Site. By the terms of this consent decree, settling defendants (Broderick Investment Company and Tom H. Connolly as trustee for those trusts associated with Broderick Investment) will perform all remaining remedial action at the Site and pay EPA's oversight and related future response costs at the Site. Settling defendants, along with the former trustees of the Broderick Investment Company trusts (Colorado National Bank of Denver, N.A. and First Interstate Bank of Denver, N.A.) will reimburse the United States \$10.7 million for past response costs incurred at the Site. In return, settling defendants will receive certain covenants not to sue under Sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607, and Section 7003 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6973.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days

from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Broderick Investment Company, et al.*, DOJ Ref. #90-7-1-254. Commenters may request an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected area, in accordance with Section 7003(d) of RCRA.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 1961 Stout Street, Suite 1200, Federal Building, Denver, Colorado 80294; the Region VIII Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 999 18th Street, Suite 700 South, Denver, Colorado 80202; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$23.00 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Groos,

Acting Chief, Environment and Natural Resources Division, Environmental Enforcement Section.

[FR Doc. 95-13006 Filed 5-25-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

[AAG/A Order No. 103-95]

Privacy Act of 1974; New System of Records; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Department of Justice.

ACTION: Notice of new system of records; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: On April 21, 1995, the Department of Justice, Bureau of Prisons, published in the **Federal Register** a notice of a new system of records entitled "Telephone Activity Record System (JUSTICE/BOP-011)." 60 FR 19958-59. The system notice provided for a comment period ending May 22, 1995. 60 FR 19958. In response to a request for an extension of the comment period, the Department of Justice is hereby extending the comment period for an additional 30 days, until June 26, 1995.

DATES: The comment period is extended to June 26, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to Patricia E. Neely, Staff Assistant, Systems Policy Staff, Justice Management Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530 (Room 850, WCTR Building).

Dated: May 17, 1995.

Stephen R. Colgate,

Assistant Attorney General for Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-12965 Filed 5-25-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-05-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment Standards Administration

Wage and Hour Division; Minimum Wages for Federal and Federally Assisted Construction; General Wage Determination Decisions

General wage determination decisions of the Secretary of Labor are issued in accordance with applicable law and are based on the information obtained by the Department of Labor from its study of local wage conditions and data made available from other sources. They specify the basic hourly wage rates and fringe benefits which are determined to be prevailing for the described classes of laborers and mechanics employed on construction projects of a similar character and in the localities specified therein.

The determinations in these decisions of prevailing rates and fringe benefits have been made in accordance with 29 CFR Part 1, by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act of March 3, 1931, as amended (46 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 276a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR part 1, Appendix, as well as such additional statutes as may from time to time be enacted containing provisions for the payment of wages determined to be prevailing by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with the Davis-Bacon Act. The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in these decisions shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wages payable on Federal and federally assisted construction projects to laborers and mechanics of the specified classes engaged on contract work of the character and in the localities described therein.

Good cause is hereby found for not utilizing notice and public comment procedure thereon prior to the issuance of these determinations as prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 and not providing for delay in the effective date as prescribed in that section, because the necessity to issue