

upon the proposed enhanced monitoring rule and submitted it to the Office of Management and Budget for interagency review under Executive Order 12866. However, in order to provide an opportunity to reevaluate this rulemaking, on April 4, 1995, the Environmental Protection Agency withdrew the draft final enhanced monitoring rule from further review by the Office of Management and Budget. In addition, the Agency has withdrawn 13 proposed example enhanced monitoring protocols that had been placed upon the Technology Transfer Network in anticipation of promulgation of final enhanced monitoring rules, in order to avoid confusion.

One of the first steps the Agency is taking in considering a possible restructured rule is to hold the public meeting on May 31, 1995. At this meeting the Agency will continue to work with representatives from industry, State and local agencies, and environmental groups in developing a rule that meets the objectives of the President's Environmental Regulation Reinvention effort. The meeting will include a number of representative stakeholders that will sit at the main meeting table by invitation. The number of stakeholders who will sit at the table will be limited to 40; the Agency has invited a broad representation of industry, State and local agencies, and environmental organizations to sit at the table. Additional seating at the meeting will be on a first come, first served basis. It is important to note that the Agency is seeking the opinions of all individuals/organizations present and *not* seeking consensus. There will be opportunities for all parties present to offer their views.

The purpose of the meeting will be to explain the Agency's underlying principles and to solicit opinions from stakeholders for formulation of new approaches to enhanced monitoring rules. One approach being considered would be to issue a revised proposed rule in the form of a Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM) Rule that would focus on improving current operation and maintenance (O&M) monitoring requirements. An enhanced O&M monitoring protocol would require that a source owner document operation and maintenance of a control device or process operation in accordance with established, reliable operating and maintenance practices and implement any necessary corrective action to ensure that emissions have been reduced. The Agency is also considering combining the periodic monitoring requirements in 40 CFR part 70 with this CAM rule so that all compliance-

related monitoring requirements would be integrated in one set of requirements. To facilitate that approach, EPA also will consider the option of using any proposed CAM rule (or publicly released draft of the rule) as interim Agency guidance for implementation of the current periodic monitoring provisions of part 70. EPA will also consider other approaches as part of this review.

Dated: May 22, 1995.

**Mary D. Nichols,**

*Assistant Administrator, Office of Air and Radiation.*

[FR Doc. 95-13137 Filed 5-25-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[DC15-1-6358b; FRL-5178-8]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; for the District of Columbia—Emission Statement Program

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the District of Columbia for the purpose of establishing an emission statement program for stationary sources of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and/or nitrogen oxides (NOx). In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the District's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by June 26, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this action should be addressed to Thomas J. Maslany, Director, Air, Radiation, and Toxics Division (3AT00), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building,

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air, Radiation, and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107; and the District of Columbia Department of the Consumer and Regulatory Affairs, 2100 Martin Luther King Avenue SE., Washington, D.C. 20020.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Enid A. Gerena, (3AT14), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Air, Radiation, and Toxics Division, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 19107, (215) 597-8239.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the direct final action of the same title which is located in the rules and regulations section of this **Federal Register**.

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Oxides of nitrogen, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: January 25, 1995.

**Peter H. Kostmayer,**

*Regional Administrator, Region III.*

[FR Doc. 95-12926 Filed 5-25-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[ID12-1-6992b; FRL-5206-7]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans: Idaho

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Idaho on April 14, 1992 for the City of Pinehurst PM-10 nonattainment area (59 FR 43745 (August 25, 1994)) as satisfying certain PM-10 planning requirements for the area just outside the City of Pinehurst which was designated nonattainment in January 1994. In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct