

Name: Special Emphasis Panel in Social, Behavioral and Economic Research (#1766).

Date and Time: June 12–13, 1995; 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Place: Room 970, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22230.

Type of Meeting: Closed.

Contact Person: John E. Yellen, Program Director for Archaeology, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22230. Telephone: (703) 306–1759.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning proposals submitted to NSF for financial support.

Agenda: To review and evaluate instrumentation development and acquisition proposals as part of the selection process for awards.

Reason for Closing: The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: May 22, 1995.

M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 95–12915 Filed 5–24–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50–295]

Commonwealth Edison Company; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR–39, issued to Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd, the licensee), for operation of the Zion Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1, located in Lake County, Illinois.

The proposed amendment would add a provision to the Technical Specifications (TS) to permit continued operation of Zion, Unit 1, with 154 steam generator tubes in service which potentially exceed the 40 percent through-wall repair or plugging criteria. The 154 tubes were identified as possibly exceeding the repair or plugging criteria as a result of the application of a revised flaw disposition guideline for test results retained from previous Zion, Unit 1, steam generator

inspections. The proposed change consists of a footnote added to the TS which states that the 154 affected steam generator tubes may remain in service until initial entry into Mode 5, Cold Shutdown, for the refueling outage that is currently scheduled to begin in September 1995.

In 10 CFR 50.91(a)(6), it specifies that the Commission may, where exigent circumstances exist, allow less than 30 days for public comment. Exigent circumstances have been found to exist for this proposed amendment. The licensee identified concerns in late 1994 associated with the methodology for the disposition of some detected indications from eddy current testing performed on Zion steam generator tubes. Revised flaw disposition guidelines were developed and applied to test results retained from previous Zion, Unit 1, steam generator inspections. The application of the revised guidelines resulted in the identification of 154 steam generator tubes which could potentially exceed the plugging or repair criteria specified in TS 4.3.1.B.4.A.6 (imperfection depth of greater than or equal to 40 percent of the nominal tube wall thickness). On May 16, 1995, the licensee determined that the uncertainty regarding compliance with TS 3.4.3.1.B required a unit shutdown in accordance with TS 3.0.3. The licensee requested and was granted a Notice of Enforcement Discretion (NOED) verbally on May 16, 1995. The written request for the NOED and a request for a license amendment was submitted on May 17, 1995. In order to restore licensee compliance with TS as quickly as possible and maintain public participation in the license amendment process as much as practical, the staff is exercising the exigent provisions of 10 CFR 50.91(a)(6).

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91(a)(6) for amendments to be granted under exigent circumstances, the NRC staff must determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a

margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of occurrence of any accident previously evaluated.

The Main Steamline Break is the bounding event for secondary system depressurization. The sequence of events which are necessary precursors to the catastrophic steam line failure are external to the Steam Generators and is unaffected by the fact that Steam Generator tubes with known indications located deep within the tube sheet crevice were allowed to remain in service. There is no credible manner in which the condition of the tubes deep within the tube sheet crevice of the Steam Generator can influence the integrity of the Main Steamline.

The probability that a tube rupture will occur is not increased because the indications of interest are constrained deep within the tube sheet crevice. Due to the fact that the degradation mechanism has been characterized as inner diameter (I.D.) PWSCC and that they are located deep within the tube sheet crevice, the failure probability (i.e. tube rupture) is not increased. Thus, the probability of tube rupture for these indications is taken to the zero. With no possibility of the tubes of interest rupturing due to the indications constrained within the tube sheet area, there is no increase in the probability of occurrence that a tube rupture event will occur.

No significant increase in offsite dose consequences have been postulated for the Steamline Break transient. In order to characterize the impact of an event which would involve a limiting Main Steamline Break coincident with the maximum credible leakage from all affected tubes, a dose evaluation has been performed and compared to the typical acceptance criteria of a small fraction (~10%) of the guidelines set forth in 10 CFR 100. The evaluation performed is described in detail in Enclosure 6 [Letter from T. Simpkin (ComEd) to Document Control Desk (NRC) dated May 17, 1995] of this request and assumed the following occurrences:

- Failure of a main streamline outside of containment,
- Bounding leakage of 0.5 GPM per tube for 154 tubes, and
- The calculation assumes a 2 hour release.

When the RCS iodine limit is administratively constrained to 0.06 uCi/cc, the thyroid dose is calculated to be just under 30 Rem thyroid, which is still a small percentage of 10 CFR 100 limits. Thus, the consequences of an accident previously analyzed are not significantly increased.

2. The proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes do not add new or different types of plant equipment nor do they alter any plant procedures used during recovery from accidents described in the analysis. Installed equipment is not being

operated in a new or different manner. No new failure mechanisms are created by this change. Because no change is being made to the initiating mechanisms of an accident, and no equipment or procedural changes are involved, the proposed LAR does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

3. The proposed changes do not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The margin of safety for allowable tube degradation is based on a conservative allowance for eddy current uncertainty and a high confidence level that sufficient tube wall thickness remains to operate until the next scheduled inspection period with an acceptably low risk of tube failure. The indications of interest are characterized as I.D. PWSCC located at the roll transition nominally 18.25 inches deep in the tube sheet crevice. Past inspections indicate that any crack growth will be into the rolled portion of the tube. The recent operating history of Unit 1, both in the lack of primary-to-secondary leakage and the stable behavior of the tubes in service, provides confidence that sufficient structural integrity exists to support at least the additional four months of power operation. Thus, the margin of safety has not been adversely impacted.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 15 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 15-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period, such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 15-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should cite the publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By June 26, 1995, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Waukegan Public Library, 128 North County Street, Waukegan, Illinois 60085. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to

which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If the amendment is issued before the expiration of the 30-day hearing period, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. If a hearing is requested, the final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to Robert A. Capra, Director, Project Directorate III-2: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to Michael I. Miller, Esquire; Sidley and Austin, One First National Plaza, Chicago, Illinois 60690, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated May 17, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room, located at the Waukegan Public Library, 128 North County Street, Waukegan, Illinois 60085.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 19th day of May 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

William D. Reckley,

Project Manager, Project Directorate III-2, Division of Reactor Projects—III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-12850 Filed 5-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 50-366]

Georgia Power Company, et al.; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request of Georgia Power Company, et al. (the licensee), to withdraw its March 14, 1995, application for proposed amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-5 for the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Unit No. 2, located in Applying County, Georgia.

The proposed amendment would have revised the primary containment isolation instrumentation action in the Technical Specifications to permit the drywell and wetwell purge valves, isolated by the drywell radiation monitor signal, to be opened with one inoperable drywell radiation monitor.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the **Federal Register** on March 29, 1995 (60 FR 16188). However, by letter dated May 1, 1995, the licensee withdrew the proposed change.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated March 14, 1995, and the licensee's letter dated May 1, 1995, which withdrew the application for license amendment. The above documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Applying County Public Library, 301 City Hall Drive, Baxley, Georgia 31513.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 18th day of May 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Kahtan N. Jabbour,

Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate II-2, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-12851 Filed 5-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

Notice of Meeting of the Advisory Committee on Trade Policy and Negotiations

AGENCY: Office of the United States Trade Representative.

ACTION: Notice that the June 8, 1995 meeting of the Advisory Committee on Trade Policy and Negotiation will be

held from 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. The meeting will be closed to the public from 10:00 a.m. to 1:15 p.m. The meeting will be open to the public from 1:15 p.m. to 2:00 p.m.

SUMMARY: The Advisory Committee of Trade Policy and Negotiation will hold a meeting on June 8, 1995 from 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. The meeting will be closed to the public from 10:00 a.m. to 1:15 p.m. The meeting will include a review and discussion of current issues which influence U.S. trade policy. Pursuant to Section 2155(f)(2) of Title 19 of the United States Code, I have determined that this portion of the meeting will be concerned with matters the disclosure of which would seriously compromise the development by the United States Government of trade policy, priorities, negotiating objectives or bargaining positions with respect to the operation of any trade agreement and other matters arising in connection with the development, implementation and administration of the trade policy of the United States. The meeting will be open to the public and press from 1:15 p.m. to 2:00 p.m. when trade policy issues will be discussed. Attendance during this part of the meeting is for observation only. Individuals who are not members of the committee will not be invited to comment.

DATES: The meeting is scheduled for June 8, 1995, unless otherwise notified.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Four Seasons Hotel, located at 2800 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington, D.C. unless otherwise notified.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michaelle Burstin, Director of Public Liaison, Office of the United States Trade Representative, (202) 395-6120.

Michael Kantor,

United States Trade Representative.

[FR Doc. 95-12879 Filed 5-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3190-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-35733; File No. SR-NSCC-95-05]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; National Securities Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing of a Proposed Rule Change Modifying Procedures Relating to the Trade Comparison Service for Debt Securities

May 18, 1995.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934