

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For general information on the DARHT project, interested parties may contact Ms. Webb at the address and phone number above. For general information on the DOE NEPA process, please contact Ms. Carol M. Borgstrom, Director, Office of NEPA Policy and Assistance, EH-42, Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Ave., SW, Washington, DC 20585. Ms. Borgstrom may be contacted by leaving a message at (800) 472-2756 or by calling (202) 586-4600.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Draft EIS was prepared pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*, the Council on Environmental Quality NEPA regulations (40 CFR 1500) and the DOE NEPA regulations [10 CFR 1021].

The Department proposes to provide enhanced high-resolution radiography (x-ray) capability for the purpose of performing hydrodynamic tests and dynamic experiments in support of its national defense mission. The enhanced radiography facility would be a key component of the Department's near-term science-based stockpile stewardship and management program. These hydrodynamic tests and dynamic experiments are required to assist DOE in ensuring the continued safety, security, and reliability of existing nuclear weapons as they age.

The Draft DARHT EIS analyzes the environmental consequences of alternative ways to accomplish the proposed action. The DOE's preferred alternative would be to complete and operate the DARHT facility at LANL in northern New Mexico. Radiographic hydrodynamic testing is now conducted in two existing facilities within the DOE complex—a 30-year-old facility at LANL, and a 10-year-old facility at the DOE's Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory in California. The Draft DARHT EIS compares the environmental impacts that would be expected to occur from continuing to operate existing facilities (the No Action Alternative) with the consequences that would be expected to occur if DOE implemented the Preferred Alternative or one of four other operational alternatives. The Draft EIS has a classified supplement that provides additional information and analysis. DOE has distributed copies of the Draft DARHT EIS to appropriate Congressional members and committees, the State of New Mexico, American Indian tribal governments, local county governments, other federal agencies, and other interested parties. DOE expects to complete the Final EIS

in August 1995, and reach a Record of Decision in September 1995.

Signed in Washington, D.C., this 17th day of May, 1995, for the United States Department of Energy.

**Victor H. Reis,**

*Assistant Secretary for Defense Programs.*

[FR Doc. 95-12626 Filed 5-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER93-523-003, et al.]

#### Western Resources, Inc. et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

May 17, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

##### 1. Western Resources, Inc.

[Docket No. ER93-523-003]

Take notice that on March 14, 1995, Western Resources, Inc. tendered for filing its compliance filing in the above-referenced docket.

*Comment date:* May 31, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

##### 2. Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, Indeck-Olean Limited Partnership

[Docket No. EL95-45-000; Docket No. QF90-154-005]

On May 15, 1995, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (Niagara Mohawk) filed a petition for declaratory order requesting that the Commission revoke the qualifying status of the cogeneration facility operated by Indeck-Olean Limited Partnership (Indeck Olean). Indeck-Olean filed notices of self-certification for the facility in Docket Nos. QF90-154-000, QF90-154-001, QF90-154-002 and QF90-154-003.

In Docket Nos. EL95-11-000 and QF90-154-004 Indeck-Olean filed a petition for waiver of the Commission's operating and efficiency standards for calendar years 1993 and 1994. See 59 FR 64401 (Dec. 14, 1994) and 60 FR 2578 (Jan. 10, 1995). Niagara Mohawk has opposed waiver. Niagara Mohawk states that copies of its petition for declaratory order have been provided to Indeck-Olean and to other participants in Docket Nos. EL95-11-000 and QF90-154-004.

Niagara Mohawk states that waiver of the Commission's operating and efficiency standards is not warranted for the Indeck-Olean facility. Niagara Mohawk asks that the Commission declare that Indeck-Olean's QF certification is revoked effective June 8, 1994; that Indeck-Olean is obligated to

refund any amounts collected in excess of the market value of electricity at the time of delivery; and, that Indeck-Olean must cease the sale of electricity at wholesale until such time as it has complied with Section 205 of the Federal Power Act or recertified its plant as a "qualifying facility."

*Comment date:* June 1, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

##### 3. North American Energy Conservation, Inc.

[Docket No. ER94-152-005]

Take notice that on April 28, 1995, North American Energy Conservation, Inc. tendered for filing a summary of its activity for the quarter ending March 31, 1995.

##### 4. Citizens Utilities Company

[Docket No. ER94-1561-001]

Take notice that on April 18, 1995, Citizens Utilities Company tendered for filing its compliance filing in the above-referenced docket.

*Comment date:* May 31, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

##### 5. Gulfstream Energy, LLC

[Docket No. ER94-1597-002]

Take notice that on April 28, 1995, Gulfstream Energy, LLC tendered for filing a summary of its activity for the quarter ending March 31, 1995, pursuant to the Commission's letter order issued November 21, 1994 in Docket No. ER94-1597-000.

##### 6. J. Aron & Company

[Docket No. ER95-34-003]

Take notice that on April 11, 1995, J. Aron & Company tendered for filing a letter reporting that it did not engage in any electric power purchases and sales during the calendar quarter ended March 31, 1995.

##### 7. Mesquite Energy Services Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-74-001]

Take notice that on April 28, 1995, Mesquite Energy Services Inc. tendered for filing a quarterly informational filing for the calendar quarter ended March 31, 1995, indicating no transactions occurred.

Such filing was made pursuant to the Commission's letter order dated January 4, 1995, in Docket No. ER95-74-000.

##### 8. Florida Power Corporation

[Docket No. ER95-457-001]

Take notice that on April 21, 1995, Florida Power Corporation tendered for filing a revised fuel adjustment clause for service to Reedy Creek Improvement

District, Inc. in compliance with the Commission's order of March 21, 1995. As directed by the Commission, the revised fuel adjustment clause eliminates the provision for imputation of fossil fuel costs incurred by Qualifying Facilities.

*Comment date:* June 1, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 9. Union Electric Company

[Docket No. ER95-744-000]

Take notice that on May 5, 1995, Union Electric Company (UE) submitted a request to withdraw the filing of the Agreement for Maintenance Energy dated March 10, 1995 between the City of Sikeston, Missouri and UE and terminate this docket.

*Comment date:* June 1, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 10. Northeast Utilities Service Company

[Docket No. ER95-951-000]

Take notice that on April 26, 1995, Northeast Utilities Service Company (NUSCO) tendered for filing a Service Agreement with PECO Energy Company under the NU System Companies' System Power Sales/Exchange Tariff No 6.

NUSCO states that a copy of this filing has been mailed to PECO Energy Company.

NUSCO requests that the Service Agreement become effective on May 1, 1995.

*Comment date:* May 31, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 11. Southern California Edison Company

[Docket No. ER95-999-000]

Take notice that on May 1, 1995, Southern California Edison Company (Edison) tendered for filing the following Supplemental Agreement (Supplemental Agreement) to the 1990 Integrated Operations Agreement (IOA) with the City of Riverside (Riverside), FERC Rate Schedule No. 250, and associated Firm Transmission Service Agreement:

Supplemental Agreement Between Southern California Edison Company and City of Riverside for the integration of the Washington Water Power-Riverside Power Sale Agreement

Edison Riverside Washington Water Power Firm Transmission Agreement

The Supplemental Agreement and FTS Agreement set forth the terms and conditions by which Edison will integrate Riverside's Washington Water

Power resource and provide bi-directional firm transmission service.

Copies of this filing were served upon the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California and all interested parties.

*Comment date:* May 31, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 12. Entergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-1001-000]

Take notice that on May 1, 1995, Entergy Services, Inc. (Entergy Services), as agent for Arkansas Power and Light Company (AP&L), Gulf States Utilities Company (GSU), Louisiana Power & Light Company (MP&L) and New Orleans Public Service Inc. (NOPSI) (collectively, the Entergy Operating Companies) filed revisions to the rates under the network service tariff (NST) and the point-to-point transmission service tariff (TST) filed in Docket No. ER95-112 on October 31, 1994 and revised on January 24, 1995. Entergy Services requests that the revised rates become effective June 1, 1995, subject to refund, in accordance with the provisions of the NSI and TST.

*Comment date:* May 31, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-12709 Filed 5-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Project Nos. 2225-008, et al.]

### Hydroelectric Applications [Public Utility District No. 1 of Pend Oreille County, et al.]; Notice of Applications

Take notice that the following hydroelectric applications have been

filed with the Commission and are available for public inspection:

1 a. *Type of Application:* Amendment of License.

b. *Project No.:* 2225-008.

c. *Date Filed:* December 2, 1994.

d. *Applicant:* Public Utility District No. 1 of Pend Oreille County.

e. *Name of Project:* Sullivan Creek.

f. *Location:* On Sullivan Creek, in Pend Oreille County, Washington.

g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 791(a)-825(r).

h. *Applicant Contact:* Michael V. Stimac, HDR Engineering, Inc., 500-108th Avenue, NE., Suite 1200, Bellevue, WA 98004, (206) 453-1523.

i. *FERC Contact:* Regina Saizan, (202) 219-2673.

j. *Comment Date:* June 23, 1995.

k. *Description of the Request:* The licensee proposes to re-establish generation at the project by constructing a new intake structure at the southwest corner of Mill Pond, placing a buried 16,340-ft., 90-inch diameter steel pipeline and penstock along the original flume right-of-way, enlarging the existing powerhouse to accommodate the installation of new electrical generating equipment, and upgrading the road surface of an existing access road to the intake site. The proposed project would have a total installed capacity of 11.2 MW.

l. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: B, C2, and D2.

2 a. *Type of Application:* Transfer of License.

b. *Project No.:* 4316-011.

c. *Date Filed:* April 21, 1995.

d. *Applicant:* Trans Mountain Hydro Corp.

e. *Name of Project:* Blue Valley Ranch.

f. *Location:* On the Blue River, Grand County, Colorado, near Heeney.

g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 791(a)-825(r).

h. *Applicant Contact:* David Bailey, Esq., Parcel Mauro Hultin & Spaanstra, P.C., 1801 California, Suite 3600, Denver, CO 80202, (303) 292-6400.

i. *FERC Contact:* Mark Hooper, (202) 219-2680.

j. *Comment Date:* June 26, 1995.

k. *Description of Transfer:* Applicant proposes to transfer the license to Galloway, Inc.

l. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: B, C1, and D2.

3 a. *Type of Application:* Transfer of License.

b. *Project No.:* 4444-013.

c. *Date Filed:* April 21, 1995.

d. *Applicant:* Trans Mountain Hydro Corp.