

* * * * *

§ 180.1028 [Removed]

3. By removing § 180.1028 *Cross-linked nylon-type encapsulating polymer; exemption from the requirement of a tolerance* is deleted.

[FR Doc. 95-12742 Filed 5-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**47 CFR Part 73**

[MM Docket No. 95-68, RM-8629]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Berlin, MD

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document requests comments on a petition filed by John P. Gillen, proposing the allotment of Channel 235A to Berlin, Maryland, as that community's second FM Broadcast service. The coordinates for Channel 235A are 38-13-45 and 75-10-58. There is a site restriction 10.8 kilometers (6.7 miles) southeast of the community.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before July 10, 1995, and reply comments on or before July 25, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, as follows: John P. Gillen, 12314 Dixie Drive, Bishopville, Maryland 21813.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen Scheuerle, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's *Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, MM Docket No. 95-68, adopted May 11, 1995, and released May 18, 1995. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the Commission's Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW, Washington, D.C. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Services, Inc., 2100 M Street, NW, Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037, (202) 857-3800.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contact.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 95-12703 Filed 5-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-F

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 95-67, RM-8624]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Greenfield & Stockton, MO

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document requests comments on a petition filed by KYOO Communications proposing the allotment of Channel 299A at Stockton, Missouri, as that community's first local service. The coordinates for Channel 299A at Stockton are 37-42-22 and 93-53-21. There is a site restriction 8.5 kilometers (5.3 miles) west of the community. To accommodate Channel 299A at Stockton, we shall propose the deletion of vacant Channel 299A at Greenfield, Missouri.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before July 10, 1995, and reply comments on or before July 25, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner's counsel, as follows: William J. Pennington, III, 5519 Rockingham Road-East, Greensboro, North Carolina 27407.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen Scheuerle, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's *Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, MM Docket No. 95-67, adopted May 8, 1995, and released May 18, 1995. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during

normal business hours in the Commission's Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW, Washington, D.C. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Services, Inc., 2100 M Street, NW, Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037, (202) 857-3800.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contact.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 95-12705 Filed 5-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-F

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION****NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION****48 CFR Part 31**

[FAR Case 94-753]

Federal Acquisition Regulation; Public Meeting on Travel Cost

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of public meeting on proposed rule.

SUMMARY: At 59 FR 64542, December 14, 1994, a Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) proposed rule for implementing statutory requirements of the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act, (the Act) with regard to travel cost was published. Numerous written comments have been received at the FAR Secretariat in response to the **Federal Register** document. The notice announces a public meeting for the Travel Cost rule.

DATES: The meeting will be at 2:00 p.m. on June 14, 1995.

ADDRESSES: The public meeting will be held at: Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street NW., Room 1350, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beverly Fayson, FAR Secretariat, General Services Administration, 18th and F Streets NW., Washington, DC 20405. Telephone: (202) 501-4755; FAX (202) 501-4067.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In order to implement Section 2191 of the Act, the Cost Principles Team drafted a proposed rule which would use the Federal Travel Regulation/Joint Travel Regulation (FTR/JTR) rates as a baseline, while allowing contractors to propose alternative maximum per diem rates based on existing travel cost reimbursement systems. After review and analysis of the public comments on the proposed rule, the team has preliminarily decided to recommend retention of the current cost principle language at FAR 31.205-46 without change and to withdraw the proposed rule.

The team's preliminary determination to retain the current cost principle language is based on the following: (i) Industry and Government agency commentors generally agreed that the proposed rule's alternative maximum per diem rate requirements would place an undue administrative burden on contractor and Government personnel; (ii) commentors proposing a revision to the "reasonableness standard" did not provide any empirical data to support claimed inequities or increased administrative burdens under the current rule; and (iii) the commentors' alternatives were considered by the team to be administratively burdensome and inadequate to protect the Government's interests. The team's preliminary conclusion is that retaining the current FAR requirement will reduce disagreements and disputes between contractors and the Government, is less burdensome administratively than any alternative proposed, and results in equitable reimbursement of per diem costs.

To allow the public to present its views on this determination, a public meeting will be held on June 14, 1995. Persons or organizations wishing to make presentations will be allowed 10 minutes to present their views, provided they notify the FAR Secretariat at (202) 501-4745 and provide an advance copy of their remarks not later than June 9, 1995. All participants should be prepared to provide data to support their positions. The team is particularly

interested in data which supports the contention that FTR/JTR rates are inequitable or that the current FAR requirements are more administratively burdensome than would be other proposed alternatives, either proposed during the public comment process, or presented at this meeting. Alternatives, other than those previously proposed during the public comment period, may be submitted for consideration. The team will enter into discussion with commentors and the audience during this meeting.

Dated: May 18, 1995.

Edward C. Loeb,

Deputy Project Manager for Implementation of the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994.

[FR Doc. 95-12659 Filed 5-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-EP-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

49 CFR Part 575

[Docket No. 94-30, Notice 2]

RIN 2127-AF17

Consumer Information Regulations Uniform Tire Quality Grading Standards

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This notice proposes to amend the Uniform Tire Quality Grading Standards to:

1. Revise treadwear testing procedures to maintain the base course wear rate of course monitoring tires at its current value. That revision should eliminate treadwear grade inflation, reduce testing expenses, and reduce the adverse environmental consequences of operating testing convoys;

2. Create a new traction grading category of "AA" in addition to the current traction grades of A, B, and C to differentiate those tires with the highest traction characteristics from lower performing tires;

3. Replace the temperature resistance grade with a rolling resistance/fuel economy grade. This change would provide a measure of a key fuel economy characteristic of tires, and responds to the President's Climate Change Action Plan.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received on or before July 10, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to the docket and notice number shown above and be submitted to Docket Section, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Room 5111, Washington, DC 20590. Docket room hours are from 9:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Orron Kee, Office of Market Incentives, Office of the Associate Administrator for Rulemaking, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Room 5320, Washington, DC 20590, telephone (202) 366-0846.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Background
- II. April 25, 1994 Request for Comments
 - A. Treadwear
 1. Treadwear Test and Calculation Procedures
 - a. Candidate Tires
 - b. Course Monitoring Tires
 2. Treadwear Grade Inflation
 3. Possible Solutions to Treadwear Grade Inflation
 - B. Traction
 1. Traction Test and Calculation Procedures
 2. Ability of Traction Grading System to Differentiate Highest Traction Tires
 3. Possible Solutions to Traction Grading Problems
 - C. Temperature and Rolling Resistance/Fuel Economy
 1. Temperature Resistance
 2. Rolling Resistance/Fuel Economy
 3. Issues Regarding Temperature/Rolling Resistance/Fuel Economy
- III. Summary of Public Comments, Agency Decisions, Benefits and Costs
 - A. Treadwear
 1. Summary of Comments
 2. Agency Decision
 3. Costs and Benefits
 - B. Traction
 1. Public Comments
 2. Agency Decision
 3. Costs and Benefits
 - C. Temperature/Rolling Resistance/Fuel Economy
 1. Public Comments
 2. Agency Decision
 - a. Temperature Resistance
 - b. Rolling Resistance/Fuel Economy
 3. Costs and Benefits
 - D. Leadtime
 - IV. Rulemaking Analyses and Notices
 - A. Executive Order 12866 and DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures
 - B. Regulatory Flexibility Act
 - C. National Environmental Policy Act
 - D. Federalism
 - E. Civil Justice Reform
 - V. Comments

I. Background

49 U.S.C. 30123(e) requires the Secretary of Transportation to prescribe a uniform system for grading motor vehicle tires to assist consumers in