Theater Advisory Panel; Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92–463), as amended, notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Theater Advisory Panel (Services to the Field Section) to the National Council on the Arts will be held on June 8, 1995 from 9:30 a.m. to 4 p.m. This meeting will be held in Room 730 at the Nancy Hanks Center. 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20506. A portion of this meeting will be open to the public from 9:30 a.m. to 10 a.m. for introductory remarks and a discussion of review criteria.

The remaining portion of this meeting from 10 a.m. to 4 p.m. is for the purpose of panel review, discussion, evaluation, and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including information given in confidence to the agency by grant applicants. In accordance with the determination of the Chairman of February 8, 1994, this session will be closed to the public pursuant to subsection (c)(4), (6) and (9B) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

Any person may observe meetings, or portions thereof, of advisory panels which are open to the public, and may be permitted to participate in the panel’s discussions at the discretion of the panel chairman and with the approval of the full-time Federal employee in attendance.

If you need special accommodations due to a disability, please contact the Office of Special Constituencies, National Endowment for the Arts, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20506, 202/682–5532, TTY 202/682–5496, at least seven (7) days prior to the meeting.

Further information with reference to this meeting can be obtained from Ms. Yvonne Sabine, Director, Office of Council and Panel Operations, National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, DC 20506, or call 202/682–5433.

Dated: May 19, 1995.

Yvonne M. Sabine,
Director, Office of Council and Panel Operations, National Endowment for the Arts.

BILLING CODE 7537–01–M

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Privacy Act of 1974: New System of Records

AGENCY: National Science Foundation.

ACTION: Notice of new system of records and routine uses.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), the National Science Foundation is providing notice of a new system of records—NSF–59, “Science and Technology (STC) Database.”

This system is established and maintained by NSF. The records are to be used to help evaluate the Science and Technology Centers’ achievements of program goals, such as diversity of participants and enhancement of skills. Evaluation will include followup surveys of Center participants.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Sections 552a(e) (4) and (11) of Title 5 of the U.S. Code requires that the public have thirty days to comment on the routine uses of systems of records. The new routine uses that are the subject of this notice will take effect thirty days from date of this publication, unless modified by a subsequent notice to incorporate comments received from the public.

ADDRESS: Written comments should be submitted to the NSF Privacy Act Officer, National Science Foundation, Division of Contracts, Policy and Oversight, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, room 485, Arlington, Virginia 22230.

Dated: May 18, 1995.

Herman G. Fleming,
Director, Office of Science and Technology Infrastructure, National Science Foundation.

NSF–59

SYSTEM NAME: Science and Technology Centers (STC) Database.

SYSTEM LOCATION: Office of Science and Technology Infrastructure, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230.

CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

Individuals participating in NSF funded Science and Technology Center activities.

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

Records include the names, SSNs, areas of research, race, ethnicity, genders, and other related information. They may also include the results of followup surveys of Center participants. The results will be used to help evaluate the Centers’ achievement of program goals, such as diversity of participants and enhancement of skills. Evaluation will include followup surveys of Center participants. The results of the evaluations will be statistical and will not identify individual participants.

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:

Information from the system may be given to contractors and other individuals who perform a service to or work under a contract, cooperative agreement or other arrangement for the Federal government.

POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

STORAGE:

All original materials are kept at NSF.

RETRIEVABILITY:

By Center and then alphabetically by individual.

SAFEGUARDS:

Building is locked during non-business hours. Records at NSF are kept in rooms that are locked during non-business hours. Records maintained by NSF contractors are kept in similar rooms and some records are locked in cabinets. Records maintained in electronic forms are accessible only to those employees within the Office of Science and Technology Infrastructure.

RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:

A records retention schedule has not yet been approved.

SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:

Associate Director, Office of Science and Technology Infrastructure, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230.

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:

The NSF Privacy Act Officer should be contacted in accordance with procedures found at 45 CFR part 613.
The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from Facility Operating License No. NPF-86, issued to North Atlantic Energy Service Corporation (the licensee or North Atlantic), for operation of the Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1 (Seabrook) located in Rockingham County, New Hampshire.

Environmental Assessment
Identification of the Proposed Action

This Environmental Assessment has been prepared to address potential environmental issues related to North Atlantic’s application of February 17, 1995. The proposed action would exempt North Atlantic from the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Paragraph III.D.1.(a), to the extent that a one-time interval extension would be granted for Type A testing. The interval between the first and second Type A tests in the first 10-year containment in-service inspection period would be extended by approximately 22 months from the November 1995 refueling outage to the September 1997 refueling outage.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action would permit North Atlantic to defer the Type A test from the November 1995 refueling outage, thereby saving the cost of performing the test and eliminating the test period from the critical path time of the outage. North Atlantic has stated that the exemption request meets the requirements of 10 CFR 50.12, paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2)(ii). The historical Type A tests have demonstrated that Seabrook has a low leakage containment. All three Type A tests have been performed without a single failure with as-found leak rates being significantly lower than the acceptance and design limits. The Type B and C testing programs, i.e., the leak rate tests, are not being modified and will continue effectively to detect containment leakage caused by the degradation of active containment isolation components as well as containment penetrations. It has been the experience at Seabrook that any significant containment leakage paths are detected by the Type B and C tests and that the Type A test results have only been confirmatory of the results of the Type B and C test results.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the proposed one-time exemption would not increase the probability or consequences of accidents previously analyzed. The proposed one-time exemption would not affect facility radiation levels or facility radiological effluents. North Atlantic has analyzed the results of previous Type A tests performed at Seabrook to show good containment performance and they will conduct the Type B and C local leak rate tests which historically have been shown to be the principal means of detecting containment leakage paths with the Type A test confirming the Type B and C test results. It is also noted that North Atlantic will perform the visual containment inspection although it is only required by Appendix J to be conducted in conjunction with Type A tests. The NRC staff considers that these inspections, though limited in scope, provide an added level of confidence in the continued integrity of the containment boundary. The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, nor changes being made in the types of any effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the NRC staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on April 11, 1995 the NRC staff consulted with the New Hampshire state official, Mr. George Iverson of the New Hampshire Emergency Management Agency regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. On April 12, 1995 the NRC staff consulted with the Massachusetts state official, Mr. James Muckerhead of the Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency. The state officials had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see North Atlantic’s letter dated February 17, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission’s Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Exeter Public Library, Fonders Park, Exeter, NH 03833.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 16th day of May 1995.