

(RACT). In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: To be considered, comments must be received by June 23, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to Scott Southwick, at the EPA Regional Office listed below.

Copies of the documents relative to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day.

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4 Air Programs Branch, 345 Courtland Street, NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30365.

Division for Air Quality, Department for Environmental Protection, Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Cabinet, 316 St. Clair Mall, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Scott Southwick, Regulatory Planning and Development Section, Air Programs Branch, Air, Pesticides & Toxics Management Division, Region 4 Environmental Protection Agency, 345 Courtland Street, NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30365. The telephone number is 404/347-3555 x 4207. Reference file KY-083.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: March 21, 1995.

Patrick M. Tobin,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-12618 Filed 5-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[MN30-1-6215b; FRL-5183-9]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Minnesota

AGENCY: United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: In the Final Rules Section of the **Federal Register**, the USEPA is approving recodification of Minnesota's regulations, removal of certain redundant and unnecessary regulations from the SIP, and other minor revisions. USEPA is taking that action as a direct final rule without prior proposal because USEPA views the action as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to the proposal of that action. If USEPA receives adverse public comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. USEPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this action must be received by June 23, 1995.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Addresses and Supplementary Information are provided in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: March 20, 1995.

David A. Ullrich,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-12562 Filed 5-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[Region II Docket No.129, NY10-1-6212, FRL-5210-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; New York State Implementation Plan Revision

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency is proposing to approve a revision to the New York State Implementation Plan (SIP) related to the control of volatile organic compounds. The SIP revision consists of amendments to Part 200, "General Provisions," Part 201, "Permits and Certificates," Part 228, "Surface Coating Processes," Part 229, "Petroleum and Volatile Organic Liquid Storage," Part 233, "Pharmaceutical and Cosmetic Manufacturing Processes," and Part 234, "Graphic Arts." The amendments extend reasonably available control technology rules to enlarged nonattainment areas and to areas of the Northeast Ozone Transport Region as required by the Clean Air Act. In addition, the amendments to Part 228 correct deficiencies in New York's existing SIP, as required by the Clean Air Act.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 23, 1995.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to: William S. Baker, Chief Air Programs Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, Region II Office, 290 Broadway, New York, New York 10007-1866.

Copies of the State submittal are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours: Environmental Protection Agency, Region II Office, Air Programs Branch, 290 Broadway, 20th Floor, New York, New York 10007-1866.

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Division of Air Resources, 50 Wolf Road, Albany, New York 12233.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul R. Truchan, State Implementation Plan Section, Air Programs Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, 290 Broadway, 20th Floor, New York, New York 10007-1866, (212) 637-4249.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Clean Air Act (Act) as amended in 1990 sets forth a number of requirements that states with areas designated as nonattainment for ozone must satisfy and a timetable for satisfying these requirements. These requirements are further explained in the General Preamble to the Act (57 FR 13513), which was published on April 16, 1992. The specific requirements vary depending upon the severity of the ozone problem. One of the requirements, and the subject of this proposed rulemaking, is for states to adopt reasonably available control technology (RACT) rules for various volatile organic compound (VOC)