

determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-12335 Filed 5-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Project No. 516-176 South Carolina]

South Carolina Electric and Gas Company; Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment

May 15, 1995.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's Regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47910), the Office of Hydropower Licensing (OHL) has reviewed the application for the lease of 117 acres of project lands for the development of a county park (Saluda Shoals Park) on the Saluda River below the dam at Lake Murray. The park would provide multi-use, land-based recreation facilities and would be operated by the Irmo-Chapin Recreation Commission.

The staff of OHL's Division of Project Compliance and Administration has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) for the proposed action. In the EA, the staff concludes that the licensee's proposals would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

Copies of the EA are available for review in the Reference and Information Center, Room 3308, of the Commission's Offices at 941 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-12308 Filed 5-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project No. 3188-000]

Joseph M. Keating; Notice of Filing

May 15, 1995.

Please take notice that on October 18, 1988, Mr. Tom Camp, a member of the Commission's staff from Washington, DC, prepared a video tape of the project site for the proposed Pyramid Creek Project in the El Dorado National Forest, California. The video tape has been filed by the staff as a part of the record in the above-captioned proceeding. The tape was made on a clear day and depicts the

reaches of Pyramid Creek along which project facilities would be located, remains of certain facilities of a now inoperable hydroelectric project at the same site, some of the geologic features of the area, U.S. Highway 50 where it runs past the proposed project site, and the surrounding landscape.

The video tape is available for viewing upon request by contacting the Commission's Public Reference Room in 941 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, telephone (202) 208-1371.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-12309 Filed 5-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-494-000]

NorAm Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

May 15, 1995.

Take notice that on May 11, 1995, NorAm Gas Transmission Company (NGT), 1600 Smith Street, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP95-494-000 a request pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations to construct and operate a new delivery point to Arkla, a division of NorAm Energy Corp., to serve a rural residential customer in Coal County, Oklahoma under NGT's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-384-000, pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

NGT proposes to construct one 1-inch tap, valve setting and 1-inch regulator on NGT's Line 638 in Coal County, Oklahoma. NGT states that Arkla would install a meter and second cut regulator to provide service to a rural residential customer. The estimated volumes to be delivered through this tap are approximately 85 MMBtu of natural gas per year and 1 MMBtu of natural gas per day, it is indicated. NGT states that NGT would transport natural gas service to Arkla within Arkla's entitlements under NGT's tariffs. NGT states that the establishment of this delivery point is not prohibited by NGT's existing tariff and NGT has sufficient capacity to accomplish deliveries at this new delivery point without detriment or disadvantage to NGT's other customers. NGT states that the estimated cost to install these facilities is \$1,800, which would be reimbursed by Arkla.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of

the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-12310 Filed 5-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[5207-7]

Proposed Settlement; Acid Rain Core Rules Litigation

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Settlement; Request for Public Comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 113(g) of the Clean Air Act ("Act"), notice is hereby given of a proposed fourth partial settlement of *Environmental Defense Fund v. Carol M. Browner, et al.*, No. 93-1203 (and consolidated cases) (D.C. Cir.)

The case involves challenges by several parties to the acid rain core rules published in the **Federal Register** on January 11, 1993, at 58 FR 3590 (January 11, 1993). The proposed settlement relates to the monitoring issues raised by the petitioners in the case and provides for a number of revisions to 40 CFR part 75.

For a period of thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to the settlement from persons who were not named as parties to the litigation in question. EPA or the Department of Justice may withhold or withdraw consent to the proposed settlement if the comments disclose facts or circumstances that indicate that such consent is inappropriate, improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Act. Copies of the settlement are available from Phyllis Cochran, Air and Radiation Division (2344), Office of