

products in Category 603, produced or manufactured in Thailand and exported during the twelve-month period April 27, 1995 through April 26, 1996, at a level of not less than 1,249,659 kilograms. On April 27, 1995, CITA dropped its request for consultations with Thailand on Category 603 that was made on November 28, 1994 (see 60 FR 2081, published on January 6, 1995) and resubmitted the request under Article 6 of the ATC.

A summary statement of serious damage concerning Category 603 follows this notice.

Anyone wishing to comment or provide data or information regarding the treatment of Category 603, or to comment on domestic production or availability of products included in Category 603, is invited to submit 10 copies of such comments or information to Rita D. Hayes, Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230; ATTN: Helen L. LeGrande. The comments received will be considered in the context of the consultations with the Government of Thailand.

Because the exact timing of the consultations is not yet certain, comments should be submitted promptly. Comments or information submitted in response to this notice will be available for public inspection in the Office of Textiles and Apparel, room H3100, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

Further comments may be invited regarding particular comments or information received from the public which the Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements considers appropriate for further consideration.

The solicitation of comments regarding any aspect of the agreement or the implementation thereof is not a waiver in any respect of the exemption contained in 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1) relating to matters which constitute "a foreign affairs function of the United States."

The United States remains committed to finding a solution concerning Category 603. Should such a solution be reached in consultations with the Government Thailand, further notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

A description of the textile and apparel categories in terms of HTS numbers is available in the **CORRELATION: Textile and Apparel Categories with the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States** (see

**Federal Register** notice 59 FR 65531, published on December 20, 1994).

**Rita D. Hayes,**

*Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.*

**Summary Statement of Serious Damage Spun Yarn Containing 85 Percent or More By Weight Artificial Staple Fiber—Category 603**

**April 1995**

The sharp and substantial increase in imports of spun yarn containing 85 percent or more by weight artificial staple fiber, Category 603, is causing serious damage to the U.S. industry producing spun yarn containing 85 percent or more by weight artificial staple fiber.

Category 603 imports surged from 5,259,000 kilograms in 1992 to 9,886,000 kilograms in 1993, a 88 percent increase. Imports of spun yarns containing 85 percent or more by weight artificial staple fiber, Category 603, continued to increase in 1994 and 1995, reaching 12,966,000 kilograms during year ending January 1995, 27 percent above the year ending January 1994 level and two and a half times the 1992 level.

Serious damage to the domestic industry resulting from the sharp and substantial increase in imports of spun yarn containing 85 percent or more by weight artificial staple fiber is attributed to imports from Thailand. Surging imports and low priced yarns from Thailand have resulted in loss of domestic output, market share, investment, employment, and man-hours worked.

U.S. imports of spun yarn containing 85 percent or more by weight artificial staple fiber, Category 603, from Thailand reached 1,249,659 kilograms during the year ending January 1995, three times the 408,257 kilograms imported during the year ending January 1994 and two times Thailand's calendar year 1992 import level.

[FR Doc. 95-12107 Filed 5-16-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-F

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

**Office of the Secretary**

**Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission Investigative Hearings**

**AGENCY:** Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission (a Presidentially appointed commission *separate from and independent of DoD*.)

**ACTION:** Notice of additional bases for closure/realignment consideration.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Pub. L. 101-510, as amended, the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission announces 36 domestic U.S. defense activities that require further analysis as potential candidates for closure or realignment.

The Commission added Minot Air Force Base ND on March 7, 1995, as a potential candidate for realignment. Included on the following list are 31 activities in addition to those recommended for closure or realignment by the Secretary of Defense on February 28, 1995. Also included on the list are 4 installations recommended for realignment on the February 28, 1995, list that the Commission will consider for increasing the extent of the Secretary's recommended realignment or for closure.

On May 10, 1995, the Commission approved the following 35 activities for further analysis and consideration and as proposed changes to the Secretary's February 28, 1995, list:

**Alabama**

Space and Strategic Defense Command (Huntsville leased space)

**California**

Oakland Army Base  
Engineering Field Activity West,  
Naval Facilities Engineering  
Command

Fleet and Industrial Supply Center  
Oakland

Naval Air Station Point Mugu  
Naval Warfare Assessment Division  
Corona

Supervisor of Shipbuilding,  
Conversion, and Repair San  
Francisco

McClellan Air Force Base  
Defense Distribution Depot McClellan

**Florida**

Homestead Air Reserve Station

**Georgia**

Naval Air Station Atlanta  
Robins Air Force Base  
Defense Distribution Depot Warner-  
Robins

**Guam**

Public Works Center

**Illinois**

Chicago O'Hare International Airport  
Air Reserve Station

**Maine**

Portsmouth Naval Shipyard

**Maryland**

Fort Holabird

**Minnesota**

Minneapolis-Saint Paul International  
Airport Air Reserve Station

**Mississippi**

Columbus Air Force Base

**New York**

Niagra Falls International Airport Air  
Reserve Station

## North Dakota

Grand Forks Air Force Base

## Ohio

Youngstown-Warren Municipal  
Airport Air Reserve Station

## Oklahoma

Tinker Air Force Base

Defense Distribution Depot Oklahoma  
City

Vance Air Force Base

## Pennsylvania

Letterkenny Army Depot

Tobyhanna Army Depot

Defense Distribution Depot

Tobyhanna

## Texas

Kelly Air Force Base

Defense Distribution Depot San  
Antonio

Carswell Air Reserve Station, Naval

Air Station Fort Worth Joint Reserve  
Base Laughlin Air Force Base

## Utah

Hill Air Force Base

Defense Distribution Depot Hill

## Wisconsin

General Mitchell International Airport  
Air Reserve Station**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**Mr. Wade Nelson, Director of  
Communications, at (703) 696-0504.

Dated: May 11, 1995.

**L.M. Bynum,***Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison  
Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 95-12030 Filed 5-16-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

**Department of the Air Force****USAF Scientific Advisory Board  
Meeting**

The USAF Scientific Advisory Board's Science & Technology Review of Advanced Weapons will meet on 5-9 June 1995 at Kirtland AFB, NM from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

The purpose of the meeting is to fulfill the yearly SAB Science and Technology Review in the area of Advanced Weapons.

The meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with Section 552b of Title 5, United States Code, specifically subparagraphs (1) and (4) thereof.

For further information, contact the Scientific Advisory Board Secretariat at (703) 697-8845.

**Patsy J. Conner,***Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 95-12130 Filed 5-16-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3910-01-P

**Corps of Engineers****Intent To Prepare an Environmental  
Impact Statement and Notice of Public  
Scoping Meeting****AGENCY:** Corps of Engineers, Omaha  
District.**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The Metropolitan Utilities District (District), Omaha Nebraska, proposes to construct a 104 million gallon per day (MGD) well field near the Platte River in western Douglas and eastern Saunders Counties, Nebraska. The proposed project also includes a water treatment plant and transmission pipelines. The purpose of the proposed project is to provide additional public water supply to meet customer requirements through the year 2030 and to improve water source reliability for the District's growing service area.

The proposed action will require a Corps of Engineers' permit pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1344), which authorizes the Corps of Engineers to issue permits for the discharge of dredged or fill material into the waters of the United States. In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and implementing regulations, an environmental impact statement will be prepared to analyze the environmental impacts of the proposed action and alternatives. A public scoping meeting has been scheduled to solicit comments regarding the scope of the environmental studies.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Questions about the proposed action and Environmental Impact Statement should be directed to: Mr. Richard D. Gorton, Chief, Environmental Analysis Branch, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 215 North 17th Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68102-4978; phone (402) 221-4598; fax (402) 221-4886.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Metropolitan Utilities District (District) is the potable water supplier of Omaha, Nebraska, and the surrounding area. The District is experiencing a steady growth within its existing and expanding service area boundaries. It has determined that an additional 100 MGD supply would be required by the year 2030. It has also concluded that if peak day design conditions were to occur any year after 1995, the District would not be able to meet those demands. The District does not currently have any excess production capacity to provide reliability during peak water demand periods.

The proposed project would include a total of 42 wells in the Platte River

alluvial aquifer and associated pumping equipment, water transmission pipelines, a water treatment facility, and a 25-million-gallon storage reservoir. These facilities would deliver water to the western portion of the District's distribution system.

Alternatives to the proposed action identified to date include:

- Construction of well facilities in the Platte River alluvial aquifer in alternative locations;
- Construction of additional facilities to supply water from the Missouri River;
- No Federal action.

A public scoping meeting has been scheduled for June 1, 1995 at 7:00 p.m. at the Russell Middle School Cafeteria, 5304 South 172 Street, Omaha, Nebraska.

The purpose of the scoping meetings is to solicit public input on issues, studies needed, alternatives to be evaluated, and potential environmental effects. Written comments will also be requested.

Potential significant environmental issues include effects on threatened and endangered species and the indirect effects of drawdown on wetlands and recreational lakes.

Other applicable and pertinent environmental review and consultation requirements will be undertaken simultaneously with the NEPA process, including requirements of the Endangered Species Act, Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, National Historic Preservation Act, Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, and others.

**Gregory D. Showalter,***Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 95-12072 Filed 5-16-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-62-M

**Department of the Army****International Personal Property  
Program—Synopsis of Comments  
Received****AGENCY:** Military Traffic Management  
Command, DOD.

**ACTION:** Notice (Provide industry a synopsis of comments received from the carrier industry regarding the increase in carrier liability for international shipments and the elimination of the valuation charges for domestic shipments).

**SUMMARY:** The following synopsis includes the comments received from a total of six carriers/associations/bureaus and MTMC's response to each of these comments:

1. *Comment:* MTMC's proposal states that it is based upon the results of a