

MHPA, including 6 rare or protected habitats. Fifty-seven species are proposed to be adequately protected under the MHPA. The Service would issue incidental take permits for listed animal species and covered species agreements for listed and unlisted plant and animal species that are adequately protected.

The DEIR/DEIS considers the environmental consequences of 5 alternatives, including the proposed action and no action alternatives. Under the no action or no project alternative, the regional MSCP would not be implemented. Jurisdictions would either avoid take of listed species within the planning area or apply for individual 10(a) permits on a project-by-project basis. Existing land use and environmental regulations would apply to all projects proposed within the planning area. Existing regulatory practices require mitigation for impacts to sensitive species and habitats resulting in lands being set aside for open-space preservation. Analyses indicate that the amount of land potentially conserved within the MSCP planning area under the no action alternative would be similar to that conserved under the proposed action (MHPA). However, under the no action alternative, greater habitat fragmentation would likely occur because the lands set aside for open-space preservation would not be assembled in coordination with a regional preserve design.

Other alternatives consider different preserve configurations. The coastal sage scrub (CSS) scenario would conserve 84,900 acres. According to the MSCP plan, CSS would include 21 habitats, providing adequate protection for 2 habitats, neither of which is rare. Twenty-six species would be covered under CSS. The biologically preferred (BP) scenario would conserve 167,000 acres. According to the MSCP plan, BP would include 24 habitats, adequately protecting 9. Of these 9 habitats, 7 are considered rare. Seventy-three species are proposed to be adequately protected under BP. The public lands (PL) scenario would conserve 147,000 acres. According to the MSCP plan, PL would include 24 habitats and adequately protect 6, all of which are rare. Thirty-five species are proposed to be adequately protected under PL.

Local jurisdictions would implement their respective portions of the MSCP plan. Preserve establishment would be a cooperative effort among Federal, State, and local governments and private landowners. These groups would manage habitat on certain lands they currently own and on additional lands acquired for the preserve. Additional

lands within the preserve would be acquired as compensation for impacts to habitat both inside and outside the preserve.

In addition to off-site mitigation, take within the preserve would be avoided or minimized through local land-use regulation, environmental review, and resource protection guidelines. Land-use regulations would emphasize avoidance by limiting encroachment onto sensitive biological resources. Long-term preserve management plans would be prepared to address habitat management and land-use issues. The MSCP plan provides guidelines for vegetative restoration and reintroduction, fencing, signs, fire management, grazing, predator and exotic species control, insects and disease, lighting, and other factors.

Each jurisdiction would sign an individual implementing agreement (IA) with the Service and California Department of Fish and Game to (1) obtain permits to take listed animals, (2) obtain covered species agreements and assurances for listed plants and unlisted plants and animals, and (3) identify the specific responsibilities of each party in implementing the MSCP plan. Each jurisdiction would then exercise its land-use review and approval powers in accordance with its IA and the MSCP. The 5 percent limit on interim take of coastal sage scrub, imposed as part of the NCCP program and special 4(d) rule, would be replaced by the conditions of each jurisdiction's IA.

Each jurisdiction would be expected to adopt the final configuration of the MSCP preserve within its boundary and adopt the recommendations of the MSCP through amendment of its General plan or other applicable plans. Zoning would be retained or properties rezoned, as needed, and zoning regulations amended to reflect the preserve boundaries and to achieve consistency with the MSCP plan. The MSCP guidelines for compatible land uses in and adjacent to the preserve are expected to be incorporated into the General Plan, zoning regulations, and approval process for projects, including adoption of appropriate mitigation guidelines. Procedures and regulations for interim controls will be necessary to address activities that would potentially impact sensitive habitats prior to issuance of permits to individual jurisdictions.

Dated: May 4, 1995.

Thomas Dwyer,

Deputy Regional Director, Region 1, Portland, Oregon

[FR Doc. 95-11630 Filed 5-11-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

Sport Fishing and Boating Partnership Council; Notice of Meeting

Summary: Pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.), this notice announces a regularly scheduled meeting of the Sport Fishing and Boating Partnership Council. This meeting is sponsored by the Council. Interested persons may attend, make oral statements to the Council or may file written statements for consideration. Summary minutes of the meeting will be maintained by the Coordinator for the Council at 4040 North Fairfax Drive, Arlington, VA 22203, and will be available for public inspection during regular business hours (7:30-4:00) Monday through Friday within 30 days following the meeting. Personal copies may be purchased for the cost of duplication.

Date: The meeting will be held on June 6, 1995, from 12:30 p.m.-5:00 p.m.

Place: The meeting will be held at the American Automobile Association Hdqtrs., 1440 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 200, Washington, D.C.

Agenda: The Council will receive reports from the Initiatives, Boating, Ethics, and Education Committees.

Contact Person for More Information: For further information individuals may contact Chris Dlugokenski, Council Coordinator, at 703 358-1777.

Dated: May 2, 1995.

Mollie H. Beattie,

Director.

[FR Doc. 95-11700 Filed 5-11-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-M

Minerals Management Service

Announcement of Workshop on Proposed Policy Options for Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Natural Gas and Oil Resource Management

AGENCY: Minerals Management Service, Interior.

ACTION: The Minerals Management Service (MMS) will hold a workshop at the Gulf of Mexico Regional Office located at 1201 Elmwood Park Boulevard, Jefferson, Louisiana 70123, on June 12-13, 1995.

The agenda will cover the following policies:

- Bid Adequacy Procedures (bidding methods and number of bid and geometric average evaluation of the tract rules).
- Increased Flexibility in Length of Lease Terms, with Possible Changes in Rental Rates and Minimum Bids (and provision for suspension and

continuation of lease in effect with longer time periods between drilling).

- Information on Tracts with Indicated Hydrocarbons.
- Royalty Policies for New Leases (to offer lower royalties on offered tracts and suspend or reduce royalties based on market factors).
- Royalty Policies for Active Leases (expense and capital investment-type projects).
- Other Related Issues.

The meeting is open to the public. The first day will consist of both individual and joint MMS-industry panel discussions. The morning session will focus on issues such as bid adequacy, minimum bid levels, rentals, tract sizes, longer lease terms, and information on tracts with indicated hydrocarbons. The afternoon session will address royalty rates on new leases and extensions in the primary term on existing leases through modification of drilling requirements. It will also include an overview of the proposed MMS guidelines for royalty relief on active leases and the purpose they are designed to achieve. The second day will be reserved for more detailed technical discussions of how the proposed royalty relief guidelines would apply to representative lease operations. Selected examples and case studies will be considered in the afternoon session of the second day.

Interested parties are invited to attend both sessions, but it could be especially valuable for those responsible for the preparation of applications for royalty relief under the proposed guidelines to attend on the second day. These persons would include petroleum engineers, accountants, financial analysts and production managers.

REGISTRATION: Since seating will be limited, those wishing to attend any part of the 2-day session should register in advance and indicate which day(s) they plan to be present. Reservations should be made by phone (703-787-1628) or facsimile (703-787-1621) no later than May 31, 1995, to Ms. Karen Decker, Minerals Management Service, Mail Stop-4200, 381 Elden Street, Herndon, Virginia 22070.

COMMENTS: Written comments on the workshop and/or the individual topics covered should be sent by mail to the attention of Ms. Mary Vavrina, Economic Evaluation Branch, Minerals Management Service, 381 Elden Street, MS-4220, Herndon, Virginia, 22070, or at the above facsimile number. For more detail on the issues that MMS would like respondents to address, see the Call for Comment on Proposed Policy Options published in the **Federal**

Register on April 20, 1995 (60 FR 19767).

DATES: Monday, June 12, and Tuesday, June 13, 1995.

ADDRESSES: The Gulf of Mexico Regional Office, Minerals Management Service, Elmwood Towers Building, Conference Rooms 111-115, 1201 Elmwood Park Boulevard, Jefferson, Louisiana 70123—Contact person: Barney Congdon, (504) 736-2595.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Contact Ms. Mary Vavrina in Herndon, Virginia, at the address or facsimile number listed above, or call her directly at (703) 787-1540.

Dated: May 8, 1995.

Thomas Gernhofer,

Associate Director for Offshore Minerals Management.

[FR Doc. 95-11823 Filed 5-11-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-M

National Park Service

Notice of Issuance of a Prospectus for the Operation of a Guided Trail Ride Service Within Kalaupapa National Historic Park

Summary: The National Park Service has issued a Prospectus for a Concession Contract for the operation of a guided trail ride service at Kalaupapa National Historical Park.

Supplementary Information: The operation will provide the public with an opportunity to take an equestrian, guided trail ride down the historic pali trail into the community of Kalaupapa. The historic trail transcends a cliff and is approximately 2 miles in length involving the negotiation 26 switchbacks. Upon arriving at the bottom of the trail, the public will be given a tour of the historic district by a local resident.

To receive a copy of the Prospectus, contact the following either by mail or telephone: National Park Service, Concession Program Management Division, 600 Harrison Street, Suite 600, San Francisco, CA 94107-1327, or call: (415) 744-3981—Teresa Jackson.

Applications must be received by June 27, 1995, at the above address by the close of business day at 4:00 p.m. Western Daylight Saving Time.

Dated: May 5, 1995.

Stephen G. Crabtree,

Acting Regional Director, Western Region.

[FR Doc. 95-11798 Filed 5-11-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

Final General Management Plan/ Environmental Impact Statement; Haleakala National Park, Hawaii; Notice of Availability

Summary: Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (P.L. 91-190, as amended), the National Park Service, Department of Interior, has prepared a Final General Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement (FGMP/EIS) that describes and analyzes a proposal and two alternatives for the future management, use, and development of Haleakala National Park, Maui County, Hawaii.

The General Management Plan calls for acquiring lands adjacent to the park found to contain significant natural or cultural resources; this also will permit improvements in visitor access. The plan also calls for developing new facilities and the upgrading of existing facilities to improve the quality of visitor services. These improvements are needed to accommodate the substantial increases that have occurred in Haleakala's visitation over the past few decades. Additional research programs are also called for to refine and supplement the existing resource base data.

The Draft General Management Plan/ Environmental Impact Statement (DGMP/EIS) was released for public review on May 3, 1994 and the public comment period closed on July 30, 1994. Fifteen written comments were received. The FGMP/EIS incorporates minor modifications and clarifications in response to some of these comments, although no significant new issues or concerns were surfaced. The same proposal and alternatives were evaluated in the DGMP/EIS and the FGMP/EIS.

Supplementary Information: The no-action period on this final general management plan and environmental impact statement will extend for 30 days after Notice of its availability is published by the Environmental Protection Agency in the **Federal Register**.

For copies of the FGMP/EIS, or for further information, please contact: Superintendent, Haleakala National Park, P.O. Box 369, Makawao, Maui 96768.

Dated: April 11, 1995.

Patricia L. Newbacher,

Regional Director, Western Region.

FR Doc. 95-11801 Filed 5-11-95 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M