

filing a Stipulation and Agreement and related Appendices to the filing, together with an explanatory statement and workpapers.

Transwestern states that copies of the filing have been served to all parties to the proceedings in Docket Nos. RP93-34-000, *et al.*; RP94-227-000, *et al.*; CP94-211, *et al.*; CP94-254-000; CP94-676-000; CP94-751-000, *et al.*; CP95-70-000; CP95-153-000; CP95-378-000; and CP95-112-000 in addition to all other persons whom Transwestern is required to serve in accordance with Commission Rule 602(d). Initial comments on the settlement are due on or before May 22, 1995, and reply comments are due on or before June 1, 1995.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before May 12, 1995. Any persons who have already been granted intervention in the following dockets (Docket Nos. RP93-34-000, *et al.*; RP94-227-000, *et al.*; CP94-211, *et al.*; CP94-254-000; CP94-676-000; CP94-751-000, *et al.*; CP95-70-000; CP95-153-000; CP95-378-000; and CP95-112-000) are automatically parties to the above-captioned proceeding, and need not file additional petitions to intervene in the above-captioned proceeding. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the public reference room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-11609 Filed 5-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-405-000]

Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

May 5, 1995.

Take notice that on May 1, 1995, Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company (Williston Basin), 200 North Third Street, Suite 300, Bismarck, North Dakota 58501, filed in Docket No. CP95-405-000 a request pursuant to Sections

157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.216) for authorization to abandon a town border station, under Williston Basin's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-1-000, *et al.* pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Williston Basin Proposes to abandon its North Sidney Town Border Station located in Richland County, Montana. Williston Basin states that Montana Dakota Utilities Co. (Montana-Dakota) no longer requires service through this border station because the main Sidney Border Station possesses sufficient capacity to provide reliable service to Montana Dakota to serve its requirements in Sidney. Williston Basin mentions that all above ground facilities will be removed.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-11610 Filed 5-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Ref: 8HWM-ER; FRL 5205-6]

Proposed First Amendment to the Administrative Settlement Under 122(h)(1), Triangle Petroleum Site, Fruita, Mesa County, Colorado

AGENCY: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of proposed amendment to the Administrative Settlement; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response,

Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. §9622(i), as amended by the Superfund Amendments and reauthorization Act ("CERCLA"), notice is hereby given of a proposed first amendment to the administrative settlement concerning the Triangle Petroleum Site in Fruita, Mesa County, Colorado.

Between the time that Administrative Settlement Agreement for Cost Recovery, Docket No. CERCLA VIII-95-01 ("Agreement"), was executed and the time that it became effective, R. W. Harmon and Sons a/k/a Mayflower Contract Services ("Harmon") and Mesa County, Colorado, reached agreement with the Settling Parties and contributed to the Settling Parties' monies used in part for the payment to the Hazardous Substance Superfund.

EPA and the Settling Parties desire to amend the Agreement to add Harmon and Mesa County as parties to the Agreement. Therefore, the Agreement is amended to add Harmon and Mesa County as named Respondents and Signatories on the same terms and conditions as all the other Respondents.

For thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to this amendment. The Agency's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at EPA Region VIII's Superfund Records Center, which is located on the 8th floor of the North Tower, at 999 18th Street, Denver, Colorado.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before June 12, 1995.

ADDRESSES: An original and two copies of comments must be sent to James R. Rhodes, Enforcement Specialist, Triangle Petroleum Site Team, EPA Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado, 80202-2405.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wendy Silver, Office of Regional Counsel, (303) 294-7568..

Jack McGraw,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-11680 Filed 5-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[FRL-5206-1]

South Carolina and Georgia Marine Sanitation Device Standard; Petition

Notice is hereby given that a petition has been received from the States of South Carolina and Georgia requesting a determination by the Regional Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency, pursuant to Section 312(f)(3) of Pub. L. 92-500 as amended

by Pub. L. 95-217 and Pub. L. 100-4, that adequate facilities for the safe and sanitary removal and treatment of sewage from all vessels are reasonably available for Hartwell Lake to qualify as a "No Discharge Area".

Section 312(f)(3) states:

After the effective date of the initial standards and regulations promulgated under this section, if any State determines that the protection and enhancement of the quality of some or all of the waters within such States require greater environmental protection, such State may completely prohibit the discharge from all vessels of any sewage, whether treated or not, into such waters, except that no such prohibition shall apply until the Administrator determines that adequate facilities for the safe and sanitary removal and treatment of sewage from all vessels are reasonably available for such water to which such prohibition would apply.

The States of South Carolina and Georgia have certified that there are three existing pump-out facilities and two additional facilities planned to service vessels in Hartwell Lake.

The existing facilities, their address, telephone number, hours of operation and draught are as follows:

A. Hartwell Marina; 1500 North Forest Avenue, Hartwell, Georgia 30643; (404)376-5441; 9 am—5 pm; seven days a week; 16 foot draught.

B. Portman Shoals Marina; Route 11, Anderson, South Carolina 29624; (803)287-3211; 9 am—5 pm; seven days a week; 20 foot draught.

C. Western Carolina Sailing Club; 5200 Westwind Way, Anderson, South Carolina 29624; (803)226-6561; 24 hours; seven days a week; 8 foot draught.

The marinas proposing to add pump-out facilities are:

A. Seneca Marina; Box 1591, Clemson, South Carolina 29631; (803)653-4500.

B. Big Water Marina; Route 2, Box 133A, Big Water Road, Star, South Carolina 29684; (803)226-3339.

The number of boats with marine sanitation devices (MSD's) using the lake has been estimated to be 580. The ratio of boats with MSD's to pump-out facilities is 193.

The petition notes that each of the three marinas with existing pump-out facilities have waste treatment systems that conform with federal law. Hartwell Marina and Portman Marina pump-out facilities discharge into State approved and regulated septic tanks. Western Carolina Sailing Club's facilities discharge into a large holding tank which is picked up by a private concern

that transports the sewage to one of the Anderson County sewage treatment plants.

Comments and views regarding this request for action may be filed on or before June 12, 1995. Such communications, or requests for information or a copy of the applicant's petition, should be addressed to Wesley B. Crum, Chief, Coastal Programs Section, US EPA, Region 4, 345 Courtland St. N.E., Atlanta, GA 30365. Telephone (404)347-1740 x4235.

Dated: May 3, 1995.

Patrick M. Tobin,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-11681 Filed 5-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 2071]

Application for Review of Action in Rulemaking Proceeding

May 8, 1995.

Application for review have been filed in the Commission rulemaking proceedings listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR 1.429(e). The full text of this document is available for viewing and copying in Room 239, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor ITS, Inc. (202) 857-3800. Opposition to this petition must be filed by May 26, 1995. See § 1.4(b) (1) of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 1.4(b) (1)). Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions has expired.

Subject: In the Matter of Amendment of Section 73.606(b), Table of Allotments, Television Broadcast Stations. (Albion, Nebraska) (MM Docket No. 94-143).

Number of Petition Filed: 1.

Federal Communications Commission.

LaVera F. Marshall,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-11646 Filed 5-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Ocean Freight Forwarder License Applicants

Notice is hereby given that the following applicants have filed with the Federal Maritime Commission applications for licenses as ocean freight forwarders pursuant to section 19 of the

Shipping Act of 1984 (46 U.S.C. app. 1718 and 46 CFR part 510).

Persons knowing of any reason why any of the following applicants should not receive a license are requested to contact the Office of Freight Forwarders, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573.

Sisto International Shipping, 560 Lee Drive, Miami Springs, FL 33136, Manuel Sisto, Tracy Sisto, (Partnership).

Keith Guidroz, #6 Wisteria Place, Marrero, LA 70072, Sole Proprietor.

Dated: May 8, 1995.

By the Federal Maritime Commission.

[FR Doc. 95-11648 Filed 5-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Clyde Financial Corporation; Notice of Application to Engage de novo in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The company listed in this notice has filed an application under § 225.23(a)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(1)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to commence or to engage *de novo*, either directly or through a subsidiary, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party