

witnesses. Since the Commission has made a final determination that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, if a hearing is requested, it will not stay the effectiveness of the amendment. Any hearing held would take place while the amendment is in effect.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to (**Project Director**): petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to the attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for a hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

**Carolina Power & Light Company,
Docket No. 50-261, H. B. Robinson
SteamElectric Plant, Unit No. 2,
Darlington County, South Carolina**

Date of application for amendment: April 13, 1995, as supplemented April 18, 1995.

Brief description of amendment: Amendment revises TS Section 4.4.3.f, g, and h to allow the post accident heat removal system surveillance test interval to be changed from a 12-month interval to a refueling outage interval.

Date of issuance: April 19, 1995

Effective date: April 19, 1995

Amendment No.: 163

Facility Operating License No. DPR-23. Amendment revises the Technical Specifications. The Commission's final determination of significant hazards

consideration and related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated April 19, 1995.

Local Public Document Room location: Hartsville Memorial Library, 147 West College Avenue, Hartsville, South Carolina 29550.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 3rd day of May, 1995.

For The Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Elinor G. Adensam,
*Acting Director, Division of Reactor Projects
- III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation*
[Doc. 95-11367 Filed 5-9-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-F

[Docket No. 50-160-Ren; ASLBP No. 95-704-01-Ren]

**Georgia Institute of Technology,
Atlanta, GA, Georgia Tech Research
Reactor, (Renewal of Facility License
No. R-97); Notice of Hearing**

May 4, 1995.

On September 26, 1994, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity for hearing with respect to the proposed renewal of the facility operating license for the Georgia Tech Research Reactor, located on the campus of the Georgia Institute of Technology in Atlanta, Georgia (59 FR 49088). One request for a hearing and petition for leave to intervene, filed by Georgians Against Nuclear Energy (GANE), was received. On November 18, 1994, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board was established to rule upon this request and to preside over the proceeding in the event that a hearing were ordered.

After holding a prehearing conference in Atlanta, Georgia, the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board issued a Prehearing Conference Order (LBP-95-6) on April 26, 1995, granting GANE's request for a hearing and petition for leave to intervene.

Please take notice that a hearing will be conducted in this proceeding. The Atomic Safety and Licensing Board designated to preside over the proceeding consists of Dr. Jerry R. Kline, Dr. Peter S. Lam, and Charles Bechhoefer, who will serve as Chairman of the Board.

During the course of the proceeding, the Board may hold one or more prehearing conferences pursuant to 10 CFR 2.752 and, if necessary, an evidentiary hearing. The public is invited to attend all these sessions, except to the extent that information protected by 10 CFR 2.790 (relevant to one of the contentions accepted by the Board) may be discussed.

Supplementing the opportunity afforded at the first prehearing conference, during some or all of these sessions, and in accordance with 10 CFR 2.715(a), any person not a party to the proceeding will be permitted to make a limited appearance statement, either in writing or (depending on time availability) orally, setting forth his or her position on the issues. These statements do not constitute testimony or evidence in these proceedings but may assist the Board and/or parties in the definition of issues being considered. To the extent that oral statements are permitted, the number of persons making such statements and the time allotted for each may be limited depending upon the time available at various sessions. Written statements may be submitted at any time. Written statements, and requests to make oral limited appearance statements, should be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attn: Docketing and Service Branch. A copy of such statement or request should be served on the Chairman of this Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, T3 F23, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555.

Documents relating to this proceeding are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L St. N.W., Washington, D.C. 20555.

Rockville, MD, May 4, 1995.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.

Charles Bechhoefer,
Chairman, Administrative Judge.
[FR Doc. 95-11532 Filed 5-9-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket Nos. 70-7001; 70-7002]

**United States Enrichment Corporation:
Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant;
Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant;
Notice of Cancellation of Comment
Period and Cancellation of Public
Meetings Due to Inadequate
Application for Certification**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) received by letter dated April 18, 1995, an application from the United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC) for the initial certification of the gaseous diffusion plants (GDPs) located near Paducah, Kentucky and Piketon, Ohio. Notice of receipt of this application along with notice of comment period and public meetings was published in The **Federal Register** on April 28, 1995 (60 FR 21011). However, NRC's preliminary

review of the application indicates that the application does not contain enough information for NRC to determine compliance with NRC regulation 10 CFR part 76. Therefore, USEC has been notified that it must submit a new application. Note that this determination does not constitute a finding that the GDP operations are unsafe or in noncompliance.

The public comment period from April 28, 1995 to June 15, 1995, and the public meetings scheduled for May 23 and May 24, 1995, have been cancelled. They will be rescheduled at a later date when USEC submits the new application.

Copies of the application for certification (except for classified and proprietary portions withheld in accordance with 10 CFR 2.790, "Availability of Public Records"), dated April 18, 1995, will continue to be available for public inspection and copying at the Commission's Public Document Room (PDR) and Local Public Document Rooms (LPDR) established for the gaseous diffusion plants. Upon receipt, USEC's new application will also be made available at the PDR and respective LPDR's. Copies of related correspondence and staff evaluations (except for portions withheld in accordance with 10 CFR 2.790) will continue to be made available at these locations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Rocio Castaneira, telephone (301) 415-8103; Mr. Carl B. Sawyer, telephone (301) 415-8174; or Ms. Merri Horn, telephone (301) 415-8126; Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

Dated at Rockville Maryland, this 4th day of May 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
John W.N. Hickey,
Chief, Enrichment Branch, Division of Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards.
[FR Doc. 95-11483 Filed 5-9-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Agency Forms Submitted for OMB Review

Summary: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Railroad Retirement Board has submitted the following proposal(s) for the collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for review and approval.

Summary of Proposal(s):

- (1) *Collection title:* Annual Earnings Monitoring
- (2) *Form(s) submitted:* RRB Form G-19 (I), (II), (III), (IV), (V)
- (3) *OMB Number:* 3220-0073
- (4) *Expiration date of current OMB clearance:* June 30, 1995
- (5) *Type of request:* Revision of a currently approved collection
- (6) *Respondents:* Individuals or households, Business or other for-profit
- (7) *Estimated annual number of respondents:* 2,700
- (8) *Total annual responses:* 2,700
- (9) *Total annual reporting hours:* 450
- (10) *Collection description:* The report obtains information about a survivor annuitant's employment and earnings. Under the RRA, an annuity can be reduced or not paid, depending on the amount of earnings and type of work performed.

Additional Information or Comments: Copies of the form and supporting documents can be obtained from Chuck Mierzwa, the agency clearance officer (312-751-3363). Comments regarding the information collection should be addressed to Ronald J. Hodapp, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611-2092 and the OMB reviewer, Laura Oliven (202-395-7316), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10230, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503.

Chuck Mierzwa,

Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-11458 Filed 5-9-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7905-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-35669; File No. SR-BSE-95-04]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Boston Stock Exchange, Inc.; Order Granting Approval to Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Permanent Approval of BEACON Subscriber Credits

May 3, 1995.

On February 13, 1995, the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc. ("BSE" or "Exchange") submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") or "Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change seeking permanent approval of the

BEACON subscriber credits. On March 13, 1995, the Exchange submitted to the Commission Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change,³ and on March 23, 1995, the Exchange submitted Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change.⁴

The proposed rule change, including Amendment Nos. 1 and 2, was published for comment in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 35529 (Mar. 23, 1995), 60 FR 16216 (Mar. 29, 1995). No comments were received on the proposal.⁵

The Exchange seeks to obtain permanent approval of a portion of its fee schedule that provides credits of \$.25 per trade to all non-self-directed, electronically routed, Exchange executed trades. The aggregate credit per firm is limited to the total monthly layoff transaction fees charged to that firm.⁶ For purposes of the per trade credit, "non-self-directed" means entered by a BEACON subscriber in a stock in which the routing firm has no affiliation with or financial interest in the specialist operation registered in such stock.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange, and, in particular, with the

³ See letter from Karen Aluisse, Assistant Vice President, BSE, to Jennifer Choi, Attorney, Division of Market Regulation, SEC, dated March 9, 1995. Amendment No. 1 corrected Exhibit 2 by referencing the BEACON subscriber Credits as the fee being amended and deleting unnecessary language.

⁴ See letter from Karen Aluisse, Assistant Vice President, BSE, to Jennifer Choi, Attorney, Division of Market Regulation, SEC, dated March 22, 1995. Amendment No. 2 corrected Exhibit 2 by moving the phrase "all trades accumulate for volume discounts" below the schedule of volume discounts.

⁵ After the Commission published the proposed rule change for comment, the Exchange, pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act, filed a rule change further amending the language of the portion of its fee schedule entitled "Transaction Fees" that relate to trade recording and comparison charges, which is the subject of the current filing. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 35630 (Apr. 19, 1995) 60 FR 20541 (Apr. 26, 1995) (noticing the filing and immediate effectiveness of the proposed rule change). Although the changes made by the filing did not affect the substance of this proposed rule change, they did alter the text of the proposed rule change as attached in Exhibit 2 of the BSE's filing. See File No. SR-BSE-95-04.

⁶ The layoff transaction fees refer to the trade recording and comparison charges incurred by a firm as a result of executing trades through layoff terminals on the floor of the Exchange. These terminals are firm proprietary systems that are integrated with the order routing system of the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") and route orders directly to the NYSE. Telephone conversation with Karen Aluisse and Ken Meeden, BSE, and Glen Barrentine and Jennifer S. Choi, SEC, on May 3, 1995.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1) (1988).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4 (1994).