

4th Revised Eighth Revised Sheet No. 8A
Third Revised Sheet No. 8A.01
3rd Revised Original Sheet No. 8A.02
2nd Revised Seventh Revised Sheet No. 8B
2nd Revised Original Sheet No. 8B.01

FGT states that it is requesting expedited Commission action on the filing. FGT states that it is filing to make out-of-cycle adjustments to both the Current Fuel Reimbursement Charge and the Annual Fuel Surcharge components of its Effective Fuel Reimbursement Charge. FGT is proposing to increase the Current Fuel Reimbursement Charge from 2.89% to 3.34% to more closely match this charge with the quantities of fuel usage and lost and unaccounted for gas currently being experienced on its system and minimize the balance of its Deferred Fuel Account.

Further, FGT states that it is necessary to revise its Annual Fuel Surcharge from the currently effective <0.30>% to 0.51%. FGT states this is required to reflect the net under recovery of fuel volumes on its system through February 28, 1995 and to segregate the Deferred Fuel Account Balance related to transportation service in effect prior to the institution of incremental firm transportation service under Rate Schedule FTS-2. FGT states that such under recovery of fuel prior to March 1, 1995, will be recovered from transportation services in effect prior to that date and any subsequent over or under collection of fuel should be isolated for recovery from all shippers.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426 in accordance with §§ 385.211 and 385.214 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before May 10, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-11325 Filed 5-8-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-261-000]

Great Lakes Gas Transmission Limited Partnership; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

May 3, 1995.

Take notice that on May 1, 1995, Great Lakes Gas Transmission Limited Partnership (Great Lakes), tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheets, proposed to become effective May 1, 1995:

First Revised Sheet No. 40

First Revised Sheet No. 41

Great Lakes states that the above-described tariff sheets are being filed to reflect changes to short-term capacity releases on Great Lakes' system, as provided by the Commission in Order No. 577, 70 FERC ¶ 61,359 (1995).

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a Motion to Intervene or Protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 214 or 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before May 10, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Commission's Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-11326 Filed 5-8-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project No. 2609 New York]

International Paper Company and Curtis/Palmer Hydroelectric Company L.P.; Notice of Intent to File an Application For a New License

May 3, 1995.

Take notice that International Paper Company and Curtis/Palmer Hydroelectric Company L.P., the existing co-licensees for the Curtis/Palmer Falls Hydroelectric Project No. 2609, filed a timely notice of intent to file an application for a new license, pursuant to 18 CFR 16.6 of the Commission's Regulations. The original license for Project No. 2609 was issued effective May 1, 1980, and expires April 30, 2000.

The project is located on the Hudson River in Warren and Saratoga Counties, New York. The principal works of the Curtis/Palmer Falls Project include two dam and reservoir developments (No. 1 & 2): (No. 1) Curtis with a concrete gravity dam about 25 feet high and 743 feet long with spillway, flashboards and sluice gate; a 390-acre reservoir at elevation 548.8 ft USGS; and a powerhouse with an installed capacity of 10.8 MW: (No. 2) Palmer Falls with a concrete arch dam 37 feet high and 486 feet long with spillways, flashboards and minimum flow gate; a 28-acre reservoir at elev 522.9 ft USGS; a forebay and powerhouse with an installed capacity of 48 MW: Both developments have transmission line connections and appurtenant facilities.

Pursuant to 18 CFR 16.7, the licensee is required henceforth to make available certain information to the public. This information is now available from the licensees at International Paper Company, Hudson River Mill, 15 Pine Street, Corinth, New York 12822.

Pursuant to 18 CFR 16.8, 16.9 and 16.10, each application for a new license and any competing license applications must be filed with the Commission at least 24 months prior to the expiration of the existing license. All applications for license for this project must be filed by April 30, 1998.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-11327 Filed 5-8-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-262-000]

Kern River Gas Transmission Co.; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

May 3, 1995.

Take notice that on May 1, 1995, Kern River Gas Transmission Company (Kern River) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets to become effective on June 1, 1995:

Second Revised Sheet No. 106

First Revised Sheet No. 815

First Revised Sheet No. 817

First Revised Sheet No. 826

First Revised Sheet No. 827

Kern River states that the revised tariff sheets make certain minor changes to Kern River's capacity release program. More specifically, the revised tariff sheets will apply to Kern River's firm transportation Rate Schedule SH-1 the tariff changes filed by Kern River as to its other firm transportation rate schedules in Docket No. RP95-236-000, pertaining to posting and bidding

procedures and procedures concerning Prearranged Bidders. In addition, the revised tariff sheets will make the changes in Kern River's tariff, with respect to all firm transportation rate schedules, that are necessary to comply with the Commission's Order No. 577.

Kern River states that copies of the filing were served upon Kern River's jurisdictional customers and all affected state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.214 and 285.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before May 10, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-11328 Filed 5-8-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Louisiana Gas System Inc. and Conoco Inc., Complainants, vs. Panhandle Eastern Corporation and Centana Energy Corporation, et al., Respondents; Notice of Complaint and Motion for Cease and Desist Order

[Docket No. CP95-349-000]

May 3, 1995.

Take notice that on April 24, 1995, Louisiana Gas System Inc. (LGS), P.O. Box 2197 (CH-1128), Houston, Texas 77252, and Conoco Inc. (Conoco), (jointly Complainants), 600 North Dairy Ashford, Houston, Texas 77079, filed a complaint with the Commission in Docket No. CP95-349-000, pursuant to Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, against Panhandle Eastern Corporation (PECO) and Centana Energy Corporation (CECO), *et al.*,¹ (jointly Respondents), alleging efforts to circumvent the Commission's authority under Section 7

of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) through the use of "unregulated" affiliates.

Complainants allege that PECO, through its regulated and unregulated subsidiaries, intends to construct and operate a 70-mile large diameter, high-pressure natural gas pipeline from its storage/marketing hub at Spindletop, Jefferson County, Texas,² to Gillis, Beauregard Parish, Louisiana, where the proposed pipeline would interconnect with existing interstate pipeline affiliates' facilities. Complainants state that as part of this project, CECO has recently filed for and received approval from the State of Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, Office of Conservation, Pipeline Division to construct and operate an "intrastate" pipeline.

CECO's proposed "intrastate" pipeline would begin at Trunkline Gas Company's (Trunkline is a PECO interstate subsidiary) proposed Sabine River Crossing at the Texas-Louisiana border. According to the Complainants, CECO's proposed 24-inch diameter "intrastate" pipeline would then proceed 35.30 miles in a northeasterly direction to interconnections with Trunkline and Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern is also a PECO interstate subsidiary).³ The 35.30-mile Louisiana portion of this pipeline system would complete a chain of affiliated interstate and "intrastate" pipelines from southern Texas to eastern Louisiana and beyond. The Louisiana portion of this proposed pipeline would have a 1,200 psig Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure (MAOP) and a capacity of 450 MMcf of natural gas per day.

Complainants allege that PECO and CECO are constructing the above pipeline with the intent of looping Texas Eastern's interstate mainline system. A map submitted in the complaint filing herein indicates that the combined Centana-Texas to Trunkline to Centana-Louisiana to Texas Eastern's system parallels the existing Texas Eastern mainline, and in fact, shares its right-of-way. Complainants state that the obvious intent is to expand Texas Eastern's mainline without seeking Commission certificate approval. Furthermore, Complainants allege that Centana-Louisiana admits that its system serves as a bridge between Texas Eastern on its

² Complainants state that they have not been able to obtain Texas Railroad Commission filings, if any exist, that would describe the details of the proposed Texas portion of the project.

³ The delivery capacities of the Trunkline and Texas Eastern interconnections in Louisiana would be 250 MMcf and 350 MMcf of natural gas per day, respectively.

western end and Texas Eastern on its eastern end. Complainants also allege that all of the entities to this transaction are affiliated and shares corporate directors, officers, and addresses.

Complainants, therefore, request that the Commission issue an immediate cease and desist order and direct a show cause order to the Respondents to explain why the proposed facilities do not require NGA certification, and further, that the matter be set for a full evidentiary hearing.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make a protest with reference to LGS and Conoco's complaint should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or protest in accordance with the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 or 385.214). All such motions, together with the answer(s) of Respondents to the motion and to the Complaint, should be filed on or before May 31, 1995. Any person desiring to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Louis D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-11329 Filed 5-8-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER95-269-000]

Montana-Dakota Utilities Co., a division of MDU Resources Group, Inc.; Notice of Filing

May 3, 1995.

Take notice on March 20, 1995, Montana-Dakota Utilities Co., a division of MDU Resources Group, Inc. (Montana-Dakota) tendered for filing an amendment to its original filing in this docket.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before May 15, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the

¹ Among these parties are Centana Louisiana Pipeline (Centana Louisiana), a CECO subsidiary which operates as a Louisiana intrastate pipeline, and Centana Intrastate Pipeline Company (Centana Texas), a CECO subsidiary which operates as a Texas intrastate pipeline.