

the goal of virtual elimination. Therefore, the Lake Michigan LaMP does not require virtual elimination of pollutants, unless it is determined that virtual elimination of a specific substance is necessary to restore and protect a beneficial use. The LaMP process will take steps to reduce loads of LaMP Pollutants, thereby ensuring reasonable progress in attaining the goals of the Agreement.

Several commentors stated that many of the references cited in the draft Lake Michigan LaMP need to be updated, references to unpublished studies are not appropriate in this document, and that more complete data should be incorporated into the LaMP.

USEPA has revised the proposed LaMP to include more recent data and a greater amount of data in general. USEPA concurs that unpublished studies should not be used to draw conclusions, and that only information pertinent to Lake Michigan, or at least to the Great Lakes, should be presented in the Lake Michigan LaMP.

X. Future LaMP Revisions

The proposed Lake Michigan LaMP will be revised following the public comment period to incorporate the comments received. The next iteration of the Lake Michigan LaMP will again be published in the **Federal Register**, to be followed by periodic revisions of the LaMP. These updates, on an ongoing basis, will ensure that the most recent data are incorporated into the document, that pollutant lists, sources, and loads are reviewed and updated by participating Agencies, and that new, emerging issues are identified and addressed. USEPA will continue to solicit public input and comment on LaMP activities and products during these future updates.

Dated: April 20, 1995.

Valdas V. Adamkus,

Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. 95-11146 Filed 5-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[ER-FRL-4722-7]

Environmental Impact Statement and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared March 27, 1995 through March 31, 1995 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Request for copies of EPA comments can be directed

to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 260-5076.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 1995 (72 FR 19047).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-K65168-CA Rating EC2, San Bernardino National Forest, Realignment and Reconstruction, Falls Road, Implementation, San Bernardino County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns on two water quality issues. EPA requested that the final EIS should clarify whether any aspect of the project will require a permit under Clean Air Act Section 404; and should carefully explore all feasible water quality mitigation for project construction due to existing erosion problems in the area and its steep terrain.

ERP No. D-DOE-E22000-PC Rating EC2, Savannah River Site Waste Management Facilities, Implementation, Aiken, Allendale and Barnwell Counties, SC.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns for potential impacts to sensitive ecological and cultural resources under the maximum waste volume forecast. EPA found the Extensive Treatment Configuration to be the environmentally preferable alternative for long-term benefits.

ERP No. D-SFW-K99024-NV Rating EC2, Desert Tortoises (*Gopherus Agassizii*) Habitat, Issuance of Permit to Allow Incidental Take, Federal Land and Non-Federal Land, Clark County, NV.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns. EPA applauded the regional effort represented by the CCDCP and the long-term incidental take permit. EPA proposed that the FEIS include additional information on existing conditions and potential impacts to air and water quality. EPA also recommended describing contingency plans in the FEIS in the event that development projections are exceeded and/or mitigation and conservation measures and unsuccessful.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-G61033-NM, Sipapu Ski Area Expansion, Master Development Plan Approval and Special Use Permit, Carson National Forest, Camino Real Ranger District, Taos County, NM.

Summary: EPA expressed lack of objections to the proposed action. EPA's concerns have been adequately addressed in the final EIS.

ERP No. F-AFS-L81011-AK, Helicopter Glacier Landing Tours, Implementation, Issuance of Special-Use-Permits, Tongass National Forest, Chatham Area, Juneau Ranger District, Alaska.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the preferred alternative as described in the EIS. Review of the final EIS has been completed and the project found to be satisfactory.

ERP No. F-BLM-J65203-MT, Big Dry Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Miles City District, several counties, MT.

Summary: EPA continued to express environmental concerns regarding environmental effects, including cumulative effects, and lack of meaningful, detailed monitoring plans, particularly addressing fisheries, non-point pollution sources and water quality monitoring. EPA believed that water quality impacts of land management activities need to be monitored, assessed, and evaluated on a continuing basis to detect and measure impacts, so that the necessary adjustments in activities to prevent and minimize adverse impacts can be made.

ERP No. F-FHW-K40207-CA, CA-41 Route Adoption of Alignment Project, between El Paso Avenue and CA-145, Funding, Right-of-Way Acquisition and COE Section 404 Permit, Fresno and Madera Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA provided comments regarding EPA's role in the mitigation plan and implementation schedule for wetland impacts under Clean Water Act Section 404 as well as the infiltration and detention basins used to hold stormwater runoff. Both issues will be discussed in greater detail in the project's Tier II environmental documentation for facility construction.

ERP No. F-FHW-L50004-WA, Stillaguamish River Bridges WA-9/132 (Haller) and WA-530/120 (Lincoln) Bridge Replacement Project, Improvements, Funding, COE Section 404 Permit and Right-of-Way Acquisition, City of Arlington, Snohomish County, WA.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the preferred alternative as described in the EIS. Review of the Final EIS has been completed and the project found to be satisfactory.

ERP No. F-NPS-C80023-NY, Hamilton Grange National Memorial, General Management Plan, Implementation, New York County, NY.

Summary: EPA expressed lack of objections to implementing the project as proposed.

ERP No. F-USN-K11024-CA, U.S. Navy Lease of Fleet and Industrial Supply Center, (Naval Supply Center)

Property of the Port of Oakland for Development of Intermodal Rail Facilities and Maritime Cargo-Related Tenant Uses, Alameda County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with the Navy undocumented cumulative effects placed upon nearby communities. However, the Navy addressed EPA's concerns in response to comments.

ERP No. F-VAD-K11056-HI, Veterans Affairs Medical and Regional Office Center Relocation to Tripler Army Medical Center, Construction and Renovation, Approval and NPDES Permit, Oahu, HI.

Summary: EPA continued to express environmental concerns regarding endangered species and environmental justices documentation.

Dated: May 5, 1995.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 95-11171 Filed 5-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[ER-FRL-4722-6]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 260-5076 or (202) 260-5075. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed April 24, 1995 Through April 28, 1995 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 950164, Final EIS, BLM, AZ, Cypus Tohono Open Pit Mine Expansion Project, Plan of Operation Approval and Drilling Permit, Implementation, Tohono O'odham Nation, Papago Indian Reservation, Pinal County, AZ, Due: June 5, 1995, Contact: Moon Hom (602) 650-0225.

EIS No. 950165, Final EIS, BLM, CA, Rand Open Pit Heap Leach Gold Mine Project, Construction, Expansion and Operation, Conditional-Use-Permit and Plan of Operations and Reclamation Plan, Randburg, Kern County, CA, Due: June 5, 1995, Contact: Ahmed Mohsen (619) 384-5400.

EIS No. 950166, Final Supplement, AFS, WA, East Curlew Creek Analysis Area Harvesting Timber and Road Construction, Updated Information, Portion of Profanity Roadless Area, Colville National Forest, Republic Ranger District, Ferry County, WA, Due: June 5, 1995, Contact: Patricia Egan (509) 775-3305.

EIS No. 950167, Draft Supplement, DOE, WA, Puget Power Northwest Washington Electric Transmission

Project, Updated Information, Construction and Operation, Whatcom and Skagit Counties, WA, Due: June 19, 1999, Contact: Ken Barnhart (503) 230-3667.

EIS No. 950168, Final EIS, FHW, NC, I-85 Greensboro Bypass Study Area Transportation Improvement, I-85 South of Greensboro to I-40/85 east of Greensboro, Funding, Possible Section 404 Permit, City of Greensboro, Guilford County, NC, Due: June 5, 1995, Contact: Nicholas L. Graf (919) 856-4350.

EIS No. 950169, Draft EIS, FAA, WA, Seattle-Tacoma (Sea-Tac) International Airport Master Plan Update for Development Actions, Funding, Airport Layout Plan Approval and COE Section 404 Permit, King County, WA, Due: August 3, 1995, Contact: Dennis Ossenkop (206) 227-2611.

EIS No. 950170, Draft EIS, FHW, WI, US 12 Highway Improvement, Sauk City of Middleton, Funding and COE Section 404 Permits Issuance, Sauk and Dane Counties, WI, Due: June 26, 1999, Contact: Richard Madrzak (608) 264-5968.

EIS No. 950171, Final Supplement, NRC, TN, Watts Bar Nuclear Plant Units 1 and 2, Operating License, Rhea County, TN, Due: June 6, 1995, Contact: Scott Flanders (301) 415-1172.

EIS No. 950172, Final EIS, AFS, CA, Shasta-Trinity National Forests Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Humboldt, Modoc, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama and Trinity Counties, CA, Due: June 5, 1995, Contact: Steve Fitch (916) 246-5222.

EIS No. 950173, Draft EIS, USN, TX, Mine Warfare Center of Excellence (MWCE) Establishment, Construction and Operations, Magnitic Silencing Facility (MSF), Aviation Mine Count Measures (AMCM) and Sled Facility, Possible NPDES Permit, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Corpus Christi Bay Area, TX, Due: June 19, 1995, Contact: Will Sloger (803) 743-0797.

EIS No. 950174, Draft EIS, BOP, LA, Pollock US Penitentiary and Federal Prison Camp (FPC), Construction and Operation, Site Selection of a former World War II Military Installation, Grant Parish, LA, Due: June 19, 1995, Contact: David J. Dorworth (202) 514-6470.

EIS No. 950175, Final EIS, AFS, CA, Cottonwood Fire Restoration Project, Implementation, Tahoe National Forest, Sierraville Ranger District, Sierra County, CA, Due: June 5, 1995, Contact: Karen Walden (916) 478-6253.

EIS No. 950176, Draft Supplement, BLM, NM, Fence Lake Federal Coal Project, Updated Information for Approval or Disapproval of Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District (SRP), Lease Approval, Mining Plan Permit Application, Catron and Cibola, Cos, NM and Apache County, AZ, Due: June 28, 1995, Contact: Robert H. Block (303) 672-5610.

EIS No. 950177, Draft Supplement, COE, TX, OK, Red River Chloride Control Project, Construction and Operation Methods, Updated and additional Information, several counties TX and OK, Due: June 19, 1995, Contact: David L. Combs (918) 669-7188.

EIS No. 950178, Final EIS, DOD, CA, California Acoustic Thermometry of Ocean Climate (ATOC) Program and Marine Mammal Research Program (MMRP), Funding, Marine Mammal Research Permit and COE Nationwide Permits Issuance, Monterey County, CA, Due: June 5, 1995, Contact: Pat Aguilar (619) 534-3860.

Dated: May 2, 1995.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 95-11170 Filed 5-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[FRL-5203-5]

Waste Analysis Guidance Manual: The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA); Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Extension of comment period for the Waste Analysis Guidance For Facilities That Burn Hazardous Wastes—Draft.

SUMMARY: On April 11, 1995, the Environmental Protection Agency announced a draft Waste Analysis Guidance For Facilities That Burn Hazardous Wastes is available for public comment (60FR18402). This guidance document was developed by the Office of Compliance for facilities that treat and dispose of hazardous wastes by using combustion technology as regulated under 40 CFR parts 264 and 265, Subpart O and 40 CFR part 266, Subpart H. Upon requests from several callers ordering the document, EPA is extending the date for which it will accept public comments on this document.

DATES: EPA will now accept public comments on this draft guidance document until June 2, 1995.