

Copies of this filing were served upon Dairyland and the Illinois Commerce Commission.

*Comment date:* May 11, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph (E) at the end of this notice.

## 12. PECO Energy Company

[Docket No. ER95-931-000]

Take notice that on April 21, 1995, PECO Energy Company (PECO), tendered for filing an Agreement between PECO and CINergy Services, Incorporated (CINergy) dated February 14, 1995.

PECO states that the Agreement sets forth the terms and conditions for the sale of system energy which it expects to have available for sale from time to time and the purchase of which will be economically advantageous to CINergy. In order to optimize the economic advantage to both PECO and CINergy, PECO requests that the Commission waive its customary notice period and permit the agreement to become effective on April 24, 1995.

PECO states that a copy of this filing has been set to CINergy and will be furnished to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

*Comment date:* May 11, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph (E) at the end of this notice.

## 13. Alabama Power Company

[Docket No. ER95-912-000]

Take notice that on April 18, 1995, Alabama Power Company tendered for filing a Transmission Service Delivery Point Agreement dated January 27, 1995, which reflects the addition of a delivery point to Baldwin County Electric Membership Cooperative. This delivery point will be served under the terms and conditions of the Agreement for Transmission Service to Distribution Cooperative Member of Alabama Electric Cooperative, Inc., dated August 28, 1980 (designated FERC Rate Schedule No. 147). The parties request an effective date of July 4, 1995, for the addition of the delivery point.

*Comment date:* May 11, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph (E) at the end of this notice.

## 14. Northern States Power Company (Minnesota); Northern States Power Company (Wisconsin)

[Docket No. ER95-915-000]

Take notice that on April 13, 1995, Northern States Power Company—Minnesota (NSP-M) and Northern States Power Company—Wisconsin (NSP-W) jointly tendered and requested the Commission to accept two Transmission Service Agreements

which provide for Limited and Interruptible Transmission Service to Cenergy, Inc.

NSP requests that the Commission accept for filing both Transmission Agreements effective on April 17, 1995. NSP requests a waiver of the Commission's notice requirements pursuant to Part 35 of the Commission's regulations so the Agreement may be accepted for filing effective on the date requested.

*Comment date:* May 11, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph (E) at the end of this notice.

### Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-10990 Filed 5-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket Nos. CP93-685-000 and CP93-685-001]

### Tuscarora Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Availability of the Final Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Tuscarora Pipeline Project

April 28, 1995.

The staffs of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and the California State Lands Commission (SLC) have prepared a Final Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement (FEIR/EIS) on the natural gas pipeline facilities proposed by Tuscarora Gas Transmission Company (Tuscarora) in the above-referenced dockets.

The FEIR/EIS was prepared to satisfy the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act and the California Environmental Quality Act.

The FERC and the SLC staffs conclude that approval of the proposed project, with appropriate mitigating measures including receipt of necessary permits and approvals, has the potential to significantly impact the environment. The FEIR/EIS evaluates alternatives to the proposal.

The FEIR/EIS assesses the potential environmental effects of the construction and operation of the proposed Tuscarora Pipeline Project, which includes the following facilities:

- One 229-mile, 20-inch-diameter pipeline between Malin, Oregon and Tracy, Nevada, (the Tuscarora mainline). The Tuscarora mainline would interconnect with the Pacific Gas Transmission Company's mainline at Malin;
- One 4.42-mile, 4-inch-diameter lateral between the Tuscarora mainline and Alturas, California (the Alturas Lateral);
- One 10.61-mile, 6-inch-diameter lateral between the Tuscarora mainline and a point near Susanville, California (the Susanville Lateral);
- One 5.45-mile, 4-inch-diameter lateral between the Tuscarora mainline and the Sierra Army Depot near Herlong, California (the Herlong Lateral);
- Five meter stations located in Alturas, Susanville, and Herlong (Sierra Army Depot) California; and near Spanish Springs and Tracy, Nevada; and
- Twelve mainline valves.

The project gas would be used to generate electrical power at the Sierra Pacific Company (SPPC) power plant in Tracy, Nevada. A total of 113,050 dekatherms of gas per day (Dkt/d) would be provided. Part of the supply would also service municipal, commercial, and industrial uses in the areas around Reno, Nevada; the California cities of Alturas and Susanville; and at the Sierra Army Depot in the Herlong area.

The FEIR/EIS will be used in regulatory decision making process at the FERC. While the period for filing interventions in this case has expired, motions to intervene out-of-time can be filed with the FERC in accordance with the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.214(d). Further, anyone desiring to file a protest with the FERC should do so in accordance with 18 CFR 385.211.

The SLC is expected to certify the FEIR/EIS and act on the application of the Tuscarora Gas Transmission Company at its regularly scheduled meeting in May or June. Interested parties will be notified of the date, time, and place of the meeting when it is scheduled. The SLC will accept written

comments at the address below. If you have any questions regarding the SLC hearing, or wish to testify, please contact Kirk Walter at the number below.

The FEIR/EIS has been placed in the public files of the FERC and the SLC and is available for public inspection at the following addresses:

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,  
Public Reference and Files  
Maintenance Branch, 941 North  
Capitol Street, NE., room 3104,  
Washington, DC 20426, (202) 208-  
1371.

California State Lands Commission, 100  
Howe Avenue, suite 100-South,  
Sacramento, CA 95825-8202, (916)  
574-1900.

Copies of the FEIR/EIS have been mailed to Federal, state and local agencies, public interest groups, interested individuals, newspapers, and parties to this proceeding.

A limited number of copies of the FEIR/EIS are available from either:

Ms. Alisa Lykens, Federal Energy  
Regulatory Commission,  
Environmental Project Manager,  
Office of Pipeline Regulation, room  
7312, 825 North Capitol Street, NE.,  
Washington, DC 20426, (202) 208-  
0766; or

Mr. Kirk Walter, California State Lands  
Commission, Environmental Project  
Manager, 100 Howe Avenue, suite  
100-South, Sacramento, CA 95825-  
8202.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-10951 Filed 5-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-325-000, et al.]

### **Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings**

April 25, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

#### **1. Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company**

[Docket No. CP95-325-000]

Take notice that on April 14, 1995, Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company (Williston Basin), Suite 300, 200 North Third Street, Bismarck, North Dakota 58501, filed in Docket No. CP 95-325-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to construct and operate four storage meter stations

in Unit 2 of the Baker Storage Field, Montana under Williston Basin's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-487-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Williston Basin proposes to install and operate four two-way (injection/withdrawal) storage meter stations and related facilities in Unit 2 of the Baker Storage Field. The constructed facilities at each storage meter site will consist of a 6' x 12' building which will house an orifice meter run, a chart recorder and minor auxiliary metering devices. The land is located completely within Williston Basin's existing right-of-way. Estimated project cost is \$36,300.

Williston Basin states that the proposed facilities will have no significant effect on peak day or annual requirements.

With the installation of these proposed metering facilities, Williston Basin states that it will be able to provide more detailed injection and withdrawal data from selected storage well groups. This will allow Williston Basin to obtain greater accuracy and detail in gathering reservoir and surface facility data, will give more accurate reservoir modeling and will create greater operating efficiency in Unit 2. Until this time, the metering of gas storage injection and withdrawal volumes for the twelve storage wells extending over seven square miles in Unit 2 has been provided by one storage meter located at the Cabin Creek Compressor Station.

*Comment date:* June 9, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph (G) at the end of this notice.

#### **2. Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation**

[Docket No. CP95-334-000]

Take notice that on April 19, 1995, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), P.O. Box 1273, Charleston, West Virginia, 25325-1273, filed in Docket No. CP95-334-000 an abbreviated joint application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act, as amended, and Sections 157.7 and 157.18 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) Regulations thereunder, for permission and approval to abandon a natural gas transportation service for Penn Fuel Gas, Inc. (Penn Fuel), all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Columbia states that it proposes to abandon a transportation service initiated to implement a storage agreement for annual storage of up to

850,000 Mcf of natural gas by National Gas Storage Corporation (Storage Corporation) for Penn Fuel. Columbia indicates that it provides its service under its Rate Schedule X-98. Columbia further states that the service was authorized in Docket No. CP80-234. It is indicated that National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation (Supply Corporation) agreed to transport the gas from Penn Fuel's account to and from Storage Corporation. It is further indicated that Columbia, Penn Fuel, and Supply Corporation entered into a agreement whereby Columbia agreed to transport base gas and injection and withdrawal volumes from Penn Fuel's Rate Schedule CDS entitlement to Supply Corporation for transportation and redelivery to Storage Corporation, and to transport storage withdrawal volumes delivered by Supply Corporation to Columbia for delivery to existing points of delivery to Penn Fuel.

Columbia states that Rate Schedule X-98 allowed it to transport, on a best efforts basis, summer injection volumes of from 2,000 to 7,000 Mcf per day for delivery to Supply Corporation for Penn Fuel's account, and to transport from 2,000 to 10,000 Mcf per day for Penn Fuel during winter withdrawal periods. Columbia advises that delivery of the summer injection volumes was at existing points of interconnection between Columbia and Supply Corporation near Emporium, Cameron County, Pennsylvania. Columbia indicates that the withdrawal volumes were received at the same points of interconnection and redelivered to Penn Fuel at existing delivery points between Columbia and Penn Fuel. Columbia avers that it is currently providing alternative service under a Part 284 storage service transportation agreement for Penn Fuel as filed in ST94-2317-000. It is indicated that volumes were last transported under Rate Schedule X-98 in November 1994 and that there are no outstanding balances.

*Comment date:* May 16, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph (F) at the end of this notice.

#### **3. Florida Gas Transmission Company**

[Docket No. CP95-339-000]

Take notice that on April 21, 1995, Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGT), 1400 Smith Street, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP95-339-000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.216) for permission and approval to abandon a meter station and .1 of a mile of pipeline. FGT makes such request under its blanket certificates issued in