

participating dentist agreements or by any other means or methods, or by taking any other action, directly or indirectly, to influence or attempt to influence any dentist to refrain from offering discount fees to any person or dental plan or to refrain from participating in any dental plan. ODS is also enjoined and restrained for a period of five years from disclosing or in any way directly revealing to a dentist or dentists the maximum allowable or acceptable fee for a dental procedure or procedures.

The proposed Final Judgment also provides that the plaintiff will have access to information to enforce the judgment.

C. Effect of the Proposed Final Judgment on Competition

The relief required by the proposed Final Judgment will prohibit reinstatement of a substantial restraint on price competition among dentists and between ODS and other dental plans in Oregon, by ensuring that ODS will not adopt or enforce the limitations on dentists' abilities to discount created by the MFN. The proposed Final Judgment will also prohibit ODS from taking any other action which might discourage participating dentists from discounting or participating in competing discount plans. As a result, dentists will be free to discount or to join other discount plans, and discount dental plans will no longer be prevented by ODS' actions from attracting and maintaining viable panels of dentists to serve their members.

Finally, the relief required by the proposed Final Judgment will prohibit ODS' dissemination of the maximum fee amount for particular procedures. Without the information provided by ODS, dentists will have to determine independently the fees to charge for their services.

The prohibitions in the proposed Final Judgment will restore to dental consumers the benefits of free and open competition that were suppressed by ODS' adoption and enforcement of the MFN. The proposed Final Judgment prohibits ODS from reinstating the MFN during the term of the Final Judgment.

IV. Alternatives to the Proposed Final Judgment

The alternative to the proposed Final Judgment is a full trial on the merits of the case. Such a trial would involve substantial cost to the United States and the defendant and is not warranted because the proposed Final Judgment provides all the relief that is needed to remedy the violations of the Sherman

Act alleged in the United States' complaint.

V. Remedies Available to Private Litigants

Section 4 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 15, provides that any person who has been injured as a result of conduct prohibited by the antitrust laws may bring suit in federal court to recover three times the damages suffered, as well as costs and reasonable attorney's fees. Entry of the proposed Final Judgment will neither impair nor assist in the bringing of such actions. Under the provisions of Section 5(a) of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(a), the Final Judgment has no *prima facie* effect in any subsequent lawsuits that may be brought against the defendant in this matter.

VI. Procedures Available for Modification of the Proposed Final Judgment

As provided in the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, any person believing that the proposed judgment should be modified may submit written comments to Christopher S Crook, Acting Chief, San Francisco Office, Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California, 94102-3478, within the 60-day period provided by the Act. These comments, and the plaintiff's responses to them, will be filed with the Court and published in the **Federal Register**. All comments will be given due consideration by the Department of Justice, which remains free, pursuant to the Stipulation, to withdraw its consent to the proposed Final Judgment at any time prior to its entry if The Department should determine that some modification of the judgment is necessary to the public interest. The proposed Final Judgment provides that the Court will retain jurisdiction over this action, and that the parties may apply to the Court for such orders as may be necessary or appropriate for the modification, interpretation, or enforcement of the Judgment.

VII. Determinative Documents

No materials and documents of the type described in Section 2(b) of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b), were considered in formulating the proposed Judgment. Consequently, none are filed herewith.

Dated: April 10, 1995.

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara J. Nelson,
Phillip R. Malone,
Carla G. Addicks,

Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 95-10596 Filed 4-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Bureau of Labor Statistics

Business Research Advisory Council Committee on Occupational Safety and Health Statistics; Cancellation of Committee Meeting

SUMMARY: Due to the scheduling difficulties of participants, the meeting of the Business Research Advisory Council Committee, on Occupational Safety and Health Statistics has been cancelled. The meeting had been announced previously in the **Federal Register** of April 12, 1995, 60 FR 18618. The committee meeting was to have taken place on Thursday, May 4, 1995, 1:00 p.m. at the Postal Square Building. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Constance B. DiCesare, Liaison for the Business Research Advisory Council to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2 Massachusetts Avenue NE., Room 2850, Washington, DC 20212 (202) 606-5887.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 25th day of April, 1995.

Katharine G. Abraham,
Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 95-10632 Filed 4-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-24-M

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-30,788]

Meridian Oil-Houston Region; Houston, TX; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

With other locations in the following states, TA-W-30,788A Texas, TA-W-30,788B Alabama, TA-W-30,788C Louisiana, TA-W-30,788D Ohio, TA-W-30,788E Oklahoma, and Meridian Oil Corporate and Administrative Offices, TA-W-30,788F Houston, TX, TA-W-30,788G Fort Worth, TX.

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on March 23, 1995, applicable to all workers of the subject firm.

The Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on April 10, 1995 (60 FR 18146).

At the request of the workers, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The investigation findings show that workers at the corporate office of Meridian Oil in Houston, Texas and in Fort Worth, Texas should be covered under the subject certification. The Houston Region accounted for a significant share of the business at the corporate and administrative offices of Meridian Oil. Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to properly reflect this matter.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-30,788 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of the Houston Region of Meridian Oil in Houston, Texas and in other locations in Texas, Alabama, Louisiana, Ohio and Oklahoma and in the corporate offices of Meridian Oil in Houston, Texas and in the administrative office in Forth Worth, Texas who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 20, 1994 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, D.C., this 20th day of April, 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-10627 Filed 4-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

[TA-W-30,648]

Seagull Mid-South, Inc. (Formerly Arkla Exploration Company) A/K/A Seagull Energy E & P, Inc., Shreveport, LA; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance applicable to all workers of the subject firm.

The certification notice was issued on March 16, 1995 and was published in the **Federal Register** on March 27, 1995 (60 FR 15791).

At the request of the State Agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The investigation findings show Seagull Energy purchased ARKLA Exploration Company on December 31, 1992 and the workers became Seagull Energy E & P, Inc. Accordingly, many of the workers

of the subject firm had wages reported under Seagull Energy E & P, Inc., and their unemployment insurance (UI) taxes were paid under Seagull Energy E & P, Inc.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to properly reflect this matter.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-30,648 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Seagull Mid South, Inc., (formerly ARKLA Exploration Company) also known as Seagull Energy E & P, Inc., Shreveport, Louisiana who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after January 6, 1994 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 231 of Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 20th day of April, 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-10631 Filed 4-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

TA-W-29,173, Shell Oil Company, Administration—E&P/SET a/k/a Shell Services Company (SSO), a/k/a Shell E&P Company (SEPC), a/k/a Shell Chemical Company (SCC), a/k/a Shell Oil Products Company (SOPC), headquartered in Houston, Texas and operating at various locations in the following States:

TA-W-29,173A Alabama
 TA-W-29,173B Alaska
 TA-W-29,173C California
 TA-W-29,173D Connecticut
 TA-W-29,173E District of Columbia
 TA-W-29,173F Florida
 TA-W-29,173G Georgia
 TA-W-29,173H Illinois
 TA-W-29,173I Indiana
 TA-W-29,173J Louisiana
 TA-W-29,173K Maryland
 TA-W-29,173L Michigan
 TA-W-29,173M Mississippi
 TA-W-29,173N Missouri
 TA-W-29,173O New Jersey
 TA-W-29,173P New Mexico
 TA-W-29,173Q New York
 TA-W-29,173R Ohio
 TA-W-29,173S Oklahoma
 TA-W-29,173T Oregon
 TA-W-29,173U Pennsylvania
 TA-W-29,173V Texas (except Houston)
 TA-W-29,173W Utah
 TA-W-29,173X Virginia
 TA-W-29,173Y Washington
 TA-W-29,173Z West Virginia.

TA-W-29,177, Shell Development Company, a/k/a Shell E&P Company (SEPC), a/k/a Shell Chemical Company (SCC), a/k/a Shell Oil Products Company (SOPC), Houston, Texas.

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on December 23, 1993, applicable to all workers of Shell Oil Company, Administration—E&P/SET, headquartered in Houston, Texas and operating in various States, and Shell Development Company, Houston, Texas. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on January 11, 1994 (59 FR 1566).

Company officials report that effective March 1, 1995, Shell Oil Company's Administration—E&P and Set, and Shell Development Company are being reincorporated with other parts of the company as Shell Services Company, Shell Exploration and Production Company, Shell Chemical Company, and Shell Oil Products Company.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Shell Oil Company who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-29,173 and TA-W-29,177 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Shell Oil Company Administration—E&P/SET, a/k/a Shell Services Company (SSO), a/k/a Shell E&P Company (SEPC), a/k/a Shell Chemical Company (SCC), a/k/a Shell Oil Products Company (SOPC), and Shell Development Company, a/k/a Shell E&P Company (SEPC), a/k/a Shell Chemical Company (SCC), a/k/a Shell Oil Products Company (SOPC), respectively, as cited below, engaged in employment related to the exploration and production of crude oil and natural gas who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 13, 1993 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974:

TA-W-29,173, Shell Oil Company, Administration—E&P/SET, a/k/a Shell Services Company (SSO), a/k/a Shell E&P Company (SEPC), a/k/a Shell Chemical Company (SCC), a/k/a Shell Oil Products Company (SOPC), headquartered in Houston, Texas and operating at various locations in the following States:

TA-W-29,173A Alabama
 TA-W-29,173B Alaska
 TA-W-29,173C California
 TA-W-29,173D Connecticut
 TA-W-29,173E District of Columbia
 TA-W-29,173F Florida
 TA-W-29,173G Georgia
 TA-W-29,173H Illinois
 TA-W-29,173I Indiana
 TA-W-29,173J Louisiana
 TA-W-29,173K Maryland
 TA-W-29,173L Michigan
 TA-W-29,173M Mississippi
 TA-W-29,173N Missouri
 TA-W-29,173O New Jersey
 TA-W-29,173P New Mexico
 TA-W-29,173Q New York
 TA-W-29,173R Ohio