

To obtain copies of these environmental assessments contact Ms. Tawanna Glover-Sanders, Interstate Commerce Commission, Section of Environmental Analysis, Room 3219, Washington, DC 20423, (202) 927-6203.

Comments on the following assessment are due 15 days after the date of availability:

AB-88 (Sub-No. 7X), Bessemer and Lake Erie Railroad Company—

Abandonment Exemption—In Armstrong and Butler Counties, PA. EA available 4/7/95.

AB-290 (Sub-No. 169X), Norfolk and Western Railway Company—  
Abandonment—Between Ferguson Junction and Glen Echo, Missouri. EA available 4/7/95.

AB-290 (Sub-No. 163X), Central of Georgia Railway Company—  
Abandonment—at Atlanta, Georgia. EA available 4/11/95.

AB-290 (Sub No. 158X), Norfolk Southern Railway Company—  
Abandonment—at Elberton, GA. EA available 4/14/95.

AB-290 (Sub-No. 152X), Norfolk Southern Railway Company—  
Abandonment—At Blanch, Caswell County, NC. EA available 4/14/95.

Comments on the following assessment are due 30 days after the date of availability:

AB-3 (Sub-No. 122X), Missouri Pacific Railroad Company—Abandonment Exemption—In Saline County, Kansas (Trigo Industrial Lead). EA available 4/14/95.

**Vernon A. Williams,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-9652 Filed 4-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-P

**[Finance Docket No. 32672]**

**Smoky Hill Railway and Historical Society, Inc.—Acquisition and Operation Exemption—Line of Burlington Northern Railroad Company<sup>1</sup>**

Smoky Hill Railway and Historical Society, Inc. (SHRHS), a not-for-profit corporation,<sup>2</sup> has filed a notice of exemption to acquire and operate a portion of Burlington Northern Railroad Company's rail line, known as the East

<sup>1</sup> Under the Commission's rules of practice at 49 CFR 1150.32(b), this notice, which was filed on March 6, 1995, should have been published in the **Federal Register** within 30 days of its filing, i.e., by April 5, 1995. The information needed to process this notice was not, however, received at the Commission until April 6, 1995.

<sup>2</sup> The involved property was conveyed and quitclaimed to SHRHS in 1990. SHRHS is currently operating the property primarily as a tourist railroad in connection with its railroad museum.

Lynne Missouri Branch. The trackage extends between milepost 25.9, between Belton and Peculiar in Cass County, MO, and milepost 37.7 in Kansas City, Jackson County, MO, a total distance of 11.8 miles. Consummation of the transaction was scheduled to take place on or after April 13, 1995.

Any comments must be filed with the Commission and served on: Jeremiah D. Finnegan, 3100 Broadway, Suite 1209, Kansas City, MO 64111.

This notice is filed under 49 CFR 1150.31. If the notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10505(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction.

Decided: April 13, 1995.

By the Commission, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

**Vernon A. Williams,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-9651 Filed 4-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-P

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Drug Enforcement Administration**

[DEA No. 129F]

**Established 1995 Aggregate Production Quota for a Schedule II Controlled Substance**

**AGENCY:** Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice of an established 1995 aggregate production quota.

**SUMMARY:** This notice establishes a 1995 aggregate production quota for hydrocodone (for conversion), a controlled substance in Schedule II of the Controlled Substances Act (CSA).

**DATES:** This order is effective on April 19, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Howard McClain, Jr., Chief, Drug & Chemical Evaluation Section, Drug Enforcement Administration, Washington, D.C. 20537, Telephone: (202) 307-7183.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 306 of the Controlled Substances Act (CSA) (21 U.S.C. 826) requires that the Attorney General establish aggregate production quotas for controlled substances in Schedule I and II each year. This responsibility has been redelegated to the Deputy Administrator of the DEA pursuant to § 0.104 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

On February 13, 1995, a notice of the proposed 1995 aggregate production

quota for hydrocodone (for conversion), a Schedule II controlled substance, was published in the **Federal Register** (60 FR 8251). All interested persons were invited to comment on or object to this proposed aggregate production quota on or before March 15, 1995. Comments were received from and a hearing on this matter was requested by one pharmaceutical company. The company maintains that the establishment of this aggregate production quota could have an impact on the United States and international narcotic raw material supply, since hydrocodone is derived from narcotic raw materials.

Pursuant to the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, § 1303.11(c), the Deputy Administrator may at his discretion hold a hearing on any issue relevant to the determination of an aggregate production quota. After review of all pertinent information, the Deputy Administrator has determined that no issue was found which warrants a hearing on this matter. Moreover, the proposed 2,200 kg of hydrocodone will not threaten the balance and supply of narcotic raw materials. Therefore the proposal for the 1995 aggregate production quota for hydrocodone (for conversion) is adopted without change.

The Office of Management and Budget has determined that notices of aggregate production quotas are not subject to centralized review under Executive Order 12866. This action has been analyzed in accordance with the principles and criteria in Executive Order 12612, and it has been determined that this matter does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

The Deputy Administrator hereby certifies that this action will have no significant impact upon small entities whose interests must be considered under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq. The establishment of annual aggregate production quotas for Schedules I and II controlled substances is mandated by law and by international treaty obligations. While aggregate production quotas are of primary importance to large manufacturers, their impact upon small entities is neither negative nor beneficial. Accordingly, the Deputy Administrator has determined that this action does not require a regulatory flexibility analysis.

Therefore, under the authority vested in the Attorney General by Section 306 of the Controlled Substances Act of 1970 (21 U.S.C. 826) and redelegated to the Deputy Administrator by § 0.104 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations, the Deputy Administrator of the DEA hereby orders that the 1995