Document Title	NTIS Accession No.	EPA Document No.	Hardcopy Price
Hazard Evaluation			
Series 71-5	PB83–153908	540/09–82–024	$19.50
Series 72-7	PB83–153908	540/09–82–024	$19.50
Addendum No. 2 Data Reporting Guideline for Soil and Water Methods to Support Hazard Evaluation Studies			
Subdivision K:			
Reentry Protection			
Series 132–1b	PB85–120962	540/09–84–001	$19.50
The Data Reporting Guideline for Soil and Water Methods will be attached to the new Reentry Protection Guideline.			
Subdivision N:			
Environmental Fate			
Series 164-1	B83–153973	540/09–82–021	$27.00
Series 164-2	B83–153973	540/09–82–021	$27.00
Series 164-3	B83–153973	540/09–82–021	$27.00
Series 164-5	B83–153973	540/09–82–021	$27.00
Series 165-3	B83–153973	540/09–82–021	$27.00
Series 166-1—Guidance to be issued by EPA in the near future.			
Addendum No 9. Data Reporting Guideline for Soil and Water Methods to Support Environmental Fate Studies			

Orders may be placed by mail or telephone. All orders should specify whether the document is requested in hardcopy or microfiche form since prices vary for hardcopy but they will cost $9.00 for microfiche. There is an additional $4.00 to $8.00 handling charge for each order, depending on the total cost of the order. Payment may be made by using an existing NTIS deposit account; charging to VISA, Mastercard, American Express or check or money order. The order should cite the document title, NTIS ordering number for the document, kind of document (microfiche or hardcopy), and the price.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection.

Dated: April 7, 1995.

Allen L. Jennings,

Director, Biological and Economic Analysis Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 95–9535 Filed 4–18–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics

[OPPTS–44616; FRL–4949–7]

TSCA Chemical Testing; Receipt of Test Data

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the receipt of test data on 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene (CAS No. 108–67–8), submitted pursuant to a final test rule under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA). Publication of this notice is in compliance with section 4(d) of TSCA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Willis, Acting Director, Environmental Assistance Division (7408), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E–543B, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, (202) 554–1404, TDD (202) 554–0551.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 4(d) of TSCA requires EPA to publish a notice in the **Federal Register** reporting the receipt of test data submitted pursuant to test rules promulgated under section 4(a) within 15 days after it is received.

I. Test Data Submissions

Test data for 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene were submitted by Koch Industries, Inc., pursuant to a test rule at 40 CFR 799.5075. They were received by EPA on February 9, 1995. The submission describes a 14-day oral gavage toxicity study in rats with a recovery group. This chemical is used as an intermediate in the production of an antioxidant for plastics.

EPA has initiated its review and evaluation process for these data submissions. At this time, the Agency is unable to provide any determination as to the completeness of the submissions.

II. Public Record

EPA has established a public record for this TSCA section 4(d) receipt of data notice (docket number OPPTS–44616). This record includes copies of all studies reported in this notice. The record is available for inspection from 12 noon to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except legal holidays, in the TSCA Public Docket Office, Rm. B–607 Northeast Mall, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460.

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 2603.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Test data.

Dated: April 10, 1995.

Charles M. Auer,

Director, Chemical Control Division, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.

[FR Doc. 95–9662 Filed 4–18–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[WT Docket No. 95–35; DA 95–705]

Designation of Amateur License Renewal Application for Hearing

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Hearing designation order.

SUMMARY: This Order designates the application of George E. Rodgers to renew his amateur radio station license (N3LR) and his Amateur Extra Class operator license for hearing on the basis of a criminal conviction.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Thomas D. Fitz-Gibbon, Enforcement Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554; or telephone (202) 418–0693.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: 1. This is a summary of the Order adopted March

31, 1995, and released April 12, 1995. The complete text of this Order may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, (202) 857–3800, 2100 M Street, N.W., Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037.

2. The Order asserted that Mr. George E. Rodgers has applied for renewal of his amateur service station and operator licenses.

3. The Order asserted further that, in *Commonwealth of Pennsylvania* v. *Rodgers*, Docket No. 2300–93 (Court of Common Pleas, Chester County, Pa.), Mr. Rodgers was convicted upon four counts of violating Section 6301(a) [corruption of minors] of the Pennsylvania Criminal Code and upon four counts of violating Section 3126(a)(1) [indecent assault] of the Pennsylvania Criminal Code.

4. The Order alleged that, in view of the criminal convictions described above, Mr. Rodgers apparently lacks the requisite qualifications for a renewal of his amateur service licenses.

5. The Order designated Mr. Rodger's application for hearing before an Administrative Law Judge and at a time and location to be determined by the order of the Chief Administrative Law Judge upon the following issues:

(a) To determine whether, in light of the facts determined in *Commonwealth of Pennsylvania* v. *Rodgers, supra*, George E. Rodgers is qualified to renew his amateur service licenses.

(b) To determine, in light of the foregoing issue, whether granting George E. Rodger's application would serve the public interest, convenience and necessity.

6. The Order placed the burden on proceeding with the introduction of evidence and the burden of proof upon the respondent as to all issues.

Federal Communications Commission.

Howard Davenport,

Chief, Enforcement Division.

[FR Doc. 95–9633 Filed 4–18–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

Accounting and Reporting Requirements for Video Dialtone Service

In Reply Refer To: RAO Letter 25, DA 95–703.

Adopted: March 31, 1995.
Released: April 3, 1995.
Responsible Accounting Officer:
Re: Accounting and Reporting Requirements for Video Dialtone Service

I. Introduction

This letter provides guidance on video dialtone accounting to local exchange carriers (''LECs'') that receive Section 214 authorizations to provide video dialtone service.[1] It sets forth specific guidance on the requirements for accounting classifications, subsidiary records, and amendments to cost allocation manuals (''CAMs'') for LECs that provide video dialtone service.[2]

II. Background

In 1991 and 1992, the Commission adopted policies and rules to permit LECs to assume an expanded role in the provision of video services in their telephone service areas.[3] In its 1991 and 1992 Orders, the Commission established a regulatory framework for telephone companies to provide video service on a common carrier basis and provide various related nonregulated services consistent with the cross-ownership restrictions imposed by the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984 (''1984 Cable Act'').[4] This regulatory framework is called ''video dialtone.''

On November 7, 1994, the Commission issued the *Video Dialtone Reconsideration Order (''VDT Recon Order'')*. In that Order, the Commission reaffirmed its basic video dialtone framework adopted in the *Second Report and Order*, and, among other things, set forth accounting and reporting requirements for LECs that offer video dialtone service. The Commission required carriers offering video dialtone to establish two sets of subsidiary accounting records: one to capture the investment, expense and revenue wholly dedicated to video dialtone; the other to capture the investment, expense and revenue shared between video dialtone and other services.[5] Wholly dedicated refers to investment, expense and revenue related exclusively to providing video dialtone service. Shared refers to investment, expense and revenue related to providing video dialtone and other services on a joint or common basis.[6]

The *VDT Recon Order* requires LECs to file a summary of these subsidiary accounting records with the Commission on a quarterly basis. The Commission delegated authority to the Common Carrier Bureau to define the content and format of both the subsidiary accounting records and the quarterly reports, and to provide accounting guidance where necessary for uniform classification of video dialtone investment, expense and revenue.[7] Finally, the *VDT Recon Order* required LECs to file revisions to their CAMs to reflect the provision of video dialtone service.

III. Accounting Classification

The Commission did not change its Part 32, Uniform System of Accounts for Telecommunications Companies (''USOA'') in the *VDT Recon Order*, but it did require carriers to establish subsidiary accounting records, consistent with that system, in order to isolate video dialtone costs and revenues from other LEC costs and revenues.[8] We therefore require LECs to maintain in subsidiary records, by USOA accounts, all wholly dedicated and shared investment, expense, and revenue related to providing video dialtone service. Finally, consistent with Part 32 of the Commission's rules, Class A companies shall use Class A detail level accounts and Class B companies shall use Class B detail level accounts in recording video dialtone investment, expense and revenue in subsidiary records.[9]

A. Investment Classifications

For accounting classification purposes, video dialtone investment shall include all plant wholly dedicated to video dialtone or shared between video dialtone and other services. Wholly dedicated investment is defined

[1] This includes video dialtone trials and commercial applications.

[2] LECs with annual operating revenues of $100 million or more are required to file a CAM with the Commission. CAMs contain information regarding the carriers' allocation of costs between regulated and nonregulated activities. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 64.903.

[3] *See* Telephone Company-Cable Television Cross-Ownership Rules, Section 63.54–63.58, Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, *First Report and Order and Second Further Notice of Inquiry*, 56 FR 65464 (Dec. 17, 1991) (*First Report and Order*), *recon.*, 7 FCC Rcd 5069 (1992), *aff'd*, National Cable Television Association v FCC, No. 91–1649 (D.C. Cir. Aug. 26, 1994) (*NCTA v. FCC*); Telephone Company-Cable Television Cross-Ownership Rules, Sections 63.54–63.58, *Second Report and Order, Recommendation to Congress, and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, 57 FR 41106 (Sep. 9, 1992) (*Second Report and Order*), *aff'd*, *Memorandum Opinion and Order on Reconsideration and Third Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, 59 FR 63909 (Dec. 12, 1994) (''*VDT Recon Order*''), *appeal pending sub nom.* Mankato Citizens Telephone Company v. FCC, No. 92–1404 (D.C. Cir. filed September 9, 1992).

[4] Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984, Pub. L. No. 98–549, § 613(b), 98 Stat. 2779 (codified at 47 U.S.C. § 533(b)).

[5] *VDT Recon Order* at para. 173.

[6] By ''other services'' we mean telephone and other services provided by LECs.

[7] In this Responsible Accounting Officer (''RAO'') Letter, we only address the accounting classifications, format and content requirements for LEC subsidiary records and CAM filing requirements. We plan to address the format and content for LEC video dialtone quarterly reports in a separate notice and comment proceeding.

[8] *VDT Recon Order* at para. 173.

[9] 47 C.F.R. § 32.11