

**Pikes Peak District:** *Gazette Telegraph*, published daily in Colorado Springs, El Paso County, Colorado.

### **Rio Grande National Forest, Colorado**

#### *Forest Supervisor Decisions*

*Valley Courier*, published daily in Alamosa, Alamosa County, Colorado.

#### *District Ranger Decisions*

*Valley Courier*, published daily in Alamosa, Alamosa County, Colorado.

### **Routt National Forest, Colorado**

#### *Forest Supervisor Decisions*

*Steamboat Pilot*, published weekly in Steamboat Springs, Routt County, Colorado. In addition, for decisions affecting an individual district(s), the local district(s) newspaper will also be used.

#### *District Ranger Decision*

**Bears Ears District:** *Northwest Colorado Daily Press*, published daily in Craig, Moffat County, Colorado. In addition, notice of decisions by the District Ranger will also be published in the *Hayden Valley Press*, published weekly in Hayden, Routt County, Colorado, and in the *Steamboat Pilot*, published weekly in Steamboat Springs, Routt County, Colorado. **Yampa and Hahns Peak Districts:** *Steamboat Pilot*, published weekly in Steamboat Springs, Routt County, Colorado.

**Middle Park District:** *Middle Park Times*, published weekly in Kremmling, Grand County, Colorado.

**North Park District:** *Jackson County Star*, published weekly in Walden, Jackson County, Colorado.

### **San Juan National Forest, Colorado**

#### *Forest Supervisor Decisions*

*Durango Herald*, published daily in Durango, La Plata County, Colorado.

#### *District Ranger Decisions*

*Durango Herald*, published daily in Durango, La Plata County, Colorado.

### **White River National Forest, Colorado**

#### *Forest Supervisor Decisions*

*The Glenwood Post*, published Monday through Friday in Glenwood Springs, Garfield County, Colorado.

#### *District Ranger Decisions*

**Aspen District:** *Aspen Times*, published weekly in Aspen, Pitkin County, Colorado.

**Blanco District:** *Meeker Herald*, published weekly in Meeker, Rio Blanco County, Colorado.

**Dillon District:** *Summit Sentinel*, published twice weekly in Frisco, Summit County, Colorado.

**Eagle District:** *Eagle Valley Enterprise*, published weekly in Eagle, Eagle County, Colorado.

**Holy Cross District:** *Vail Trail*, published weekly in Minturn, Eagle County, Colorado.

**Rifle District:** *Rifle Telegram*, published weekly in Rifle, Garfield County, Colorado.

**Sopris District:** *Valley Journal*, published weekly in Carbondale, Garfield County, Colorado.

### **Nebraska National Forest, Nebraska**

#### *Forest Supervisor Decisions*

*The Rapid City Journal*, published daily in Rapid City, Pennington County, South Dakota for decisions affecting National Forest System lands in the State of South Dakota.

*The Omaha World Herald*, published daily in Omaha, Douglas County, Nebraska for decisions affecting National Forest System lands in the State of Nebraska.

#### *District Ranger Decisions*

**Bessey District:** *The North Platte Telegraph*, published daily in North Platte, Lincoln County, Nebraska.

**Samuel R. McKelvie National Forest:** *The Valentine Newspaper*, published weekly in Valentine, Cherry County, Nebraska.

**Fall River and Wall Districts:** *The Rapid City Journal*, published daily in Rapid City, Pennington County, South Dakota.

**Pine Ridge District:** *The Chadron Record*, published weekly in Chadron, Dawes County, Nebraska.

### **Black Hills National Forest, South Dakota and Eastern Wyoming**

#### *Forest Supervisor Decisions*

*The Rapid City Journal*, published daily in Rapid City, Pennington County, South Dakota.

#### *District Ranger Decisions*

*The Rapid City Journal*, published daily in Rapid City, Pennington County, South Dakota.

### **Bighorn National Forest, Wyoming**

#### *Forest Supervisor Decisions*

**Sheridan Press**, published daily in Sheridan, Sheridan County, Wyoming. In addition, for decisions affecting an individual district(s), the local district(s) newspaper will be used (see listing below).

### **District Ranger Decisions**

**Tongue District:** *Sheridan Press*, published daily in Sheridan, Sheridan County, Wyoming.

**Buffalo District:** *Buffalo Bulletin*, published weekly in Buffalo, Johnson County, Wyoming.

**Medicine Wheel District:** *Lovell Chronicle*, published weekly in Lovell, Big Horn County, Wyoming.

**Tensleep District:** *Northern Wyoming Daily News*, published daily in Worland, Washakie County, Wyoming.

**Paintrock District:** *Greybull Standard*, published weekly in Greybull, Big Horn County, Wyoming.

### **Medicine Bow National Forest, Wyoming**

#### *Forest Supervisor Decisions*

*Laramie Daily Boomerang*, published daily in Laramie, Albany County, Wyoming.

#### *District Ranger Decisions*

**Laramie District:** *Laramie Daily Boomerang*, published daily in Laramie, Albany County, Wyoming.

**Douglas District:** *Casper Star-Tribune*, published daily in Casper, Natrona County, Wyoming.

**Brush Creek and Hayden Districts:** *Rawlins Daily Times*, published daily in Rawlins, Carbon County, Wyoming.

### **Shoshone National Forest, Wyoming**

#### *Forest Supervisor Decisions*

*Cody Enterprise*, published twice weekly in Cody, Park County, Wyoming.

#### *District Ranger Decisions*

**Clarks Fork District:** *Powell Tribune*, published twice weekly in Powell, Park County, Wyoming.

**Wapiti and Greybull Districts:** *Cody Enterprise*, published twice weekly in Cody, Park County, Wyoming.

**Wind River District:** *The Dubois Frontier*, published weekly in Dubois, Teton County, Wyoming.

**Lander District:** *Wyoming State Journal*, published twice weekly in Lander, Fremont County, Wyoming.

Dated: April 6, 1995.

**Elizabeth Estill**,

*Regional Forester*.

[FR Doc. 95-9125 Filed 4-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Foreign-Trade Zones Board**

[Order No. 737]

**Peavey Electronics Corp.; AL**

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Grant of Authority for Subzone Status, Peavey Electronics Corporation (Electronic Audio and Acoustical Products), Foley, Alabama

Whereas, by an Act of Congress approved June 18, 1934, an Act "To provide for the establishment . . . of foreign-trade zones in ports of entry of the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes," as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u) (the Act), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) is authorized to grant to qualified corporations the privilege of establishing foreign-trade zones in or adjacent to U.S. Customs ports of entry;

Whereas, the Board's regulations (15 CFR Part 400) provide for the establishment of special-purpose subzones when existing zone facilities cannot serve the specific use involved;

Whereas, an application from the City of Mobile, Alabama, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 82, for authority to establish special-purpose subzone status at the electronic audio and acoustical products manufacturing plant of the Peavey Electronics Corporation in Foley, Alabama, was filed by the Board on March 3, 1994, and notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (FTZ Docket 8-94, 59 FR 12892, 3-18-94); and,

Whereas, the Board has found that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that approval of the application is in the public interest;

Now, Therefore, the Board hereby authorizes the establishment of a subzone (Subzone 82C) at the Peavey Electronics Corporation plant in Foley, Alabama, at the location described in the application, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including § 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 10th day of April 1995.

**Susan G. Esserman,**

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

Attest:

**John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-9406 Filed 4-14-95; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**International Trade Administration**

[A-201-601]

**Fresh Cut Flowers From Mexico; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

**SUMMARY:** In response to a request by the Floral Trade Council (petitioner), the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain fresh cut flowers from Mexico. The review covers four producers/exporters, Rancho El Aguaje (Aguaje), Rancho Guacatay (Guacatay), Rancho El Toro (Toro), and Visaflor S. de P.R. (Visaflor), and entries of the subject merchandise into the United States during the period April 1, 1991, through March 31, 1992. We have preliminarily determined that dumping margins exist for three of these producers. The Department based these margins on the best information available (BIA). The fourth company, Visaflor, made no shipments during the period of review (POR).

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 17, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Rebecca Trainor or Maureen Flannery, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4733.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

On April 8, 1992, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (57 FR 11935) a notice of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on fresh cut flowers from Mexico (52 FR 13491,

April 23, 1987). In accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(a)(1), the petitioner requested an administrative review for Aguaje, Guacatay, Toro, and Visaflor. On May 22, 1993, the Department published a notice of initiation of this review (51 FR 21769) covering the period April 1, 1991, through March 31, 1992. Visaflor stated that it did not ship subject merchandise from Mexico to the United States during the POR. The Department has now conducted this review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Because the Department determined during the prior administrative review that Guacatay had made sales in the home market below the cost of production (COP), we initiated a COP investigation with respect to Guacatay on October 10, 1992.

**Scope of the Review**

The products covered by this review are certain fresh cut flowers, defined as standard carnations, standard chrysanthemums, and pompon chrysanthemums. During the POR, such merchandise was classifiable under *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS) item numbers 0603.10.7010 (pompon chrysanthemums), 0603.10.7020 (standard chrysanthemums), and 0603.10.7030 (standard carnations). The HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes only. The written description remains dispositive as to the scope of the order.

This review covers sales of the subject merchandise manufactured by Aguaje, Guacatay, Toro, and Visaflor, and entered into the United States during the period April 1, 1991, through March 31, 1992.

**Best Information Available**

The Department has determined that the data submitted by Aguaje, Toro, and Guacatay are unusable for the following reasons. The original questionnaire responses they submitted included unaudited, "in-house" financial statements. The respondents reported that they were not legally obligated to file income tax returns on sales made during the POR. In response to a supplemental questionnaire sent to all three companies, the respondents indicated that they were, in fact, obligated to file income tax returns covering the POR because of a change in Mexican law.

In an additional supplemental questionnaire, the Department asked the respondents to submit copies of these tax returns, and to reconcile them to the unaudited "in-house" financial