

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-9324 Filed 4-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-308-000]

**Viking Gas Transmission Company;
Request Under Blanket Authorization**

April 11, 1995.

Take notice that on April 7, 1995, Viking Gas Transmission Company (Viking), 825 Rice Street, St. Paul, Minnesota 55117, filed in Docket No. CP95-308-000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) for authorization to add a new delivery point for firm transportation services that Viking currently provides for Northern States Power Company-Minnesota (NSPM), under the blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP88-679-000, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Viking proposes to construct and operate a 2-inch hot tap, measurement, and data acquisition equipment in Chisago County, Minnesota to establish the new Taylors Falls delivery point for NSPM. It is indicated that Viking and NSPM are parties to gas transportation agreements dated June 1, 1994, under which Viking currently provides a maximum daily summertime quantity of 35,215 million Btu and wintertime quantity of 56,000 million Btu of firm transportation service under Viking's Rate Schedule FT-A. It is also indicated that NSPM has requested deliveries of up to 1,012 mcf per day at the proposed delivery point. Viking estimates a facility cost of \$138,900 and indicates that NSPM has agreed to reimburse Viking for the cost of facilities.

Viking advises that the total volumes to be delivered to NSPM after the request do not exceed the total volumes authorized prior to the request. Also, Viking indicates that the proposed activity is not prohibited by its existing tariff and that it has sufficient capacity to accommodate the changes proposed herein without detriment or disadvantage to its other customers.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the

Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-9325 Filed 4-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY**

[FRL-5192-9]

**Contractor Access to Confidential
Business Information Under the Clean
Air Act**

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The EPA has authorized the following subcontractors for access to information that has been, or will be, submitted to EPA under section 114 of the Clean Air Act (CAA) as amended. (1) EC/R, Inc., 3721-D University Drive, Durham, NC 27707, contract number 68D10119; (2) Alpha Gamma Technologies, Inc, 900 Ridgefield Drive, Suite 350, Raleigh, NC 27609 contract 68D10117; (3) Energy and Environmental Research Corporation, (EER), 3710 University Drive, Suite 160 Contract 68D10117.

Some of the information may be claimed to be confidential business information (CBI) by the submitter.

DATES: Access to confidential data submitted to EPA will occur no sooner than April 27, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Doris Maxwell, Document Control Officer, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (MD-13), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, (919) 541-5312.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The EPA is issuing this notice to inform all submitters of information under section 114 of the CAA that EPA may provide the above mentioned subcontractors access to these materials on a need-to-know basis. These subcontractors will provide technical support to the Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS) in source assessment or with a source category survey and proceed

through development of standards for a Federal Air Pollution Control Regulation or Control Techniques Guidelines (CTG).

In accordance with 40 CFR 2.301(h), EPA has determined that each subcontractor requires access to CBI submitted to EPA under sections 112 and 114 of the CAA in order to perform work satisfactorily under the above noted contracts. The subcontractors' personnel will be given access to information submitted under section 114 of the CAA. Some of the information may be claimed or determined to be CBI. The subcontractors' personnel will be required to sign nondisclosure agreements and will be briefed on appropriate security procedures before they are permitted access to CBI. All subcontractor access to CAA CBI will take place at the subcontractors' facility. Each subcontractor will have appropriate procedures and facilities in place to safeguard the CAA CBI to which the contractor has access.

Clearance for access to CAA CBI is scheduled to expire on September 30, 1998 under contract 68D40099 and on September 30, 1997 under contract 68D40107.

Dated: April 7, 1995.

Mary Nichols,

Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 95-9379 Filed 4-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[FRL-5193-2]

**Performance Evaluation Reports for
Fiscal Year 1994 Section 105 Grants;
Missouri, Kansas, Iowa, Nebraska**

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of availability of grantee performance evaluation reports.

SUMMARY: EPA's grant regulations (40 CFR 35.150) require the Agency to conduct yearly performance evaluations on the progress of the approved State/EPA Agreements. EPA's regulations (40 CFR 56.7) require that the Agency make available to the public the evaluation reports. EPA has conducted evaluations on the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality, Iowa Department of Natural Resources, and Kansas Department of Health and Environment. These evaluations were conducted to assess the agencies' performance under the grants made to them by EPA pursuant to section 105 of the Clean Air Act.