

Date: April 24, 1995

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Place: Holiday Inn, National Airport, VA
 Contact Person: Dr. Daniel McDonald,
 Scientific Review Admin., 5333 Westbard
 Ave., Room 350, Bethesda, MD 20892,
 (301) 594-7282

Name of SEP: Biological and Physiological
 Sciences

Date: June 5, 1995

Time: 8:00 a.m.

Place: Hyatt Regency, Bethesda, MD
 Contact Person: Dr. Sandy Warren, Scientific
 Review Administrator, 6701 Rockledge
 Drive, Room 5134, Bethesda, MD 20892,
 (301) 594-7289

Name of SEP: Clinical Sciences

Date: June 5, 1995

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Place: ANA Hotel, Washington, DC
 Contact Person: Dr. Mushtaq Khan, Scientific
 Review Admin., 6701 Rockledge Drive,
 Room 4045, Bethesda, MD 20892, (301)
 594-7168.

The meetings will be closed in accordance with the provisions set forth in secs. 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5, U.S.C. Applications and/or proposals and the discussions could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information concerning individuals associated with the applications and/or proposals, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

This notice is being published less than 15 days prior to the meeting due to the urgent need to meet timing limitations imposed by the grant review cycle.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.306, 93.333, 93.337, 93.393-93.396, 93.837-93.844, 93.846-93.878, 93.892, 93.893, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: April 11, 1995.

Susan K. Feldman,

Committee Management Officer, NIH.

[FR Doc. 95-9314 Filed 4-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-M

Office of Refugee Resettlement

Refugee Resettlement Program; Proposed Availability of Formula Allocation Funding for FY 1995 Targeted Assistance Grants for Services to Refugees in Local Areas of High Need

AGENCY: Office of Refugee Resettlement (ORR), ACF, HHS.

ACTION: Notice of proposed availability of formula allocation funding for FY 1995 targeted assistance grants to States for services to refugees¹ in local areas of high need.

¹ In addition to persons who meet all requirements of 45 CFR 400.43, requirements for documentation of refugee status, eligibility for targeted assistance includes Cuban and Haitian entrants, certain Amerasians from Vietnam who are

SUMMARY: This notice announces the proposed availability of funds and award procedures for FY 1995 targeted assistance grants for services to refugees under the Refugee Resettlement Program (RRP). These grants are for service provision in localities with large refugee populations, high refugee concentrations, and high use of public assistance, and where specific needs exist for supplementation of currently available resources. The formula has been updated to take into account FY 1994 arrivals.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received by May 17, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Address written comments, in duplicate, to: Toyo A. Biddle, Office of Refugee Resettlement, Administration for Children and Families, 370 L'Enfant Promenade, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20447.

APPLICATION DEADLINE: The deadline for applications will be established by the final notice; applications should not be sent in response to this notice of proposed allocations.

CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMESTIC ASSISTANCE (CDFS) NUMBER: 93.584.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Toyo Biddle (202) 401-9250.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Purpose and Scope

This notice announces the proposed availability of funds for grants for targeted assistance for services to refugees in counties where, because of factors such as unusually large refugee populations, high refugee concentrations, and high use of public assistance, there exists and can be demonstrated a specific need for supplementation of resources for services to this population.

The Office of Refugee Resettlement (ORR) has available \$49,397,000 in FY 1995 funds for the targeted assistance program (TAP) as part of the FY 1995 appropriation for the Department of Health and Human Services (Pub. L. 103-333).

admitted to the U.S. as immigrants, and certain Amerasians from Vietnam who are U.S. citizens. (See section II of this notice on Authorization.) The term refugee, used in this notice for convenience, is intended to encompass such additional persons who are eligible to participate in refugee program services, including the targeted assistance program.

Refugees admitted to the U.S. under admissions numbers set aside for private-sector-initiative admissions are not eligible to be served under the targeted assistance program (or under other programs supported by Federal refugee funds) during their period of coverage under their sponsoring agency's agreement with the Department of State—usually two years from their date of arrival, or until they obtain permanent resident alien status, whichever comes first.

The House Appropriations Committee Report reads as follows with respect to targeted assistance funds (H.R. Rept. No. 103-553, p. 93):

This program provides grants to States for counties which are impacted by high concentrations of refugees and high dependency rates. The Committee intends that \$19,000,000 of the total recommended for targeted assistance be provided to continue the current program of support to communities affected as a result of the massive influx of Cuban and Haitian entrants. The Committee also intends that 10 percent of the total appropriated for targeted assistance be used for grants to localities most heavily impacted by the influx of refugees such as Laotian Hmong, Cambodians, and Soviet Pentecostals, including secondary migrants who entered the United States after October 1, 1979. The Committee expects these grants to be awarded to communities not presently receiving targeted assistance because of previous concentration requirements and other factors in the grant formulas, as well as those who do currently receive targeted assistance grants.

The Senate Appropriations Committee Report (S. Rept. No. 103-318, p. 154) is consistent with the above-quoted House Report.

The Conference Report on Appropriations (H. Rept. No. 103-733, p. 24) clarifies Congress' intent on the use of the \$19 million for communities affected by Cuban and Haitian entrants as follows:

The conferees are agreed that \$19,000,000 of the \$49,397,000 appropriated for targeted assistance is to serve communities affected by the Cuban and Haitian entrants and refugees whose arrivals in recent years have increased.

The Director of the Office of Refugee Resettlement (ORR) proposes to use the \$49,397,000 appropriated for FY 1995 targeted assistance as follows:

- \$25,457,300 will be allocated under the updated formula, as set forth in this notice.

- \$19,000,000 will be awarded to serve communities most heavily affected by recent Cuban and Haitian entrant and refugee arrivals.

- \$4,939,700 (10% of the total) will be awarded as second-year continuation grants in a two-year project period under a discretionary grant announcement that was issued in FY 1994.

In addition, the Office of Refugee Resettlement has available an additional \$6,000,000 in FY 1995 funds to augment the targeted assistance 10% program through the Foreign Operations, Export

Financing, and Related Programs Appropriations Act (Pub. L. 103-306). These funds will be awarded under a separate discretionary grant announcement which will be issued setting forth application requirements and evaluation criteria.

The purpose of targeted assistance grants is to provide, through a process of local planning and implementation, direct services intended to result in the economic self-sufficiency and reduced welfare dependency of refugees through job placements.

The targeted assistance program reflects the requirements of section 412(c)(2)(B) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), which provides that targeted assistance grants shall be made available (i) primarily for the purpose of facilitating refugee employment and achievement of self-sufficiency, (ii) in a manner that does not supplant other refugee program funds and that assures that not less than 95 percent of the amount of the grant award is made available to the county or other local entity.

II. Authorization

Targeted assistance projects are funded under the authority of section 412(c)(2) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), as amended by the Refugee Assistance Extension Act of 1986 (Pub. L. 99-605), 8 U.S.C. 1522(c); section 501(a) of the Refugee Education Assistance Act of 1980 (Pub. L. 96-422), 8 U.S.C. 1522 note, insofar as it incorporates by reference with respect to Cuban and Haitian entrants the authorities pertaining to assistance for refugees established by section 412(c)(2) of the INA, as cited above; section 584(c) of the Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 1988, as included in the FY 1988 Continuing Resolution (Pub. L. 100-202), insofar as it incorporates by reference with respect to certain Amerasians from Vietnam the authorities pertaining to assistance for refugees established by section 412(c)(2) of the INA, as cited above, including certain Amerasians from Vietnam who are U.S. citizens, as provided under title II of the Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs Appropriations Acts, 1989 (Pub. L. 100-461), 1990 (Pub. L. 101-167), and 1991 (Pub. L. 101-513).

III. Client and Service Priorities

Targeted assistance funding should be used to assist refugee families to achieve economic independence. To this end, ORR expects States and counties to ensure that a coherent plan of services is developed for each eligible family

that addresses the family's needs from time of arrival until attainment of economic independence. Each service plan should address a family's needs for both employment-related services and other needed social services. In local jurisdictions that have both targeted assistance and refugee social services programs, one plan of services may be developed for a family that incorporates both targeted assistance and refugee social services.

Services funded under the targeted assistance allocations are required to focus primarily on those refugees who, either because of their protracted use of public assistance or difficulty in securing employment, continue to need services beyond the initial years of resettlement. The targeted assistance program, however, is not intended to be limited to cash assistance recipients. TAP-funded services may also be provided to other refugees in need of services, regardless of whether the refugees are receiving cash assistance.

In addition to the statutory requirement that TAP funds be used primarily for the purpose of facilitating refugee employment (section 412(c)(2)(B)(i)), funds awarded under this program are intended to help fulfill the Congressional intent that employable refugees should be placed on jobs as soon as possible after their arrival in the United States (section 412(a)(1)(B)(i) of the INA). Therefore targeted assistance funds must be used primarily for services which directly enhance refugee employment potential, have specific employment objectives, and are designed to enable refugees to obtain jobs with less than one year's participation in the targeted assistance program. Examples of these activities are: job development; job placement; job-related and vocational English; short-term job training specifically related to opportunities in the local economy; on-the-job training; business and employer incentives (such as on-site employee orientation, vocational English training, or bilingual supervisor assistance); and business technical assistance. General or remedial educational activities—such as adult basic education (ABE) or preparation for a high school equivalency or general education diploma (GED)—may be provided within the context of an individual employability plan for a refugee which is intended to result in job placement in less than one year. ORR encourages the continued provision of services after a refugee has entered a job to help the refugee retain employment or move to a better job. Targeted assistance funds cannot be used for long-term training programs

such as vocational training that last for more than a year or educational programs that are not intended to lead to employment within a year. If TAP funds are used for the provision of English language training, such training should be provided concurrently, rather than sequentially, with employment or with other employment-related services, to the maximum extent possible.

A portion of a local area's allocation may be used for services which are not directed toward the achievement of a specific employment objective in less than one year but which are essential to the adjustment of refugees in the community, provided such needs are clearly demonstrated and such use is approved by the State.

Reflecting section 412(a)(1)(A)(iv) of the INA, the Director of ORR expects States to insure that women have the same opportunities as men to participate in training and instruction. In addition, States are expected to make sure that services are provided in a manner that encourages the use of bilingual women on service agency staffs to ensure adequate service access by refugee women. In order to facilitate refugee self-support, the Director also expects States to implement strategies which address simultaneously the employment potential of both male and female wage earners in a family unit. States and counties are expected to make every effort to assure availability of day care services in order to allow women with children the opportunity to participate in employment services or to accept or retain employment. To accomplish this, day care may be treated as a priority employment-related service under the targeted assistance program. Refugees who are participating in TAP-funded or social services-funded employment services or have accepted employment are eligible for day care services. For an employed refugee, TAP-funded day care must be limited to one year after the refugee becomes employed. States and counties, however, are expected to use day care funding from other publicly funded mainstream programs as a prior resource and are encouraged to work with service providers to assure maximum access to other publicly funded resources for day care.

Targeted assistance services should be provided in a manner that is culturally and linguistically compatible with a refugee's language and cultural background. In light of the increasingly diverse population of refugees who are resettling in this country, refugee service agencies will need to develop practical ways of providing culturally and linguistically appropriate services

to a changing ethnic population. To the maximum extent possible, particularly during a refugee's initial years of resettlement, targeted assistance services should be provided through a refugee-specific service system rather than through a system in which refugees are only one of many client groups being served.

ORR strongly encourages States and counties when contracting for targeted assistance services, including employment services, to give consideration to the special strengths of MAAs, whenever contract bidders are otherwise equally qualified, provided that the MAA has the capability to deliver services in a manner that is culturally and linguistically compatible with the background of the target population to be served. States may use a portion of their targeted assistance funds, either through contracts or through the use of State/county staff, to provide technical assistance and organizational training to strengthen the capability of MAAs to provide employment services, particularly in States where MAA capability is weak or undeveloped. If a State chooses to use State employees to provide technical assistance to MAAs, this would be an administrative cost which must be included within the State administrative cost limit of 5% for the targeted assistance program.

ORR defines MAAs as organizations with the following qualifications:

- a. The organization is legally incorporated as a nonprofit organization; and
- b. Not less than 51% of the composition of the Board of Directors or governing board of the mutual assistance association is comprised of refugees or former refugees, including both refugee men and women.

Finally, in order to provide culturally and linguistically compatible services in as cost-efficient a manner as possible in a time of limited resources, ORR strongly encourages States and counties to promote and give special consideration to the provision of services through coalitions of refugee service organizations, such as coalitions of MAAs, voluntary resettlement agencies, or a variety of service providers. ORR believes it is essential for refugee-serving organizations to form close partnerships in the provision of services to refugees in order to be able to respond adequately to a changing refugee picture. Coalition-building and consolidation of providers is particularly important in communities with multiple service providers in order to ensure better coordination of services and maximum use of funding for

services by minimizing the funds used for multiple administrative overhead costs.

The award of funds to States under this notice would be contingent upon the completeness of a State's application as described in section IX, below.

IV. [Reserved for Discussion of Comments in Final Notice]

V. Eligible Grantees

The following requirements, which have previously applied to TAP, will continue to apply with respect to FY 1995 awards:

Eligible grantees are those agencies of State governments which are responsible for the refugee program under 45 CFR 400.5 in States containing counties which qualify for FY 1995 targeted assistance awards. The use of targeted assistance funds for services to Cuban and Haitian entrants is limited to States which have an approved State plan under the Cuban/Haitian Entrant Program (CHEP).

The State agency will submit a single application on behalf of all county governments of the qualified counties in that State. Subsequent to the approval of the State's application by ORR, local targeted assistance plans will be developed by the county government or other designated entity and submitted to the State.

A State with more than one qualified county is permitted, but not required, to determine the allocation amount for each qualified county within the State. However, if a State chooses to determine county allocations differently from those set forth in this notice, the FY 1995 allocations proposed by the State must be included in the State's application.

Applications submitted in response to this notice are not subject to review by State and areawide clearinghouses under Executive Order 12372, Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs.

VI. Qualification and Allocation Formulas

A. Qualifying New Counties

ORR does not intend to consider data for the purpose of determining the eligibility of new counties to participate in TAP in FY 1995. The reason is that in FY 1996 we intend to modify the qualifying criteria and allocations formula for targeted assistance. At that time, the eligibility of all counties for participation in TAP will be reviewed against the new qualifying criteria. We do not believe it makes sense to invite new counties to submit evidence of eligibility in FY 1995 when these

counties may become ineligible in FY 1996 under the new qualifying criteria.

B. Allocation Formula

The FY 1995 TAP formula allocations are based on the same formula as in FY 1994, updated to reflect arrivals through September 30, 1994.

Under this formula, one portion of the allocation is based on refugee and Cuban/Haitian entrant arrivals during FY 1980-1982; funds for this portion of the formula are allocated on the same proportionate basis among participating counties as in FY 1994. The second portion of the allocation is based on refugee and entrant placements in these counties during calendar year (CY) 1983-September 30, 1994.

For the participating counties, the \$25,457,300 which is allocated by formula is apportioned as follows:

a. \$7,891,763 or 31%, is allocated on the basis of the formula which has been used for all previous targeted assistance allocations (old formula) and which is based on initial placements during FY 1980-1982 and other factors as described under Formula Used to Date in the FY 1989 TAP notice published in the **Federal Register** on July 3, 1989 (54 FR 27944).

b. \$17,565,537 or 69%, is allocated on the basis of arrivals during CY 1983-September 30, 1994 (new formula).

The above percentages are based on the proportion of initial placements in these counties during the two periods: 338,247 refugee arrivals, or 31% of the total number of placements, during the old-formula period; and 768,750 or 69%, during the new-formula period.

The old-formula allocation of \$7,891,763 follows the same distribution among counties as in the past.

The new-formula allocation of \$17,565,537 is based on the number of initial placements in each county during CY 1983-September 30, 1994. Welfare dependency rates were not used as a factor in this portion of the formula.

C. Allocation Formula for Communities Affected by Recent Cuban/Haitian Arrivals

Proposed allocations for recent Cuban and Haitian refugee and entrant arrivals are based on arrival numbers during the 3-year period beginning October 1, 1991 through September 30, 1994.

Allocations are limited to targeted assistance counties with 3 percent or more of the total 3-year Cuban and Haitian arrival population (35,863 arrivals) in the 42 targeted assistance counties. We have established a 3 percent threshold for allocations in

order to target the most impacted communities.

VII. Proposed Allocations

Table 1 lists the participating counties, the number of placements in each county during CY 1983—September 30, 1994, the amount of each county's proposed allocation which is based on the old formula, the amount of each county's allocation which is based on the new formula, and the county's total proposed allocation.

Although Table 1 shows an amount for each county, the Director proposes, in the case of a State which contains

more than one qualified county, to continue to permit the State to determine (in accordance with the requirements set forth in this notice) the appropriate allocation of the State's targeted assistance award among the qualified counties in the State. If a State chooses to make allocations which are different from the notice, the State, as in the FY 1994 TAP, would be responsible for determining an appropriate and equitable basis for allocating the funds among the qualified counties in the State and for including in its application a description of this allocation basis, the

data to be used, and the allocation proposed for each county.

Table 2 lists the participating counties, the number of Cuban and Haitian refugee and entrant arrivals in each county during FY 1992–FY 1994, each county's percentage of the aggregate total Cuban/Haitian arrivals in the 42 targeted assistance counties, and the proposed allocation amount for each county that has an arrival threshold of 3 percent or above.

Table 3 provides State totals for targeted assistance allocations.

Table 4 indicates the areas that each participating county represents.

TABLE 1.—PROPOSED TARGETED ASSISTANCE ALLOCATIONS BY COUNTY: FY 1995

County	State	Arrivals Jan. 1983–Sep. 1994 (A)	Portion of proposed FY 1995 allocation under old formula (B)	Portion of proposed FY 1995 allocation under new formula (C)	Total proposed FY 1995 allocation ¹ (D)
Alameda	CA	15,342	\$196,075	\$350,557	\$546,632
Contra Costa	CA	4,291	56,063	98,047	154,110
Fresno	CA	14,168	108,273	323,731	432,004
Los Angeles	CA	96,369	990,155	2,201,981	3,192,136
Merced	CA	4,419	132,156	100,972	233,128
Orange	CA	45,042	440,587	1,029,186	1,469,773
Sacramento	CA	17,687	167,821	404,139	571,960
San Diego	CA	25,354	328,383	579,326	907,709
San Francisco	CA	25,207	254,838	575,967	830,805
San Joaquin	CA	9,352	169,342	213,688	383,030
Santa Clara	CA	34,492	327,990	788,124	1,116,114
Stanislaus	CA	3,433	30,639	78,442	109,081
Tulare	CA	5,345	0	122,130	122,130
Denver	CO	9,863	66,147	225,364	291,511
Broward	FL	3,549	109,568	81,093	190,661
Dade	FL	55,469	1,911,490	1,267,438	3,178,928
Hillsboro	FL	3,484	34,433	79,608	114,041
Palm Beach	FL	3,574	45,517	81,664	127,181
Honolulu	HI	3,417	72,838	78,077	150,915
Cook/Kane	IL	36,432	342,151	832,452	1,174,603
Sedgwick	KS	4,038	81,534	92,266	173,800
Orleans	LA	3,902	55,699	89,159	144,858
Montgomery/Prince Georges	MD	8,850	67,761	202,218	269,979
Middlesex	MA	6,357	53,529	145,254	198,783
Suffolk	MA	16,107	122,853	368,037	490,890
Hennepin	MN	10,446	86,311	238,686	324,997
Ramsey	MN	10,263	121,357	234,504	355,861
Jackson	MO	4,320	31,685	98,710	130,395
Essex	NJ	5,925	18,336	135,383	153,719
Hudson	NJ	2,946	122,698	67,315	190,013
Union	NJ	1,810	24,631	41,358	65,989
New York	NY	135,633	273,761	3,099,143	3,372,904
Multnomah	OR	17,069	185,998	390,018	576,016
Philadelphia	PA	18,645	127,317	426,028	553,345
Providence	RI	4,850	90,936	110,820	201,756
Dallas/Tarrant	TX	26,000	0	594,086	594,086
Harris	TX	21,914	149,237	500,723	649,960
Salt Lake	UT	7,209	45,368	164,722	210,090
Arlington	VA	3,183	78,619	72,730	151,349
Fairfax	VA	9,011	94,800	205,897	300,697
King/Snohomish	WA	29,264	226,469	668,667	895,136
Pierce	WA	4,719	48,398	107,827	156,225
Total		768,750	7,891,763	17,565,537	25,457,300

¹ Based on arrivals through September 30, 1994.

TABLE 2.—PROPOSED TARGETED ASSISTANCE ALLOCATIONS FOR COMMUNITIES AFFECTED BY RECENT CUBAN AND HAITIAN ARRIVALS: FY 1995

County	State	FY 92-94 total Cuban and Haitian refugee and entrant arrivals	Percent of total arrivals	Amount to be allocated: \$19,000,000
				Proposed allocation: 3% arrival threshold
Alameda	CA	6	0.02	
Contra Costa	CA	1	0.00	
Fresno	CA	3	0.01	
Los Angeles	CA	660	1.84	
Merced	CA	0	0.00	
Orange	CA	24	0.07	
Sacramento	CA	13	0.04	
San Diego	CA	191	0.53	
San Francisco	CA	274	0.76	
San Joaquin	CA	2	0.01	
Santa Clara	CA	4	0.01	
Stanislaus	CA	0	0.00	
Tulare	CA	0	0.00	
Denver	CO	56	0.16	
Broward	FL	1973	5.50	\$1,247,695
Dade	FL	24,336	67.86	15,389,715
Hillsboro	FL	800	2.23	
Palm Beach	FL	2601	7.25	1,644,833
Honolulu	HI	0	0.00	
Cook/Kane	IL	242	0.67	
Sedgwick	KS	6	0.02	
Orleans	LA	94	0.26	
Montgom./Pr. G.	MD	58	0.16	
Middlesex	MA	84	0.23	
Suffolk	MA	385	1.07	
Hennepin	MN	51	0.14	
Ramsey	MN	0	0.00	
Jackson	MO	310	0.86	
Essex	NJ	368	1.03	
Hudson	NJ	1058	2.95	
Union	NJ	118	0.33	
New York	NY	1135	3.16	717,757
Multnomah	OR	132	0.37	
Philadelphia	PA	156	0.43	
Providence	RI	11	0.03	
Dallas/Tarrant	TX	346	0.96	
Harris	TX	132	0.37	
Salt Lake	UT	0	0.00	
Arlington	VA	12	0.03	
Fairfax	VA	2	0.01	
King/Snohomish	WA	219	0.61	
Pierce	WA	0	0.00	
Total		35,863	100.00	19,000,000

TABLE 3.—PROPOSED TARGETED ASSISTANCE ALLOCATIONS BY STATE: FY 1995

State	FY 1995 allocation ¹
California	\$10,068,612
Colorado	291,511
Florida	² 21,893,054
Hawaii	150,915
Illinois	1,174,603
Kansas	173,800
Louisiana	144,858
Maryland	269,979
Massachusetts	689,673
Minnesota	680,858
Missouri	130,395
New Jersey	409,721
New York	² 4,090,661
Oregon	576,016
Pennsylvania	553,345

TABLE 3.—PROPOSED TARGETED ASSISTANCE ALLOCATIONS BY STATE: FY 1995—Continued

State	FY 1995 allocation ¹
Rhode Island	201,756
Texas	1,244,046
Utah	210,090
Virginia	452,046
Washington	1,051,361
Total	44,457,300

¹ Based on arrivals through September 30, 1994.

² The allocations for Florida and New York include \$18,282,243 and \$717,757 respectively for communities affected by Cuban and Haitian entrants and refugees. This is referred to in the Conference Report on the appropriation: "to serve communities affected by the Cuban and Haitian entrants and refugees whose arrivals in recent years have increased."

TABLE 4.—TARGETED ASSISTANCE AREAS

State	Targeted assistance area ¹	Definition
CA	Alameda	Marin, San Francisco and San Mateo Counties.
CA	Contra Costa	
CA	Fresno	
CA	Los Angeles	
CA	Merced	
CA	Orange	
CA	Sacramento	
CA	San Diego	
CA	San Francisco	
CA	San Joaquin	
CA	Santa Clara	
CA	Stanislaus	
CA	Tulare	
CO	Denver	
FL	Broward	Jefferson and Orleans Parishes.
FL	Dade	
FL	Hillsborough	
FL	Palm Beach	
HI	Honolulu	
IL	Cook/Kane	
KS	Sedgwick	
LA	Orleans	
MD	Montgomery/Prince Georges	
MA	Middlesex	
MA	Suffolk	Jackson County, Mo and Wyandotte County KS.
MN	Hennepin	
MN	Ramsey	
MO	Jackson	
NJ	Essex	
NJ	Hudson	
NJ	Union	
NY	New York	
OR	Multnomah	
PA	Philadelphia	
RI	Providence	
TX	Dallas/Tarrant	
TX	Harris	
UT	Salt Lake	
VA	Arlington	
VA	Fairfax	
WA	King/Snohomish	
WA	Pierce	
		Davis, Salt Lake and Utah Counties. Fairfax County and the indep. cities of Alexandria, Fairfax and Falls Church.

¹ Consists of named county/counties unless otherwise defined.

VIII. Application and Implementation Process

Under the FY 1995 targeted assistance program, States would apply for and receive grant awards on behalf of

qualified counties in the State. A single allocation would be made to each State by ORR on the basis of an approved State application. The State agency would, in turn, receive, review, and determine the acceptability of

individual county targeted assistance plans.

TAP funds will be awarded through a more streamlined grant process similar to that used for the ORR social services formula grant program. An application

and assurances are still required of the States eligible to receive TAP funding. FY 1995 funds must be obligated by the State agency no later than one year after the end of the Federal fiscal year in which the Department awarded the grant. There will be no carryover of unobligated funds into the FY 1996 grant award. Funds must be liquidated within two years after the end of the Federal fiscal year in which the Department awarded the grant. A State's final financial report on targeted assistance expenditures must be received no later than two years after the end of the Federal fiscal year in which the Department awarded the grant. If final reports are not received on time, the Department will deobligate any unexpended funds, including any unliquidated obligations, on the basis of a State's last filed report.

Although additional funding to Florida and New York for communities affected by Cuban and Haitian entrants and refugees whose arrivals in recent years have increased is part of the appropriation amount for targeted assistance, the scope of activities for these additional funds will be administratively determined. Applications for these funds are therefore not subject to provisions contained in this notice but to other requirements which will be conveyed separately. Similarly, the requirements regarding the 10% portion of the targeted assistance appropriation as well as the supplemental funds to the 10% portion of the targeted assistance appropriation that will be awarded separately have been addressed in the grant announcements for those funds.

IX. Application Requirements

The proposed State application requirements for grants for the FY 1995 targeted assistance formula allocation are as follows:

States that are currently operating under approved management plans for their FY 1994 targeted assistance program and wish to continue to do so for their FY 1995 grants may provide the following in lieu of resubmitting the full currently approved plan:

The State's application for FY 1995 funding shall provide:

A. Assurance that the State's current management plan for the administration of the targeted assistance program, as approved by ORR, will continue to be in full force and effect for the FY 1995 targeted assistance program, subject to any additional assurances or revisions required by this notice which are not reflected in the current plan. Any proposed modifications to the approved plan will be identified in the

application and are subject to ORR review and approval. Any proposed changes must address and reference all appropriate portions of the FY 1994 application content requirements to ensure complete incorporation in the State's management plan.

B. Assurance that, for each qualified local area, targeted assistance funds will be used primarily for, but not limited to, services to cash assistance recipients.

C. Assurance that targeted assistance funds will be used primarily for the provision of services which directly enhance refugee employment potential, have specific employment objectives, and are designed to enable refugees to obtain jobs with less than one year's participation in the targeted assistance program. States must indicate what percentage of FY 1995 targeted assistance formula allocation funds that are used for services will be allocated for employment services.

D. A line item budget and justification for State administrative costs limited to a maximum of 5% of the total award to the State. Each total budget period funding amount requested must be necessary, reasonable, and allocable to the project.

States administering the program locally: States that have administered the program locally or provide direct service to the refugee population (with the concurrence of the county) must submit a program summary to ORR for prior review and approval. The summary must include a description of the proposed services; a justification for the projected allocation for each component including relationship of funds allocated to numbers of clients served, characteristics of clients, duration of training and services, projected outcomes, and cost per placement. In addition, the program component summary must describe any ancillary services or subcomponents such as day care, transportation, or language training.

States with two or more counties receiving targeted assistance funds: As in FY 1994, a State with two or more local areas which qualify for the program may choose to determine respective county allocations. If the State chooses to determine county allocations differently from those set forth in Table 1 of this notice, the State must provide a description of the State's proposed allocation plan and the basis for the proposed allocations. The application must contain a description of the allocation approach, data used in its determination, the calculated allocation amount for each county, and the rationale for the proposed allocations. States are encouraged to

revise allocation formulas to assure appropriate funding among eligible counties for the duration of the grant such that targeted assistance activities within the State conclude simultaneously. Where the State chooses not to determine county allocation amounts, the State must provide the allocations which are specified in this notice.

X. Reporting Requirements

States will be required to submit quarterly reports on the outcomes of the targeted assistance program, using the same form which States use for reporting on refugee social services formula grants. This is Schedule A and Schedule C of the ORR-6 Quarterly Performance Report form. ORR is no longer using the ORR-12 form which was originally used to report on the outcomes of the targeted assistance program. ORR is consolidating its reporting requirements. The new reporting form will consolidate social services and targeted assistance performance reporting in one format in order to simplify and coordinate reporting. The new form will be available when reporting on FY 1995 grants begins, which would be at the end of the first quarter of FY 1996.

Dated: April 10, 1995.

Regina Lee,

Deputy Director, Office of Refugee Resettlement.

[FR Doc. 95-9365 Filed 4-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4184-01-P

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

Supplemental Awards to Current High Risk Youth Demonstration Program Grantees

AGENCY: Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP), Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), HHS.

ACTION: Availability of supplemental funds for currently funded grantees in the CSAP's Substance Abuse Prevention Demonstration Grant Program for High Risk Populations (specifically, Module A: High Risk Youth; Module B: Female Adolescents; and Module C: Alcohol and Other Drug (AOD)-Related Violence Among High Risk Youth grantees). Excluded are grantees under Module D: Replication of Model Programs for the Prevention of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drug (ATOD) Uses Among High Risk Youth.

SUMMARY: This notice informs the public that CSAP is making available