

priorities that are cooperatively identified by the States and the Service in consultation with the public.

Alternative 3—Emphasis on Additional Funding for Biodiversity and Watchable Wildlife Projects. States will be provided an expanded funding base for use on biodiversity and watchable wildlife enhancement projects.

Alternative 4—Increase Administrative Flexibility of States. Increased responsiveness to State needs, such as administrative flexibility, aquatic education expansion, and adult education for wildlife programs.

Alternative 5—Eliminate Most Service Overview of States. Propose legislation and policy changes to eliminate most Service overview of State projects including project approval. States would be provided program rules, general guidance, and apportionment of funds. States would provide reports of accomplishments and would be periodically monitored by the Service.

Decision

Alternative 1, "No Change to the Existing Program Direction" was selected by the Service for future administration of the Program. This selection was made in response to overwhelming support of the existing program by respondents to the draft document issued in November 1993. The majority of comments received during the comment period expressed the opinions that the Program was working well and urged the Service not to make changes. Most persons commented that States are in the best position to assess the needs of citizens for fish and wildlife resources and that the Federal Government should not get more involved in establishing priorities for State projects. The Service is convinced that the existing Program is effectively meeting the needs of hunters, anglers, boaters, and other users of the nation's fish and wildlife resources and does not plan to change the way the Program is administered.

Significant Issues Raised

After the final SPEIS was distributed to the public in December 1994, several parties asked that the Service adopt a more flexible policy relating to projects to educate State employees. Currently, employees that are actively working on Federally funded projects may be trained using Program funds, but training of employees not working on active projects may not be funded. The Service intends to explore the need for this change with the States independently of this Record of Decision.

No other significant issues were raised during review of the Final SPEIS. Because the Final SPEIS adopted the preferred alternative suggested by most public comments, the few public comments on the final draft were supportive.

Copies Are Available

Copies of the Final SPEIS are available from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Federal Aid, Arlington Square Building, MS-140, 4401 North Fairfax Drive, Arlington, Virginia, 22203, during normal working hours. Telephone (703) 358-2156.

Dated: March 22, 1995.

Mollie H. Beattie,

Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 95-9414 Filed 4-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-M

National Park Service

Delaware and Lehigh Navigation Canal National Heritage Corridor Commission; Meeting

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice announces an upcoming meeting of the Delaware and Lehigh Navigation Canal National Heritage Corridor Commission. Notice of this meeting is required under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463).

MEETING DATE AND TIME: Wednesday, April 19, 1995; 1:30 p.m. until 4:30 p.m.

ADDRESSES: Aldie Mansion, 85 Old Dublin Pike, Doylestown, PA 18901.

The agenda for the meeting will focus on implementation of the Management Action Plan for the Delaware and Lehigh Canal National Heritage Corridor and State Heritage Park. The Commission was established to assist the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and its political subdivisions in planning and implementing an integrated strategy for protecting and promoting cultural, historic and natural resources. The Commission reports to the Secretary of the Interior and to Congress.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Delaware and Lehigh Navigation Canal National Heritage Corridor Commission was established by Public Law 100-692, November 18, 1988.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Acting Executive Director, Delaware and Lehigh Navigation Canal National Heritage Corridor Commission, 10 E. Church Street, Room P-208, Bethlehem, PA 18018, (610) 861-9345.

Dated: April 6, 1995.

Donald M. Bernhard,

Chairman, Delaware and Lehigh Navigation Canal NHC Commission.

[FR Doc. 95-9338 Filed 4-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. Motorola, Inc. and Nextel Communications, Inc.; Public Comments and Response on Proposed Final Judgment

Pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), the United States publishes below the comments received on the proposed Final Judgment in *United States of America v. Motorola, Inc. and Nextel Communications, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 1:94CV02331, filed in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, together with the response of the United States to the comments.

Copies of the response and the public comments are available on request for inspection and copying in room 3233 of the Antitrust Division, United States Department of Justice, Tenth Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20530, and for inspection at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, United States Courthouse, Third Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20001.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

Response to Public Comments to the Proposed Final Judgment

[Case No. 1:94CV02331]

Pursuant to the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16 (b)-(h) ("APPA"), the United States of America hereby files its Response to Public Comments to the proposed Final Judgment in this civil antitrust proceeding. The United States has reviewed the comments on the proposed Final Judgment and remains convinced that entry of the proposed Final Judgment is in the public interest.

I. Summary of Proceedings

This proceeding relates to the proposed consolidation of the trunked specialized mobile radio ("SMR") businesses of Nextel Communications, Inc. ("Nextel") and Motorola, Inc. ("Motorola"), the two largest providers of those services in the United States. This transaction is part of Nextel's