

Rules and Regulations

Federal Register

Vol. 60, No. 73

Monday, April 17, 1995

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each week.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 95–NM–41–AD; Amendment 39–9196; AD 95–08–07]

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A310 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all Airbus Model A310 series airplanes. This action requires measurement of the force required to move the interior control handle of the emergency exit doors, and various follow-on corrective actions, if necessary. This amendment is prompted by a report that, during routine maintenance, excessive force was required to lift the interior control handle of the emergency exit door. The actions specified in this AD are intended to prevent impeding passenger evacuation during an emergency due to difficulty in lifting the interior control handle that is used to open the emergency exit door.

DATES: Effective May 2, 1995.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of May 2, 1995.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before June 16, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95–NM–41–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056.

The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen Slotte, Aerospace Engineer, Standardization Branch, ANM–113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (206) 227–2797; fax (206) 227–1320.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Direction Générale de l'Aviation Civile (DGAC), which is the airworthiness authority for France, recently notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on all Airbus Model A310 series airplanes. The DGAC advises that one operator found, during routine maintenance, that an excessive amount of force was required to lift the interior control handle, which opens the left-hand emergency exit door. Investigation revealed that the seizure of two Teflon-coated bearings on the lower shaft of the mechanism inside that door caused the control handle of that emergency exit door to be difficult to lift. This condition, if not corrected, could impede passenger evacuation during an emergency due to difficulty in lifting the interior control handle that is used to open the emergency exit door.

Airbus has issued All Operators Telex (AOT) 52 08, Revision 1, dated December 1, 1994, which describes procedures for measurement of the force required to move the interior control handle of the emergency exit doors, a one-time functional test of the emergency exit doors to measure the amount of force required to open the doors. Additionally, for doors on which the force required to open the door exceeds a certain limit, this AOT describes procedures for a visual inspection to detect discrepancies of the mechanism inside the door, and replacement of bearings, if necessary. The French DGAC classified this AOT as mandatory and issued French airworthiness directive 94–270–172(B), dated December 7, 1994, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in France.

This airplane model is manufactured in France and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of § 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the French DGAC has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the French DGAC, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, this AD is being issued to prevent impeding passenger evacuation during an emergency due to difficulty in lifting the interior control handle that is used to open the emergency exit door. This AD requires measurement of the force required to move the interior control handle of the emergency exit door. Additionally this AD contains several "on condition" requirements, including a one-time functional test of the emergency exit doors to measure the amount of force required to open the door, a visual inspection to detect discrepancies of the mechanism inside each emergency exit door, and replacement of the bearings on the lower shaft of the mechanism inside the door. The actions are required to be accomplished in accordance with the AOT described previously.

This AD also requires that certain discrepancies found be repaired in accordance with a method approved by the FAA. Additionally, this AD requires that operators submit a report of the findings of discrepancies to Airbus Industrie.

This is considered to be interim action until final action is identified, at which time the FAA may consider further rulemaking.

As a result of recent communications with the Air Transport Association (ATA) of America, the FAA has learned that, in general, some operators may misunderstand the legal effect of AD's on airplanes that are identified in the applicability provision of the AD, but that have been altered or repaired in the area addressed by the AD. The FAA

points out that all airplanes identified in the applicability provision of an AD are legally subject to the AD. If an airplane has been altered or repaired in the affected area in such a way as to affect compliance with the AD, the owner or operator is required to obtain FAA approval for an alternative method of compliance with the AD, in accordance with the paragraph of each AD that provides for such approvals. A note has been included in this rule to clarify this long-standing requirement.

Since a situation exists that requires the immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and opportunity for prior public comment hereon are impracticable, and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

Comments Invited

Although this action is in the form of a final rule that involves requirements affecting flight safety and, thus, was not preceded by notice and an opportunity for public comment, comments are invited on this rule. Interested persons are invited to comment on this rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified under the caption **ADDRESSES**. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered, and this rule may be amended in light of the comments received. Factual information that supports the commenter's ideas and suggestions is extremely helpful in evaluating the effectiveness of the AD action and determining whether additional rulemaking action would be needed.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the rule that might suggest a need to modify the rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this AD will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this rule must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 95-NM-41-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the

States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

The FAA has determined that this regulation is an emergency regulation that must be issued immediately to correct an unsafe condition in aircraft, and that it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866. It has been determined further that this action involves an emergency regulation under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979). If it is determined that this emergency regulation otherwise would be significant under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures, a final regulatory evaluation will be prepared and placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it, if filed, may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. App. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); and 14 CFR 11.89.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

95-08-07 Airbus Industrie: Amendment 39-9196. Docket 95-NM-41-AD.

Applicability: All Model A310 series airplanes, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must use the authority

provided in paragraph (e) to request approval from the FAA. This approval may address either no action, if the current configuration eliminates the unsafe condition; or different actions necessary to address the unsafe condition described in this AD. Such a request should include an assessment of the effect of the changed configuration on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD. In no case does the presence of any modification, alteration, or repair remove any airplane from the applicability of this AD.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent impeding evacuation during an emergency due to seized bearings on the lower shaft of the mechanism inside the emergency exit door, which would make the door control handle difficult to lift, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 60 days after the effective date of this AD, measure the amount of force required to move the interior control handle of the emergency exit doors to, in accordance with Airbus All Operators Telex (AOT) 52 08, Revision 1, dated December 1, 1994.

(b) If the force required to move the interior control handle of the door is equal to or does not exceed 20 daN (45 foot-pounds), no further action is required by this paragraph for that door.

(c) If the force required to move the interior control handle of the door exceeds 20 daN (45 foot-pounds), prior to further flight, perform a full functional test of the emergency exit doors to measure the amount of force required to open the doors, in accordance with the AOT.

(1) If the force required to open the door is equal to or does not exceed 20 daN (45 foot-pounds), no further action is required by this paragraph for that door.

(2) If the force required to open the door exceeds 20 daN (45 foot-pounds), prior to further flight, perform a visual inspection to detect discrepancies of the mechanism inside the door, in accordance with the AOT.

(i) If no discrepancy is found, prior to further flight, replace seized bearings with new or serviceable bearings, in accordance with the AOT.

(ii) If any discrepancy is found, prior to further flight, repair the discrepancy in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM-113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate.

(d) Within 10 days after accomplishing the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, submit a report of the findings of discrepancies to the Airbus Industrie, Engineering Services, Attention: Mr. R. Filaquier, AI/SE E121, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. Information collection requirements contained in this regulation have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq*) and have been assigned OMB Control Number 2120-0056.

(e) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM-113. Operators shall submit their requests through

an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM-113.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Standardization Branch, ANM-113.

(f) Special flight permits may be issued for non-revenue bearing flights in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(g) The measurements, functional test, inspections, and replacement shall be done in accordance with Airbus All Operators Telex 52 08, Revision 1, dated December 1, 1994. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(h) This amendment becomes effective on May 2, 1995.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 5, 1995.

S.R. Miller,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
[FR Doc. 95-8822 Filed 4-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 95-NM-46-AD; Amendment 39-9197; AD 95-08-08]

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 737-200 and -200C Airplanes Equipped With dB Partners Hush Kits Installed in Accordance With Supplemental Type Certificate (STC) SA5730NM

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Boeing Model 737-200 and -200C airplanes. This action requires installation of fail-safe straps onto the existing engine inlet attach ring of the nose cowl. This amendment is prompted by reports of failure of reworked turbine blades, and subsequent failure of the engine inlet attach ring. The actions specified in this AD are intended to prevent separation

of the nose cowl from the engine following turbine failure.

DATES: Effective May 2, 1995.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of May 2, 1995.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before June 16, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95-NM-46-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from The Nordam Group, 624 East 4th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74120. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Rodriguez, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120S, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington; telephone (206) 227-2779; fax (206) 227-1181.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Recently, the FAA has received reports of failure of reworked turbine blades on certain Boeing Model 737-200 and -200C airplanes. Investigation revealed that dB Partners had installed hush kits on these airplanes in accordance with Supplemental Type Certificate (STC) SA5730NM. As part of that installation, a re-spaced inlet guide vane (RIGV), which is five inches longer than the original Boeing inlet, was installed in accordance with the STC. As a result of a turbine blade failure, this longer RIGV, which is attached to the engine by an attach ring, could separate from the airplane during flight. This condition, if not corrected, could result in damage to other airplane structure or injury to persons or property on the ground.

The FAA has reviewed and approved Nordam Service Bulletin SB 71-03, dated March 17, 1995, which describes procedures for installation of eight fail-safe straps onto the existing attach rings of the nose cowl.

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design, this AD is being issued to prevent separation of the nose cowl from the engine following turbine

failure. This AD requires installation of fail-safe straps onto the existing attach ring. The actions are required to be accomplished in accordance with the service bulletin described previously.

This is considered to be interim action until final action is identified, at which time the FAA may consider further rulemaking.

As a result of recent communications with the Air Transport Association (ATA) of America, the FAA has learned that, in general, some operators may misunderstand the legal effect of AD's on airplanes that are identified in the applicability provision of the AD, but that have been altered or repaired in the area addressed by the AD. The FAA points out that all airplanes identified in the applicability provision of an AD are legally subject to the AD. If an airplane has been altered or repaired in the affected area in such a way as to affect compliance with the AD, the owner or operator is required to obtain FAA approval for an alternative method of compliance with the AD, in accordance with the paragraph of each AD that provides for such approvals. A note has been included in this rule to clarify this long-standing requirement.

Since a situation exists that requires the immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and opportunity for prior public comment hereon are impracticable, and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

Comments Invited

Although this action is in the form of a final rule that involves requirements affecting flight safety and, thus, was not preceded by notice and an opportunity for public comment, comments are invited on this rule. Interested persons are invited to comment on this rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified under the caption **ADDRESSES**. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered, and this rule may be amended in light of the comments received. Factual information that supports the commenter's ideas and suggestions is extremely helpful in evaluating the effectiveness of the AD action and determining whether additional rulemaking action would be needed.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the rule that might suggest a need to modify the rule. All comments