appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

PTC has not solicited comments with respect to the proposed rule change, and none have been received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act [7] requires that the rules of a clearing agency be designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions. The Commission believes that PTC's proposal to increase the percentage of collected and available GNMA I P&I that may be distributed to participants by intraday fedwire transfer should help promote prompt and accurate clearing and settlement. The proposal enables participants to have access to such funds earlier in the day, allowing them the use of the funds elsewhere, as needed, which increases liquidity in other markets.

PTC has requested that the Commission find good cause for approving the proposed rule change prior to the thirtieth day after the date of publication of notice of the filing. In order to assure that PTC can implement the proposal commencing with the April 1995 distribution, it is necessary that PTC receive the appropriate approval in advance of that date. The Commission, therefore, finds sufficient cause to accelerate approval of this proposal. In addition, the staff of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (''Board of Governors'') agrees with the accelerated approval.[8]

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of PTC. All submissions should refer to file number SR–PTC–95–02 and should be submitted by May 4, 1995.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, that the proposed rule change (File No. SR–PTC–95–02) be and hereby is approved on an accelerated basis.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.[9]

Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–9076 Filed 4–12–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

[7] 15 U.S.C. 78q–1(b)(3)(F) (1988).

[8] Telephone conversation between William R. Stanley, Board of Governors, and Ari Burstein, Division of Market Regulation, Commission (April 5, 1995).

[Release No. 34–35579; File No. SR–CBOE–95–17]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated Relating to its Retail Automatic Execution System for Transactions in SPX Options

April 7, 1995.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (''Act''),[1] and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,[2] notice is hereby given that on March 30, 1995, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (''CBOE'' or ''Exchange'') filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (''Commission'') the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange subsequently filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change on April 3, 1995.[3] The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend its rules respecting use of the CBOE's Retail Automatic Execution System (''RAES'') for transactions in Standard & Poor's 500 Index (''SPX'') options by individual members, joint account participants and nominees of member organizations having multiple nominees. The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Office of the Secretary, the Exchange, and at the Commission.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Section (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend Rule 24.16 (''RAES Eligibility in SPX'') in respect of use of RAES by individual members, joint account participants, and market-maker/nominees associated with member organizations. The amendments would incorporate into Rule 24.16, provisions respecting individual member use of RAES and provisions respecting joint account and member organization use of RAES (the ''group account'' provisions) presently contained in its rules respecting use of RAES for transactions in Standard & Poor's 100 Index (''OEX'') options.[4] Currently, Rule 24.16 contains fewer provisions regulating individual members' eligibility to use RAES for SPX options than is the case under rule 24.17 for use of RAES for OEX options. Similarly, Rule 24.16 contains only one provision addressing RAES eligibility for member organizations having multiple market-maker/nominees, while Rule 24.17 contains numerous provisions respecting use of RAES by participants in both types of group

[9] 17 CFR 100.30–3(a)(12) (1994).

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

[2] 17 CFR 240.19b–4 (1991).

[3] In Amendment No. 1, the CBOE states that the reference to Rule 24.17 in the original filing (see infra note 4 and accompanying text) was intended to be a reference to Rule 24.16, and amends the proposal accordingly. In addition, Amendment No. 1 defines the term ''brief interval,'' as used to describe the allowable period during which RAES participants can leave the trading floor. See infra note 7 and accompanying text. See also Letter from Timothy Thompson, Attorney, CBOE, to John Ayanian, Attorney, Office of Market Supervision (''OMS''), Division of Market Regulation (''Division''), Commission, dated March 31, 1995. (''Amendment No. 1'').

[4] See CBOE Rule 24.17 (''RAES Eligibility in OEX'').

accounts. The OEX RAES provisions have worked well, and the Exchange accordingly believes that the substance of all the OEX provisions should now be incorporated into the SPX RAES rules.

The proposed rule change would incorporate, in the introductory clause to paragraph (a) of Rule 24.16, the provisions contained in subparagraph (a)(i) of Rule 24.17, which, among other things, requires individual market makers to sign the RAES Participation Agreement and complete the RAES instructional program before they may use RAES. The proposed rule change also would incorporate in paragraph (a) of Rule 24.16 the preconditions to use of RAES that are contained in subparagraph (a)(v) of Rule 24.17, including the requirement that individual members be engaged at CBOE principally as market makers and that they execute at least 75% of their options contracts in SPX and at least 75% of their trades in SPX options in person.

CBOE also proposes to add new paragraphs (c) and (d) to Rule 24.16.[5] Paragraph (c) would establish preconditions to initial use of RAES by joint account participants and would impose minimum SPX trading activity standards on joint account market markers on RAES. Paragraph (c) would also includes log-on and log-off requirements for each joint account market maker, as well as procedures for obtaining relief from those requirements, and would grant the SPX Floor Procedures Committee authority to restrict or condition a joint account member's participation in RAES.[6] In turn, paragraph (d) would contain provisions, similar to those in proposed paragraph (c), respecting access to RAES by market makers associated with member organizations having multiple nominees.

All the foregoing changes would, in general, conform SPX RAES requirements to the corresponding OEX RAES requirements.[7] Several differences, however, would remain.

Under the proposed SPX rules, a member who has logged onto RAES must log off RAES whenever he leaves the trading crowd unless the departure is for ''a brief interval.''[8] THe OEX RAES rules do not currently contain the ''brief interval'' exception, though the Exchange anticipates filing an amendment to Rule 24.17 that would establish such an exception for OEX RAES market makers.

In addition to the foregoing changes in RAES eligibility and use requirements, the proposed rule change would establish group account size limit standards. A new paragraph (e) would authorize the SPX Floor Procedures Committee to set such a limit at a number not to exceed 33⅓ percent of the prior quarter's average number of RAES participants. This approach contrasts somewhat with the OEX RAES provisions, which permit a group account to include as many as 50 participants, or 25 percent of the prior quarter's average, whichever is smaller.

The Exchange believes that the proposed differences in approach and applicable percentage limit are appropriate to SPX RAES for several reasons. First, the Exchange anticipates that this rule change will make SPX participation more attractive to members, which should lead to higher RAES participation levels. Increases in participation levels may be substantial and may well occur at unpredictable rates. In such a context, a specific numerical limit would be an impediment to efficient administration of the rule. The Exchange believes that any specific number it selects at this time would, at a later date, likely be either artificially high (triggering the application of the percentage limit) or inappropriately low (requiring periodic rule change filings as participation levels rise). Accordingly, the Exchange proposes to adopt only a percentage limit for RAES use in SPX options, at least until the projected SPX RAES growth rates appear to stabilize.

Second, the SPX trading crowd is considerably smaller at present than the OEX trading crowd. About 20 participants, on average, use SPX RAES on any given day, whereas about 150 participants use OEX RAES. Were the proposed rule to use the 25 percent limit that exists in the current OEX RAES rule, no more than twelve members could participate in one joint account. The Exchange believes, however, that a larger joint account base should be encouraged in order to increase SPX RAES participation levels.

The Exchange believes that the proposed 33⅓ percent limit would enable expansion in the use of RAES without permitting undue concentration of trading interest. Although in theory the 33⅓ percent limit would allow all SPX RAES participants to join one of the three accounts (rather than one of four as is permitted in OEX), that degree of consolidation on SPX RAES is unlikely. Moreover, were it to occur and generate adverse effects, the SPX Floor Procedures Committee would have authority under proposed paragraph (e) to reduce the applicable group size limit.

Finally, the proposed rule change includes a new paragraph (h) that would incorporate in the SPX RAES rules the fee schedule included in the OEX RAES Rule 24.17 for failure to adhere to the various RAES log-on and log-off requirements. The provisions of proposed paragraph (h) match those in the OEX RAES Rule 24.17.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6 of the Act, in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5), in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation with persons engaged in facilitating and clearing transactions in securities, and to protect investors and the public interest.

(B) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition.

(C) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change: (1) Does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (2) does not impose any significant burden of competition; (3) was provided to the Commission for its

[5] See Amendment No. 1, *supra* note 3.

[6] In this regard, references in current Rule 24.16 to the Market Performance Committee would be replaced by references to the SPX Floor Procedures Committee, which, as a more product-specific committee, would henceforth have authority under Rule 24.16.

[7] The changes also would clarify that all participants in a joint account may use the joint account for trading on RAES in all series of SPX options, and to that end would delete a contrary provision in paragraph (a) of the current Rule 24.16. In connection with approval of this rule change, the Exchange will issue a regulatory circular amending Regulatory Circular 92-47, to clarify that more than one joint account member may participate on behalf of the joint account on any joint account transaction in SPX options, whether or not executed on RAES. This clarification will unify the treatment of SPX joint account trades with the treatment accorded such trades in OEX options.

[8] The Exchange agrees to restrict the term ''brief interval'' to a period no longer than 10–15 minutes. The purpose for the brief interval is to give members time to attend to their personal needs. In addition, the Exchange expects the trading crowd and the Order Book Official (''OBO'') for a particular trading post to police compliance with the brief interval exception. OBOs will be instructed to log off a member from RAES if he has been absent from a trading crowd for more than a brief interval. See Amendment No. 1, *supra note 3.*

review at least five days prior to the filing date; and (4) does not become operative for 30 days from April 3, 1995,[9] the rule change proposal has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and Rule 19b–4(e)(6) thereunder. In particular, the Commission believes the proposal would qualify as a ''noncontroversial filing'' in that the proposed standards do not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest and do not impose any significant burden on competition. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying at the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the CBOE. All submissions should refer to SR–CBOE–95–17 and should be submitted by May 4, 1995.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.[10]

Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–9147 Filed 4–12–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

[9] Because the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 subsequent to the original filing date, the 30-day period commences on the filing date of Amendment No. 1.

[10] 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12) (1994).

[Release No. IC–20991; File No. 812–9490]

Kemper Securities, Inc., et al.; Notice of Application

April 6, 1995.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission (''SEC'').

ACTION: Notice of application for exemption under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the ''Act'').

APPLICANTS: Kemper Securities, Inc. (''Sponsor''); Kemper Tax-Exempt Insured Income Trust, Kemper Tax-Exempt Income Trust, Ohio Tax-Exempt Bond Trust, Kemper Insured Corporate Trust, Kemper Government Securities Trust (U.S. Treasury Portfolio), Kemper Government Securities Trust (GNMA Portfolio), Kemper Bond Enhanced Securities Trust, Kemper Equity Portfolio Trusts, Kemper Defined Funds U.S. Treasury Portfolio, Kemper Defined Funds GNMA Portfolio, Kemper Defined Funds Insured Corporate, Kemper Defined Funds Corporate Income, Kemper Defined Funds Insured National, Kemper Defined Funds Insured State, Kemper Defined Funds (the ''Trusts'').

RELEVANT ACT SECTIONS: Order requested under section 6(c) for exemptions from sections 2(a)(32), 2(a)(35), 22(d), and 26(a)(2)(C) of the Act and rule 22c–1 thereunder, and under section 11(a) for relief from section 11(c).

SUMMARY OF APPLICATION: Applicants request an order that would permit the Trusts to impose deferred sales charges, waive the deferred sales charge in certain cases, and exchange units with deferred sales charges.

FILING DATES: The application was filed on February 21, 1995, and was amended on March 31, 1995.

HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING: An order granting the application will be issued unless the SEC orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the SEC's Secretary and serving applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the SEC by 5:30 p.m. on May 1, 1995, and should be accompanied by proof of service on applicants in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of the date of a hearing may request notification by writing to the SEC's Secretary.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, SEC, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. Applicants, 77 West Wacker Dr., Chicago, IL 60601; cc: Mark J. Kneedy, Chapman and Cutler, 111 West Monroe St., Chicago, IL 60603.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bradley W. Paulson, Staff Attorney, at (202) 942–0147, or Robert A. Robertson, Branch Chief, at (202) 942–0564 (Division of Investment Management, Office of Investment Company Regulation).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application is available for a fee from the SEC's Public Reference Branch.

Applicants' Representations

1. Each Trust is a unit investment trust sponsored by the Sponsor. Each Trust has one or more separate series (''Series'') created by a trust indenture among the Sponsor, an evaluator, and a banking institution or trust company serving as trustee. The Sponsor acquires a portfolio of securities and deposits them with the trustee in exchange for certificates representing fractional undivided interests in the portfolio of securities (''Units''). Units currently are offered to the public through the Sponsor and other underwriters and dealers at a price based upon the aggregate offering side evaluation of the underlying securities plus an up-front sales charge. The sales charge currently ranges from 5.5 percent to 1 percent of the public offering price, and is subject to reduction as permitted by rule 22d–1.

2. Applicants request an order permitting them, future series of the Trusts, and future trusts sponsored by the sponsor to impose sales charges on Units on a deferred basis and waive the deferred sales charge in certain cases. Under applicants' proposal, the Sponsor will continue to determine the amount of sales charge per Unit at the time portfolio securities are deposited in a Series. The Sponsor will have the discretion to defer collection of all or part of this sales charge over a period following the purchase of Units. The Sponsor will in no event add to the deferred amount initially determined any additional amount for interest or any similar or related charge to reflect or adjust for such deferral.

3. The Sponsor anticipates collecting a portion of the total sales charge immediately upon purchase of Units. A portion of the outstanding balance will be deducted periodically by the trustee from distributions on the Units and paid to the Sponsor until the total amount of the sales charge is collected. If distribution income is insufficient to pay a deferred sales charge installment,