

Dated: April 4, 1995.

On February 23, 1995, the Economic Regulatory Administration (ERA) of the Department of Energy (DOE) filed a Petition for the Implementation of Special Refund Procedures with the Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA), to distribute crude oil overcharge funds received from MAPCO, Inc. (MAPCO) pursuant to a June 23, 1994 Settlement Agreement. The Settlement Agreement resolved claims and litigation arising from an April 21, 1986 Remedial Order originally issued to MAPCO Inc.'s subsidiary MAPCO International, Inc. (MAPCO International) (Case No. HRO-0193). In accordance with the provisions of the procedural regulations at 10 C.F.R. Part 205, Subpart V (Subpart V), the ERA requests in its Petition that the OHA establish special procedures to make refunds in order to remedy the effects of alleged regulatory violations set forth in the Remedial Order. This Decision and Order sets forth the OHA's plan to distribute these funds.

I. Background

During the period relevant to this proceeding, MAPCO International, Inc. was a reseller of crude oil. On June 30, 1983, the ERA issued a Proposed Remedial Order (PRO) to the firm. The PRO alleged that during the period from August 1978 through November 1980 (the audit period), MAPCO International sold crude oil at prices in excess of those permitted by 10 C.F.R. Part 212, Subpart L. After considering and dismissing MAPCO International's objections to the PRO, the DOE issued a final Remedial Order. 14 DOE ¶ 83,019 (1986). MAPCO International appealed the Remedial Order to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, which affirmed the Remedial Order. 43 FERC ¶ 63,041 (1988); 56 FERC ¶ 61,063 (1991). Three years of litigation ensued. MAPCO, MAPCO International and the DOE finally resolved all their disputes arising from the Remedial Order with the June 23, 1994 Settlement Agreement. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, MAPCO remitted to the DOE the sum of \$7,280,202, to which interest has since accrued. These funds are being held in an interest-bearing escrow account maintained at the Department of the Treasury pending a determination regarding their proper distribution.

II. Jurisdiction and Authority

The Subpart V regulations set forth general guidelines which may be used by the OHA in formulating and implementing a plan of distribution of funds received as a result of an enforcement proceeding. The DOE policy is to use the subpart V process to distribute such funds. For a more detailed discussion of Subpart V and the authority of the OHA to fashion procedures to distribute refunds, see *Petroleum Overcharge Distribution and Restitution Act of 1986*, 15 U.S.C. 4501 et seq., *Office of Enforcement*, 9 DOE ¶ 82,508 (1981), and *Office of Enforcement*, 8 DOE ¶ 82,597 (1981) (*Vickers*).

We have considered the ERA's petition that we implement Subpart V proceedings with respect to the MAPCO funds and have determined that such proceedings are appropriate. This Proposed Decision and

Order sets forth the OHA's tentative plan to distribute these funds. Before taking the actions proposed in this Decision, we intend to publicize our proposal and solicit comments from interested parties. Comments regarding the tentative distribution processes set forth in this Proposed Decision and Order should be filed with the OHA within 30 days of its publication in the Federal Register.

III. Proposed Refund Procedures

A. Crude Oil Refund Policy

We propose to distribute the monies remitted by MAPCO in accordance with DOE's Modified Statement of Restitutionary Policy in Crude Oil Cases (MSRP). See 51 FR 27899 (August 4, 1986). This policy has been applied in all Subpart V proceedings involving alleged crude oil violations. See Order Implementing the MSRP, 51 Fed. Reg. 29689 (August 20, 1986) (the August 1986 Order).

Under the MSRP, 40 percent of crude oil overcharge funds will be refunded to the federal government, another 40 percent to the states, and up to 20 percent may initially be reserved for the payment of claims to injured parties. The MSRP also specifies that any funds remaining after all valid claims by injured purchasers are paid will be disbursed to the federal government and the states in equal amounts. See *In re: The Department of Energy Stripper Well Exemption Litigation*, 653 F. Supp. 108 (D. Kan.), 6 Fed. Energy Guidelines ¶ 90,509 (1986) (the Stripper Well Settlement Agreement) for a more detailed discussion of the MSRP.

On April 10, 1987, the OHA issued a Notice analyzing the numerous comments received in response to the August 1986 Order. 52 Fed. Reg. 11737 (April 10, 1987) (the April 10 Notice). This Notice provided guidance to claimants that anticipated filing refund applications for crude oil monies under the subpart V regulations. In general, we stated that all claimants would be required to (1) document their purchase volumes of petroleum products during the August 19, 1973 through January 27, 1981 crude oil price control period, and (2) prove that they were injured by the alleged crude oil overcharges. End-users of petroleum products whose businesses were unrelated to the petroleum industry would be presumed to have been injured by the alleged crude oil overcharges and would not be required to submit proof of injury. See *City of Columbus, Georgia*, 16 DOE ¶ 85,550 (1987).

B. Refund Claims

The amount of money covered by this Proposed Decision is \$7,280,202, plus accrued interest. In accordance with the MSRP, we propose initially to reserve 20 percent of those funds (\$1,456,040 in principal, plus accrued interest) for direct refunds to applicants who claim that they were injured by crude oil overcharges.

We propose to evaluate claims in the MAPCO crude oil refund proceeding in exactly the same manner as in other crude oil proceedings. As we stated in the April 10 Notice, claimants will generally be required to document their purchase volumes of petroleum products and prove that they were injured as a result of the alleged violations.

We propose to base the refunds on a volumetric amount which has been calculated in accordance with the description in the April 10 Notice. We will also presume that the alleged crude oil overcharges were absorbed, rather than passed on, by applicants who were (1) end-users of petroleum products, (2) unrelated to the petroleum industry, and (3) not subject to the regulations promulgated under the Emergency Petroleum Price and Allocation Act of 1973, 15 U.S.C. 751-760h. In order to receive a refund, such claimants need not submit any evidence of injury beyond documentation of their purchase volumes.

As has been stated in earlier Decisions, a crude oil refund applicant will only be required to submit one application for its share of all available crude oil overcharge funds. See, e.g., *A. Tarricone Inc.*, 15 DOE ¶ 85,475 (1987). A party that has already submitted a claim in any other crude oil refund proceeding implemented by the DOE need not file another claim. The tentative deadline for filing an Application for Refund is June 3, 1996. Any claimant that has executed a valid waiver pursuant to one of the escrow accounts established by the Stripper Well Agreement, however, has waived its right to file an application for a Subpart V crude oil refund. See *Mid-American Dairymen v. Herrington*, 878 F. 2d 1448 (Temp. Emer. Ct. App.), 3 Fed. Energy Guidelines ¶ 26,617 (1989); *In re: Department of Energy Stripper Well Exemption Litigation*, 707 F. Supp. 11267 (D. Kan.), 3 Fed. Energy Guidelines ¶ 26,613 (1987).

C. Payments to the States and Federal Government

Under the terms of the MSRP, we propose that the remaining 80 percent of the amount remitted by MAPCO, or \$5,824,162 in principal, plus accrued interest, be disbursed in equal shares to the states and federal government for indirect restitution. Refunds to the states will be in proportion to the consumption of petroleum products in each state during the crude oil price control period. The share of the funds allocated to each state is contained in Exhibit H of the Stripper Well Agreement. When disbursed, these funds will be subject to the same limitations and reporting requirements that apply to any other crude oil overcharge funds received by the states in accordance with the Stripper Well Agreement.

It Is Therefore Ordered That:

The payment remitted to the Department of Energy by MAPCO, Inc. pursuant to the Settlement Agreement dated June 23, 1994 will be distributed in accordance with the foregoing Decision.

[FR Doc. 95-9171 Filed 4-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPPTS-140231; FRL-4940-7]

ICF International, Incorporated Access to Confidential Business Information**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA has authorized its contractor, ICF International, Incorporated (ICF), of Fairfax, Virginia, and Washington, DC, for access to information which has been submitted to EPA under 4, 5, 6, and 8 of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA). Some of the information may be claimed or determined to be confidential business information (CBI).

DATES: Access to the confidential data submitted to EPA will occur no sooner than April 27, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James B. Willis, Acting Director, TSCA Environmental Assistance Division (7408), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E-545, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, (202) 554-1404, TDD: (202) 554-0551.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under contract number 68-D3-0021 contractor ICF, of 9300 Lee Highway, Fairfax, VA, and 1850 K St., NW., Suite 1000, Washington, DC, will assist the Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT) in completing risk characterizations of existing and new chemicals that will be introduced as replacements for ozone-depleting substances that are being phased out under the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990.

In accordance with 40 CFR 2.306(j), EPA has determined that under EPA contract number 68-D3-0021, ICF will require access to CBI submitted to EPA under sections 4, 5, 6, and 8 of TSCA to perform successfully the duties specified under the contract. ICF personnel will be given access to information submitted to EPA under sections 4, 5, 6, and 8 of TSCA. Some of the information may be claimed or determined to be CBI.

In a previous notice published in the Federal Register of November 1, 1991 (56 FR 56216), ICF was authorized for access to CBI submitted to EPA under sections 4, 5, 6, and 8 of TSCA. EPA is issuing this notice to extend ICF's access to TSCA CBI under a contract extension.

EPA is issuing this notice to inform all submitters of information under sections 4, 5, 6, and 8 of TSCA that EPA may provide ICF access to these CBI

materials on a need-to-know basis only. All access to TSCA CBI under this contract will take place at EPA Headquarters, and ICF's Fairfax, VA and Washington, DC facilities. Before access to TSCA CBI is authorized at ICF's sites, EPA will approve ICF's security certification statements, perform the required inspection of its facilities, and ensure that the facilities are in compliance with the manual.

ICF will be authorized access to TSCA CBI at its facilities under the EPA *TSCA Confidential Business Information Security Manual*. Upon completing review of the CBI materials, ICF will return all transferred materials to EPA.

Clearance for access to TSCA CBI under this contract may continue until September 30, 1995.

ICF personnel will be required to sign nondisclosure agreements and will be briefed on appropriate security procedures before they are permitted access to TSCA CBI.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Access to confidential business information.

Dated: march 8, 1995.

George A. Bonina,
Acting Director, Information Management Division, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.

[FR Doc. 95-9062 Filed 4-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

[OPPTS-00167; FRL-4946-4]

Training Grants for Lead-Based Paint Abatement Workers**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Notice of request for preproposals.

SUMMARY: The safety issues surrounding the activities of lead-based paint abatement workers are a major concern of EPA. Appropriate worker safety training is essential if lead-based paint abatement activities are to be done in a manner that assures the safety of building occupants, the public, the environment, and abatement workers. To ensure that the number of well-trained lead-based paint abatement workers increases at an acceptable rate, EPA has received 1995 congressional add-on funds to provide training grants to nonprofit organizations engaged in lead-based paint abatement worker training and education activities. This year, the Agency is particularly interested in funding nonprofit environmental equity-based

organizations that offer worker lead abatement training opportunities for minorities and low income community residents. This grass roots initiative will provide opportunities for communities to develop local-based lead abatement businesses that will employ area residents. Only nonprofit organizations with demonstrated experience in the implementation and operation of health and safety training for lead-based paint abatement workers will be considered for funding. This notice describes the eligibility requirements and the selection criteria for the grants.

DATES: All preproposals must be submitted to EPA no later than May 15, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Preproposals should be sent to the following address: Tim Torma, Chemical Management Division (7404), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tim Torma at (202) 260-4595 or write to the EPA Lead Abatement Program at the address listed under the ADDRESSES unit.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of this notice is to announce the availability of funds to form cooperative agreements for the purpose of providing support to organizations demonstrating experience in lead-based paint training activities with particular interest in funding nonprofit environmental equity-based organizations. Any nonprofit organization with such experience is eligible to apply. For the purposes of this notice, lead-based paint abatement activities mean activities engaged in by workers that include the removal, disposal, handling, and transportation of lead-based paint and materials containing lead-based paint from public and private dwellings, public and commercial buildings, and bridges and other structures or superstructures where lead-based paint presents or may present an unreasonable risk to health or the environment.

I. Administrative Requirements

This program is subject to matching share requirements. Awards shall be given only to applicants who can fund at least 5 percent of their programs from non-Federal sources, excluding in-kind contributions. (In-kind contributions are defined as the value of a non-cash contribution to meet a recipient's cost-sharing requirements. An in-kind contribution may consist of charges for real property and equipment, or the value of goods and services directly