

nondiscriminatory discipline. If an employer/owner/operator provides employees with appropriate PPE, training and supervision per the specifications of the WPS, there should not arise an occasion on which the employer/owner/operator would be subject to a WPS/PPE enforcement action due to the individual decision of an agricultural employee not to use the PPE.

Enforcement officials will consider the facts of a case before determining how to respond to any WPS violation, consistent with the 10 factors identified in the Agency's February 1995 summary WPS enforcement guidance. EPA recommends that accountability for compliance be decided on a common sense basis, and that the totality of the circumstances be considered in each case, including enforcement actions in response to PPE violations.

Dated: April 4, 1995.

Jesse Baskerville,

Director, Toxics and Pesticides Enforcement Division, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance.

[FR Doc. 95-8726 Filed 4-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

[FRL-5187-3]

The Use of the Benchmark Dose Approach in Health Risk Assessment

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of Availability.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the availability of a report titled *The Use of the Benchmark Dose Approach in Health Risk Assessment* (EPA/630/R-94/007). This report was developed to serve as a background document for discussing benchmark dose applications to noncancer risk assessment.

ADDRESSES: To obtain a single copy of the report, interested parties should contact the ORD Publications Office, CERI, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 26 West Martin Luther King Drive, Cincinnati, OH 45268, Tel: (513) 569-7562, Fax: (513) 569-7566. Please provide your name and mailing address, and request the document by the title and EPA number (EPA/630/R-94/007).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clare Stine, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (8101), 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460, Telephone: (202) 260-6743.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For almost 10 years, scientists have been studying the benchmark dose (BMD) as a promising technique for the quantitative

assessment of noncancer health effects. The information presented in this report is one step in developing the basis for an EPA consensus on the role of benchmark methods in the quantitative assessment of noncancer health risk. The report presents a basic overview of the benchmark method, which may provide an additional quantitative approach to current EPA practice.

The document focuses especially on critical decisions that must be made in deriving a BMD and applying the BMD in risk assessment. Major decisions in using the BMD are explained, and the sensitivity of the final result to each assumption is evaluated. The document also identifies many unresolved issues in benchmark dose application and identifies research that may help resolve some of these issues.

Dated: March 24, 1995.

Robert J. Huggett,

Assistant Administrator for Research and Development.

[FR Doc. 95-8738 Filed 4-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[FRL-5187-4]

Report on the Technical Review Workshop on the Reference Dose for Aroclor 1016

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the availability of a report titled *Technical Review Workshop on the Reference Dose for Aroclor 1016* (EPA/630/R-94/006). This report compiles discussions from a technical review workshop on the reference dose for Aroclor 1016, which was held in Washington, DC, on May 24-25, 1994.

ADDRESSES: To obtain a single copy of the report, interested parties should contact the ORD Publications Office, CERI, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 26 West Martin Luther King Drive, Cincinnati, OH 45268, Tel: (513) 569-7562, Fax: (513) 569-7566. Please provide your name and mailing address, and request the document by the title and EPA number (EPA/630/R-94/006).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clare Stine, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (8101), 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460, Telephone: (202) 260-6743.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This report includes information and materials from a technical review workshop organized by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Risk

Assessment Forum for the Agency's Reference Dose/Reference Concentration (RfD/RfC) Work Group. The meeting was held in Washington, DC, at the Barcelo Washington Hotel on May 24-25, 1994 (59 FR 23202).

EPA convened a balanced panel of experts from the fields of qualitative and quantitative effects of PCBs in humans and animals, perinatal toxicity, neurobehavioral effects, and hazard and risk evaluation for data on health effects other than cancer. EPA sought comments from these experts on the IRIS entry and related scientific sources. Reviewers at the workshop were asked to evaluate whether the reference dose fully considered available data and if scientifically responsible data analyses were clearly articulated in the IRIS data base entry. Reviewers approved some features of the IRIS entry, and recommended additional review and analysis for others.

This report collects workshop papers, including summary statements prepared by the chairperson for each workshop topic. Workshop participants contributed useful recommendations for the Agency's Reference Dose/Reference Concentration Work Group to consider in re-evaluating the RfD entry on IRIS.

Dated: March 24, 1995.

Robert J. Huggett,

Assistant Administrator for Research and Development.

[FR Doc. 95-8737 Filed 4-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[FRL-5187-2]

Report on the Workshop on Cancer Risk Assessment Guidelines Issues

AGENCY: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the availability of a report titled *Report on the Workshop on Cancer Risk Assessment Guidelines Issues* (EPA/630/R-94/005a). This report compiles discussions from a technical review workshop on the draft document titled *Draft Revisions to the Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment* (External Review Draft; EPA/600/BP-92/003). Highlights of reviewers' pre-meeting comments on the draft document are included in the workshop report; copies of reviewers' comments in their entirety are available from the National Technical Information Service.

ADDRESSES: To obtain a single copy of the workshop report, interested parties should contact the ORD Publications Office, CERI, U.S. Environmental

Protection Agency, 26 West Martin Luther King Drive, Cincinnati, OH 45268, Tel (513) 569-7562, Fax: (513) 569-7566. Please provide your name and mailing address, and request the document by the title and EPA number (EPA/630/R-94/005a).

To obtain a copy of reviewers' pre-meeting comments, interested parties should contact the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161, Telephone (703) 487-4650. The document number is PB95-148201.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Jeanette Wiltse, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (8601), 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460, Telephone: (202) 260-7315.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Risk Assessment Forum and Office of Health and Environmental Assessment organized a workshop to technically review the Agency's draft revised cancer risk assessment guidelines (*Draft Revisions to the Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment*—External Review Draft; EPA/600/BP-92/003). The workshop was held on September 12-14, 1994, at the Hyatt Regency in Reston, Virginia (59 FR 43125).

EPA convened a panel of experts to evaluate and comment on technical issues in the draft document concerning mode of action, hazard identification, dose response, and default assumptions. This report, entitled Report on the Workshop on Cancer Risk Assessment Guidelines Issues (EPA/630/R-94/005a), compiles discussion and information from the technical review workshop. EPA will use the reviewers' comments and recommendations drawn from the workshop in considering revisions to the draft guidelines.

Dated: March 25, 1995.

Robert J. Huggett,

Assistant Administrator for Research and Development.

[FR Doc. 95-8739 Filed 4-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Ocean Freight Forwarder License Applicants

Notice is hereby given that the following applicants have filed with the Federal Maritime Commission applications for licenses as ocean freight forwarders pursuant to section 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 (46 U.S.C. app. 1718 and 46 CFR 510).

Persons knowing of any reason why any of the following applicants should

not receive a license are requested to contact the Office of Freight Forwarders, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573.

Forwarders International, Inc., 10926 LaCienega Blvd, Inglewood, CA 90304, Officers: Ghassan M. Choueiti, President; Fadia G. Choueiti, Vice President

FCH International Enterprises, Inc., 6819 NW 84 Ave., Miami, FL 33166, Officers: Fernando Chukuong, President; Maria J. Mullert, Manager
Guy Timothy Nishida, 7429 Ogelsby Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90045 Sole Proprietor.

Dated: April 5, 1995.

By the Federal Maritime Commission

Joseph C. Polking,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-8697 Filed 4-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Duane R. Roberts, et al.; Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of Banks or Bank Holding Companies

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the notices have been accepted for processing, they will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than April 24, 1995.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco (Kenneth R. Binning, Director, Bank Holding Company) 101 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105:

1. *Duane R. Roberts*, Murrieta, California; *Robert W. Klemme*, Palos Verdes Estates, California; *Randall C. Luce*, Anaheim, California; *Richard B. Thomas*, Carona Del Mar, California; and *Entrepreneurial Capital Corporation*, Riverside, California; to acquire 19.55 percent, for a total of 23.85 percent, of the voting shares of FP Bancorp, Escondido, California, and

thereby indirectly acquire First Pacific National Bank, Escondido, California.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, April 4, 1995.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 95-8696 Filed 4-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-F

MSB Holding Company; Notice of Application to Engage de novo in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The company listed in this notice has filed an application under § 225.23(a)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(1)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to commence or to engage *de novo*, either directly or through a subsidiary, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Comments regarding the application must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than April 24, 1995.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago (James A. Bluemle, Vice President) 230 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60690:

1. *MSB Holding Company*, Moorhead, Iowa; to engage *de novo* in making and