

Branch, JFK Federal Building, Boston, MA 02203, Telephone: (617) 565-3619.

Authority: Section 1413 of the Safe Drinking Water Act as amended, 42 U.S.C. 300f *et seq.*, and 40 CFR 142.10 of the National Primary Drinking Water Regulations.

Dated: March 24, 1995.

John P. DeVillars,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-8614 Filed 4-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[ER-FRL-4721-9]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared March 6, 1995 through March 10, 1995 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 260-5076.

Summary of Rating Definitions

Environmental Impact of the Action

LO—Lack of Objections

The EPA review has not identified any potential environmental impacts requiring substantive changes to the proposal. The review may have disclosed opportunities for application of mitigation measures that could be accomplished with no more than minor changes to the proposal.

EC—Environmental Concerns

The EPA review has identified environmental impacts that should be avoided in order to fully protect the environment. Corrective measures may require changes to the preferred alternative or application of mitigation measures that can reduce the environmental impact. EPA would like to work with the lead agency to reduce these impacts.

EU—Environmental Objections

The EPA review has identified significant environmental impacts that must be avoided in order to provide adequate protection for the environment. Corrective measures may require substantial changes to the preferred alternative or consideration of some other project alternative (including the no action alternative or a new alternative). EPA intends to work with the lead agency to reduce these impacts.

EO—Environmentally Unsatisfactory

The EPA review has identified adverse environmental impacts that are of sufficient magnitude that they are unsatisfactory from the standpoint of public health or welfare or environmental quality. EPA intends to work with the lead agency to reduce these impacts. If the potentially unsatisfactory impacts are not corrected at the final EIS stage, this proposal will be recommended for referral to the CEQ.

Adequacy of the Impact Statement

Category 1—Adequate

EPA believes the draft EIS adequately sets forth the environmental impact(s) of the preferred alternative and those of the alternatives reasonably available to the project or action. No further analysis or data collection is necessary, but the reviewer may suggest the addition of clarifying language or information.

Category 2—Insufficient Information

The draft EIS does not contain sufficient information for EPA to fully assess environmental impacts that should be avoided in order to fully protect the environment, or the EPA reviewer has identified new reasonably available alternatives that are within the spectrum of alternatives analyzed in the draft EIS, which could reduce the environmental impacts of the action. The identified additional information, data, analyses, or discussion should be included in the final EIS.

Category 3—Inadequate

EPA does not believe that the draft EIS adequately assesses potentially significant environmental impacts of the action, or the EPA reviewer has identified new, reasonably available alternatives that are outside of the spectrum of alternatives analyzed in the draft EIS, which should be analyzed in order to reduce the potentially significant environmental impacts. EPA believes that the identified additional information, data, analyses, or discussions are of such a magnitude that they should have full public review at a draft stage. EPA does not believe that the draft EIS is adequate for the purposes of the NEPA and/or Section 309 review, and thus should be formally revised and made available for public comment in a supplemental or revised draft EIS. On the basis of the potential significant impacts involved, this proposal could be a candidate for referral to the CEQ.

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-BLM-J03022-WY Rating EC2, Greater Wamsutter Area II Natural

Gas Development Project, Approvals and Permits Issuance, Carbon and Sweetwater Counties, WY.

SUMMARY: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the plugging program and possible ground water degradation. EPA requested additional information on these issues, as well as, a discussion to reduce the projected disturbance of 5 acres (per well) pad.

ERP No. D-NPS-E65048-TN Rating EC2, Foothills Parkway Section 8D, Construction, between Wear Valley Road (US 321) and Gatlinburg Pigeon Forge Spur (US 441/321), Right-of-Way and COE Section 404 Permits, Great Smoky Mountain National Park, Blount, Sevier and Cocke Counties, TN.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern regarding potential acid drainage and requested that the final EIS discuss possible secondary or backup mitigation plans should the proposed strategies fail. ERP No. D-USA-K11058-CA Rating EC2, San Onofre Area Sewage Effluent Compliance Project, Cease and Desist Orders, Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base, San Diego and Orange Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding impacts to wetlands, biological resources and water quality. Additional information is requested for the project description and its alternatives analysis.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-FTA-L54003-OR, New Eugene Transfer Station, Site Selection and Construction, Funding, McDonald Site or IHOP Site, Lane County, OR.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS has been completed and no environmental concerns with the project were identified. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: April 4, 1995.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 95-8609 Filed 4-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

[ER-FRL-4721-8]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 260-5076 OR (202) 260-5075. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed March 27, 1995 Through March 31, 1995 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 950116, DRAFT EIS, USA, CA, Hamilton Army Airfield Disposal and

Reuse, Implementation, City of Novato, Marin County, CA, Due: May 22, 1995, Contact: Robert Koenigs (916) 557-6712.

EIS No. 950117, DRAFT EIS, AFS, CA, Snowy Trail Off-Highway Vehicle Re-Route, Smith Fork Parcel of Los Padres National Forest, Approval and Implementation, Mount Pinos Ranger District, Ventura County, CA, Due: May 22, 1995, Contact: Mark Bethke (805) 245-3731.

EIS No. 950118, DRAFT EIS, IBR, WA, ND, OR, ID, NV, MT, SD, WY, NB, UT, CO, CA, NM, OK, KS, AZ, TX, Acreage Limitation and Water Conservation Rules and Regulations, Revised and/or New Rules for Replacement and Expansion of Existing Rules pertaining to the Administration of the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982, Implementation in Seventeen Western States, Due: May 31, 1995, Contact: Ronald J. Schuster (303) 236-9336.

EIS No. 950119, LEGISLATIVE DRAFT EIS, AFS, ID, White Sand Creek and a Two-Mile Segment of the Upper Lochsa River Wild and Scenic River Suitability Study for Designation or Nondesignation in the Wild and Scenic Rivers System, Clearwater National Forest, Idaho County, ID, Due: June 6, 1995, Contact: Dennis Elliott (208) 942-3113.

EIS No. 950120, FINAL EIS, FHW, NY, I-26 Mohawk River Crossing connecting NYS Thruway Interchange 26, I-890, NYS-5S and NYS-5 Construction, Funding, US Coast Guard Permits and COE Section 404 Permit, Towns of Rotterdam and Glenville, Schenectady County, NY, Due: May 8, 1995, Contact: Harold J. Brown (518) 472-3616.

EIS No. 950121, FINAL EIS, BOP, MA, Fort Devens, Massachusetts Federal Medical Center Complex (FMCC) and Federal Prison Camp, Construction and Operation, Worcester and Middlesex Counties, MA, Due: May 10, 1995, Contact: Patricia K. Sledge (202) 514-6470.

EIS No. 950122, DRAFT EIS, FTA, IL, St. Clair County Corridor Transit Improvements, Funding, St. Clair County, IL, Due: May 22, 1995, Contact: Lee Waddleton (816) 523-0204.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 940530, DRAFT EIS, BLM, WY, Grass Creek Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Big Horn, Washakie, Hot Springs and Park Counties, WY, Due: May 7, 1995, Contact: Joe Patty (307) 775-6101. Published FR 2-3-95 Review period extended.

Dated: April 4, 1995.

William D. Dickerson,
Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 95-8610 Filed 4-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

[FRL-5186-2]

Annual Conference on Analysis of Pollutants in the Environment and Trace Metals Workshop

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of conference and training workshop.

SUMMARY: The Office of Science and Technology and the Water Environment Federation, co-sponsors, will hold the "18th Annual Conference on Analysis of Pollutants in the Environment" to discuss all aspects of environmental measurement. The conference is open to the public. A Workshop on Trace Metals sampling and analysis will precede the conference. This workshop is designed for state and regional authorities.

DATES: The conference will be held on May 3-4, 1995. On May 3, 1995, the conference will begin at 8:45 am and last until 5:15 pm; on May 4, 1995, the conference will begin at 8:45 am and adjourn at 4:30 pm. The Workshop on Trace Metals will be held on May 2, 1995, from 12:30 pm to 5:30 pm.

ADDRESSES: The conference will be held at the Norfolk Waterside Marriott, Norfolk, Virginia. The Trace Metals Workshop will be held at the Omni International Hotel, 777 Waterside Drive, Norfolk, Virginia 23510.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Conference arrangements are being coordinated by the Water Environment Federation. For information on registration, hotel rates, transportation, social events and reservations call the Water Environment Federation Conference Service Line at (800) 666-0206. If you have technical questions regarding the conference program please contact William Telliard, Office of Science and Technology (Mail Code 4303), telephone (202) 260-7120, fax (202) 260-7185.

For information on the Trace Metals Workshop registration requirements or technical program, call Cindy Simbanin, DynCorp Environmental, at (703) 519-1386.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA's 18th Annual Conference on Analysis of Pollutants in the Environment is designed to bring together representatives of regulated industries, commercial environmental laboratories,

state and Federal regulators, and environmental consultants and contractors to discuss all aspects of environmental measurement with a particular focus on analytical methods and related regulatory issues.

A Workshop on Trace Metals Sampling and Analysis for state and regional authorities will precede the full conference and focus on cutting edge issues in the determination of trace metals in ambient waters. The session on trace metals determinations will cover EPA's recent work on sampling and analysis of metals at water quality criteria levels, discussions of clean and ultra-clean techniques, a case study on a project to determine trace metals and to establish chemical translator ratios for the City of Danville, Virginia, and presentation of methods under development for the determination of arsenic, selenium, and mercury at EPA and state ambient water quality criteria levels. The program for the conference follows:

18th Annual EPA Conference on Analysis of Pollutants in the Environment

Wednesday, May 3, 1995

8:45 am Opening Remarks

William Telliard, Director, Analytical Methods Staff, Office of Science and Technology, Office of Water, USEPA

9:00 am Introductory Remarks

Mike Pollen, Water Environment Federation

9:15 am Welcome

James Hanlon, Deputy Office Director, Office of Science and Technology, USEPA

Trace Metals

9:30 am Implementing EPA's Metals Criteria

Elizabeth Southerland, Director, Standards and Applied Sciences Division, Office of Water, USEPA

10:00 am Establishing Trace Metal Clean Facilities in Existing Laboratories

Russell Flegel, University of California at Santa Cruz

10:30-10:45 am Break

10:45 am Determination of Arsenic at Ultra-Trace Levels Using Vapor Generation-Atomic Fluorescence Spectrometry
Reshan Fernando, Research Triangle Institute

11:15 am Temporal Variability in Dissolved Trace Metals in the Houston Ship Channel, Texas

Paul Boothe, Texas A&M University

11:45-1:00 pm Lunch

1:00 pm Trace Mercury Analysis of Biological Fluids

Conrad Naleway and Hwai-Nan Chou, American Dental Association

1:30 pm Analytical Methods for Arsenic in Water with an MDL of 2 ng/L

Eric Crecelius, Chuck Apts, and Steve Kiesser, Battelle Marine Sciences Laboratory