

3. The action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the service to the Government.

4. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46-48c) in connection with the service proposed for addition to the Procurement List.

Accordingly, the following service is hereby added to the Procurement List:

Janitorial/Custodial
Jack Brooks Federal Building, U.S. Post
Office and Courthouse
Willow and Broadway Streets
Beaumont, Texas

This action does not affect current contracts awarded prior to the effective date of this addition or options exercised under those contracts.

Beverly L. Milkman,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 95-8588 Filed 4-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-33-P

Proposed Additions to the Procurement List; Correction

In the document appearing on page 11958, F.R. Doc. 95-5290, in the issue of March 3, 1995, in the second column, the NSN shown as 6515-01-225-8497 should read 6515-01-135-8497.

Beverly L. Milkman,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 95-8589 Filed 4-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-33-P

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

Chairman's Roundtable on Past Performance Disclosure

This is to give notice that the Chairman of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission will conduct a public meeting on Tuesday, April 25, 1995 from 2:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. in the lower-level hearing room of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 2033 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20581. The agenda will consist of:

Roundtable—Rethinking Past Performance Disclosure

- A. Opening Statement—Mary L. Schapiro, Chairman
- B. Presentation by CFTC Staff
Past performance disclosure—current and proposed regulations
- C. Tour De Table—Potential Issues for Discussion
 - What are the purposes for requiring past performance disclosure?
 - information as to competence of

- CTA
 - information as to program
 - volatility
 - leverage
 - rate of return
 - costs
 - ability to compare CTAs, types of investments
 - other
 - How is it used by:
 - customers; and,
 - CTAs?
 - What are the problems with using past performance disclosure to evaluate CTA performance?
 - What customer protection considerations are addressed or raised by past performance disclosure?
 - How can current performance presentations be made more meaningful?

D. Identification of Specific Proposals for Discussion

- What are the implications of the answers to the above questions on:
- Presentation of partially-funded (“national”) programs
 - Benchmarking performance
 - Proprietary performance
 - Hypothetical performance
 - Multimedia investments

The purpose of the meeting is to explore performance issues with a diverse group of industry experts, regulators, academics and market users toward the goal of more meaningful performance disclosures.

The meeting is open to the public. The Chairman of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Mary L. Schapiro, is empowered to conduct the meeting in a fashion that will, in her judgment, facilitate the orderly conduct of business.

Issued in Washington, DC on April 4, 1995.

Andrea M. Corcoran,

Director, Division of Trading & Markets.

[FR Doc. 95-8647 Filed 4-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-M

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[CPSC Docket No. 95-C0008]

Toy Wonders, Inc., a Corporation; Provisional Acceptance of a Settlement Agreement and Order

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Provisional acceptance of a settlement agreement under the Consumer Product Safety Act.

SUMMARY: It is the policy of the Commission to publish settlements

which it provisionally accepts under the Consumer Product Safety Act in the **Federal Register** in accordance with the terms of 16 CFR 1118.20 (e)-(h). Published below is a provisionally-accepted Settlement Agreement with Toy Wonders, Inc., a corporation.

DATES: Any interested person may ask the Commission not to accept this agreement or otherwise comment on its contents by filing a written request with the Office of the Secretary by April 24, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Persons wishing to comment on this Settlement Agreement should send written comments to the Comment 95-C0008, Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dennis C. Kacoyanis, Trial Attorney, Office of Compliance and Enforcement, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207; telephone (301) 504-0626.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The text of the Agreement and Order appears below.

Dated: April 3, 1995.

Sadye E. Dunn,

Secretary.

Settlement Agreement and Order

1. Toy Wonders, Inc. (hereinafter, “Toy Wonders”), a corporation, enters into this Settlement Agreement (hereinafter, “Agreement”) with the staff of the Consumer Product Safety Commission, and agrees to the entry of the Order described herein. The purpose of the Agreement and Order is to settle the staff's allegations that Toy Wonders knowingly introduced or caused to be introduced into interstate commerce; or received in interstate commerce and delivered or proffered delivery thereof, certain banned hazardous toys and misbranded hazardous art materials, in violation of sections 4 (a) and (c) of the Federal Hazardous Substances Act, 15 U.S.C. 1263 (a) and (c).

I. Jurisdiction

2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Toy Wonders and the subject matter of this Settlement Agreement pursuant to sections 3(a)(1) and 30(a) of the Consumer Product Safety Act (hereinafter, “CPSA”), 15 U.S.C. 2051(a)(1) and 2079(a); and sections 2 (f)(1)(D), and (q)(1)(A), 3(b), 4 (a) and (c), 5(c), and 23(a) of the Federal Hazardous Substances Act (hereinafter, “FHSA”), 15 U.S.C. 1261 (f)(1)(D) and (q)(1)(A), 1262(b), 1263 (a) and (c), 1264(c), and 1277(a).