

is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Koch proposes to install the pipeline and appurtenant facilities to accommodate two delivery taps for service to Bay Gas, an intrastate storage company, and Clarke-Mobile, a local distribution company. Koch states that the tap for Bay Gas will provide a connection to Bay Gas' facilities and the tap for Clarke-Mobile will permit deliveries at a higher pressure than is available from the existing interconnection with Clarke-Mobile.

It is stated that Koch would use the delivery taps for the delivery of up to 73,000 Mcf of gas on a peak day to Bay Gas and up to 30,000 Mcf of gas on a peak day to Clarke-Mobile. It is stated that these volumes are within both customers' existing daily entitlements. It is asserted that deliveries to both Bay Gas and Clarke-Mobile would be made under Koch's ITS and FTS rate schedules. It is further asserted that the tap proposed for Bay Gas would be bi-directional and would be used for the receipt by Koch of up to 202,000 Mcf of gas on a peak day from Bay Gas.

The total construction cost is estimated at \$1.68 million, of which Koch will receive \$1 million as contribution-in-aid of construction. Koch states that it has sufficient capacity to render the proposed service without detriment or disadvantage to its other existing customers and that its tariff does not prohibit the addition of delivery taps.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-8535 Filed 4-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-287-000]

Mojave Pipeline Company; Request Under Blanket Authorization

April 3, 1995.

Take notice that on March 28, 1995, Mojave Pipeline Company (Mojave), 5001 E. Commercenter Drive, Bakersfield, California 93309, filed in Docket No. CP95-287-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) to construct and operate a sale tap under Mojave's blanket certificate issued in Docket Nos. CP89-1-000 and CP89-2-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Mojave proposes to construct a sales tap and metering and appurtenant facilities onto its existing 42-inch line in Kern County, California to transport natural gas on behalf of Tehachapi-Cummings County Water District (Tehachapi-Cummings). Mojave states these facilities would be used to transport up to 3,000 MMBtu per day on behalf of Tehachapi-Cummings pursuant to Mojave's FT-1 and IT-1 rate schedules. Mojave states that the service rendered to Tehachapi-Cummings through the proposed facilities will have no material impact on Mojave's peak day or annual deliveries.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-8536 Filed 4-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP94-251-003]

National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation; Request for Waiver

April 3, 1995.

Take notice that on March 29, 1995, National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation (National) tendered for filing a request for an extension of a waiver granted by the Commission related to Section 21.1(a) of its FERC Gas Tariff.

National states that on June 16, 1994, the Commission issued a Letter Order in the above-referenced docket granting waiver of Section 21.1(a) of its tariff to allow National to file a report on or before June 1, 1995, to reflect the balances of its Account Nos. 191, 858 and 186 related to the flowthrough of costs by Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia) and CNG Transmission Corporation.

National states that it is filing to extend the waiver of Section 21.1(a) of its tariff to the extent the Commission grants Columbia an extension of the close-out period for its Account No. 191, as requested by Columbia in Docket No. RP94-273-000.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC, 20426, in accordance with Rule 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211). All such protest should be filed on or before April 10, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-8538 Filed 4-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket Nos. RP95-88-001, RP95-112-006, RP93-148-004, RP95-62-000, RP95-63-000, RP95-64-000, and RP95-90-000]

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co.; Notice of Proposed Tariff Revisions

April 3, 1995.

Take notice that on March 30, 1995, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), tendered for filing proposed tariff revisions in response to various rate and tariff issues discussed at a March 6-9, 1995 technical conference convened by the Commission in the above-referenced dockets. Tennessee further states that the filing contains (1) A response to