

of Title 5, United States Code, specifically subparagraphs (1) and (4) thereof.

For further information, contact the Scientific Advisory Board Secretariat at (703) 697-8404.

Patsy J. Conner,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-8375 Filed 4-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3910-01-P

USAF Scientific Advisory Board Meeting

The USAF Scientific Advisory Board New World Vista Space Technology Panel and Space Applications will meet on 22-23 June 1995 at the Aerospace Corporation, El Segundo, CA from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.

The purpose of the meeting is to receive briefings and have discussions concerning Space Technology.

The meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with Section 552b of Title 5, United States Code, specifically subparagraphs (1) and (4) thereof.

For further information, contact the Scientific Advisory Board Secretariat at (703) 697-8404.

Patsy J. Conner,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-8376 Filed 4-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3910-01-P

USAF Scientific Advisory Board Meeting

The USAF Scientific Advisory Board New World Vista Space Technology Panel will meet on 10-12 May 1995 at Lincoln Laboratory, MA from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. The purpose of the meeting is to receive briefings and have discussions concerning Space Technology.

The meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with Section 552b of Title 5, United States Code, specifically subparagraphs (1) and (4) thereof.

For further information, contact the Scientific Advisory Board Secretariat at (703) 697-8404.

Patsy J. Conner,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-8377 Filed 4-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3910-01-P

USAF Scientific Advisory Board Meeting

The USAF Scientific Advisory Board's Spring General Membership Meeting (New World Vistas Workshop) will meet on 2-5 May 1995 at Maxwell AFB, AL from 0800 to 5 p.m.

The purpose of the meeting is to fulfill the yearly Spring general membership requirement and to provide information and insight into the challenging agenda issues as set forth in Spacecast 20/20 and New World Vistas.

The meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with Section 552b of Title 5, United States Code, specifically subparagraphs (1) and (4) thereof.

For further information, contact the Scientific Advisory Board Secretariat at (703) 697-8845.

Patsy J. Conner,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-8378 Filed 4-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3910-01-P

Department of the Army

Army Science Board; Closed Meeting

In accordance with Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (P.L. 92-463), announcement is made of the following Committee Meeting:

Name of Committee: Army Science Board (ASB).

Date of Meeting: 25-27 April 1995.

Time of Meeting: 0800-1700, 25 & 26 April 1995; 0800-1730, 27 April 1995.

Place: Arlington, VA.

Agenda: The Army Science Board's Logistics and Sustainability Subgroup will meet for discussions focused on current doctrine, missions, functions, force structures and modules, and technologies reference Army Logistical Support to Military Operations Other Than War. Briefings will be provided covering logistics perspectives in UN policies, NGOs, PVOs, medical logistics, MOOTW and Reserve and National Guard elements and lessons learned from Haiti. These meetings will be closed to the public in accordance with Section 552b(c) of Title 5, U.S.C., specifically subparagraph (1) thereof, and Title 5, U.S.C., Appendix 2, subsection 10(d). The classified and unclassified matters to be discussed are so inextricably intertwined so as to preclude opening any portion of these meetings. The ASB Administrative Officer, Sally Warner, may be contacted for further information at (703) 695-0781.

Sally A. Warner,

Administrative Officer, Army Science Board.

[FR Doc. 95-8246 Filed 4-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

Intent to Supplement the December 1991 Joint EIS/EIR (Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report) for the ARWI (American River Watershed Investigation) Originally Filed With EPA in January 1992 With a Joint DSEIS/SDEIR (Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement/Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Report) on the ARWI

AGENCIES: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD, in cooperation with the State of California, The Reclamation Board, and with the Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare a joint DSEIS/SDEIR.

SUMMARY: The reevaluation of the ARWI includes a DSEIS/SDEIR identifying and assessing new flood control alternatives and reanalyzing measures presented in the December 1991 EIS/EIR. When complete, this document will become part of the SIR (Supplemental Information Report). The DSEIS/SDEIR will describe the significance of the impacts of potential alternatives on the area's natural and cultural resources and mitigation requirements for the alternatives evaluated. The study area includes lands within the American River watershed and the Deer Creek area of the Cosumnes River basin. These alternatives examined in detail include construction of a peak flow detention dam, with various outlet configurations, near Auburn on the North Fork, American River; modifications to Folsom Dam; levee improvements on the lower American River; and increasing the flood storage allocation space in Folsom Reservoir.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Please address comments and/or questions regarding this DSEIS/SDEIR to Colonel John N. Reese, District Engineer, ATTN: Mr. Michael Welsh, Planning Division, Environmental Planning Section, CESPCK-PD-R, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1325 J Street, Sacramento, California 95814-2922, telephone area code (916) 557-6718.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. Proposed Action

A DSEIS/SDEIR prepared by the Corps of Engineers, the State of California, The Reclamation Board and Department of Water Resources, and SAFCA (the Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency) will expand upon the ARWI feasibility report approved by the Board of Engineers for Rivers and Harbors in June 1992. This supplemental investigation will identify and assess new flood control measures

and reevaluate previously studied measures using new baseline conditions.

The Defense Appropriations Act of 1993 authorized the Natomas portion of the project, subject to certain conditions being complied with. Since that time, SAFCA has applied for, and received, a Department of the Army Permit pursuant to Section 404(b)(1) of the Clean Water Act to construct the levees described in the 1991 EIS/EIR. As part of the permit review process, SAFCA prepared and circulated a separate NEPA/CEQA (National Environmental Policy Act, and the California Environmental Quality Act) document describing the Natomas levee work. Work was initiated on the local project in 1994, and is expected to be completed in 1997. The Bureau of Reclamation and SAFCA have entered into an interim agreement to reoperate Folsom Reservoir to remove the Sacramento area from flooding from storm events having a one percent chance of occurring in any given year. This agreement is for a five year period, and may be extended an additional five year period, if mutually agreed upon.

The Defense Appropriations Act of 1993 also authorized this reevaluation of the ARWI. This reevaluation analyzes the American River watershed for its contribution to Sacramento area flooding. The DSEIS/SDEIR will fulfill requirements of NEPA and CEQA. The document will discuss alternatives and plan features and impacts on the American River watershed areas natural resources. The results of the investigations will be presented in a final SIR/EIS/EIR combined report submitted to Corps headquarters for approval and eventual submission for congressional consideration in mid 1996.

2. Alternatives

The SIR and accompanying DSEIS/SDEIR will reassess flood control plans that consider improvements within the American River watershed and the Deer Creek area to increase protection to the Sacramento area from flooding on the American River. In addition to the alternatives discussed in the 1991 Feasibility Report and a no action alternative, this DSEIS/SDEIR will analyze the following major alternatives:

Increase Folsom Reservoir Storage— This alternative includes modifying the outlets and spillway at Folsom Dam, and requiring that between 495,000 and 670,000 acre-feet of space be reserved in the reservoir each year, depending on the amount of water contained in the private reservoirs on the north and middle forks of the American River.

Folsom Storage/Step Release Alternative— This alternative includes modifying the spillway and outlets at Folsom Dam, requiring that between 400,000 and 670,000 acre-feet of space be reserved in the reservoir each year, modify the levees along the lower American River to accommodate an objective release of 145,000 cfs with a maximum step release of 180,000 cfs, and lengthen and modify the Sacramento Weir and bypass and modify the levees along Yolo Bypass to accommodate the increased flows.

Maximum Objective Release Alternative— This alternative includes modifying the spillway and outlets at Folsom Dam, requiring that between 425,000 and 670,000 acre-feet of space be reserved in the reservoir each year, modify the levees along the lower American River to accommodate an objective release of 180,000 cfs, and lengthen and modify the Sacramento Weir and bypass and modify the levees along Yolo Bypass to accommodate the increased flows.

Flood Detention Dam Alternative— This alternative consists of a 498-foot high concrete gravity dam constructed using roller compacted concrete. This dam would be constructed on the North Fork American River near Auburn, and would be able to temporarily detain approximately 894,000 acre-feet of water during a major storm event. The dam would have 20 operable gates and 2 ungated sluices to control the drawdown rate and minimize the affects of inundation during inundation events. The operation of Folsom Reservoir would be returned to a fixed space requirement of 400,000 acre-feet of storage. The objective releases from Folsom Dam would remain at 115,000 cfs.

The DSEIS/SDEIR will analyze impacts and mitigation requirements, which them becomes part of the project's mitigation commitment in compliance with Federal and State statutes.

3. Public Involvement

a. A notice outlining the ARWI and tentatively proposed alternatives was sent to public agencies, organizations, and individuals in the study area prior to the first public forum conducted in November 1993. Additional public forums, meetings, and workshops were conducted during the remainder of 1993, and throughout 1994. The initial notice and subsequent public meetings provided the public an opportunity to identify their concerns on area flooding and on significant natural resources in the area. Responses to the notice and public hearings helped develop an

environmental inventory for use in preparing the DSEIS/SDEIR.

b. The feasibility report was completed in December 1991. Prior to its completion, comments were received from the public concerning the flood control alternatives and environmental impacts of those alternatives. Numerous public workshops and coordinating meetings were held to assist the State and SAFCA to determine a preferred flood control plan. For the restudy of the ARWI this process will continue with a final SEIS/EIR and SIR scheduled for December 1995. Coordination has been maintained with Federal, State and local agencies, concerned individuals and organizations. Through this Notice of Intent, all segments of the affected public and agencies are invited to participate in this reevaluation.

c. Significant issues discussed in the public meetings include the degree of protection offered by the alternatives, hydrology of the area, planning objectives, alternatives analysis, impacts on fish and wildlife resources, recreation, endangered species, vegetation, esthetics, cumulative impacts, cultural resources and, hazardous and toxic waste; and the mitigation requirements to compensate for impacts to significant resources.

d. Other agency review and consultation for this DSEIS/SDEIR will occur with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service who will provide a Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act Report under authority of the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act. The National Marine Fisheries Service will provide information on the anadromous fishery of both the American and Sacramento rivers under the Anadromous Fish Conservation Act. In addition, both agencies will provide information and opinions on how best to avoid impacts to species protected under the Endangered Species Act under their jurisdictions. Coordination on cultural resources will be accomplished through the State Historic Preservation Office in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act. Water quality issues will be addressed by an updated evaluation conducted pursuant to Section 404(b)(1) of the Clean Water Act, and will include coordination with the California Regional Water Quality Control Board and the United States Environmental Protection Agency. All resource agency input, including recommendations on avoiding or minimizing impacts to natural resources, becomes part of the final ARWI SEIS/EIR.

4. Availability

The DSEIS/SDEIR is scheduled to be distributed for public review and comment in August 1995. All interested persons are encouraged to respond to this notice and provide a current address if you wish to be contacted about the DSEIS/SDEIR public involvement process.

Dated: March 24, 1995.

Michael P. Stuhr,

LTC, EN, Colonel, Corps of Engineers Deputy District Engineer.

[FR Doc. 95-8241 Filed 4-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-BF-M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[CFDA No.: 84.165A]

Magnet Schools Assistance Program

AGENCY: Department of Education.

ACTION: Correction.

SUMMARY: On March 20, 1995, the Department of Education published in the **Federal Register** a notice inviting applications under the Magnet Schools Assistance Program. On page 14869, in the first column, next to the last paragraph, the date applicants must submit proof to the Department of Education of approval of all modifications to their plans should be changed from April 17, 1995 to June 9, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steven L. Brockhouse, U.S. Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue SW., Portals Room 4509, Washington, D.C. 20202-6140. Telephone (202) 260-2476. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

Program Authority: 20 U.S.C. 3021-3032.

Dated: March 30, 1995.

Thomas W. Payzant,

Assistant Secretary, Elementary and Secondary Education.

[FR Doc. 95-8255 Filed 4-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Reimbursement for Costs of Remedial Action at Active Uranium and Thorium Processing Sites

AGENCY: Office of Environmental Management, Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of the acceptance of claims and the availability of funds for reimbursements in fiscal year 1995.

SUMMARY: This Notice announces the Department of Energy's acceptance of claims for reimbursement and the availability of approximately \$41.7 million in funds for fiscal year 1995 for reimbursements of certain costs of remedial action at eligible active uranium and thorium processing sites pursuant to Title X of the Energy Policy Act of 1992. The Department of Energy anticipates that claims submitted by licensees in fiscal year 1995 together with outstanding approved claims from fiscal year 1994 will exceed \$41.7 million and would therefore be subject to prorated payment. In addition, the Department of Energy is announcing an adjustment for inflation to the statutory per dry short ton limit on reimbursement to uranium licensees and the aggregate limit on reimbursement to uranium and thorium licensees. Lastly, the Department of Energy is announcing changes in the quantity of Federal-related or total dry short tons of byproduct material and Federal reimbursement ratio for the Western Nuclear Incorporated, Split Rock mill site, in Jeffrey City, Wyoming, and the American Nuclear Corporation, Gas Hills mill site, in Gas Hills, Wyoming, and the preliminary per dry short ton limit on reimbursement to uranium licensees.

DATES: The closing date for the submission of claims for reimbursement in fiscal year 1995 is June 16, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Claims may be mailed to the Environmental Restoration Division, U.S. Department of Energy, 2155 Louisiana NE., Suite 10000, Albuquerque, NM 87110. All claims should be addressed to the attention of James B. Coffey and sent by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James Coffey, Environmental Restoration Division, U.S. Department of Energy, (505) 845-4628.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of Energy published a final rule under 10 CFR part 765 in the **Federal Register** on May 23, 1994 (59 FR 26714) to implement the requirements of Title X of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (sections 1001-1004 of Pub. L. 102-486, 42 U.S.C. 2296a *et seq.*) and to establish the procedures for eligible licensees to submit claims for reimbursement. Title X requires the Department of Energy to reimburse eligible uranium and thorium licensees for certain costs of decontamination,

decommissioning, reclamation, and other remedial action incurred by licensees at active uranium and thorium processing sites to remediate byproduct material generated as an incident of sales to the United States Government. To be reimbursable, costs of remedial action must be for work which is necessary to comply with applicable requirements of the Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act of 1978 (42 U.S.C. 7901 *et seq.*) or, where appropriate, with requirements established by a state pursuant to a discontinuance agreement under section 274 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2021). Claims for reimbursement of costs of remedial action must be supported by reasonable documentation as determined by the Department of Energy in accordance with 10 CFR part 765. Section 1001(b)(2) of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 limits the amount of reimbursement paid to any one licensee of an active uranium site to an amount not to exceed \$5.50, as adjusted annually for inflation, multiplied by the dry short tons of byproduct material located at the site on October 24, 1992, and generated as an incident of sales to the United States. Total reimbursement, in the aggregate, for work performed at the active uranium processing sites shall not exceed \$270 million, as adjusted annually for inflation. Total reimbursement for work performed at the active thorium processing site shall not exceed \$40 million, as adjusted annually for inflation, and is limited to costs incurred for offsite disposal. Funds for reimbursement will be provided from the Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund established at the United States Department of Treasury pursuant to section 1801 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2297g). Payment or obligation of funds shall be subject to the requirements of the Anti-Deficiency Act (31 U.S.C. 1341).

To make the inflation adjustments indicated above, the Department of Energy is required by 10 CFR 765.12 to apply the Consumer Price Index-Urban (CPI-U) annually, beginning in 1994, using the CPI-U as published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics within the Department of Commerce for the preceding calendar year. The CPI-U for 1993 was 1.030. Therefore, the adjusted values of the \$5.50 per dry short ton, \$270 million, and \$40 million statutory ceilings in 1994 were \$5.67 per dry short ton, \$278.1 million, and \$41.2 million, respectively (i.e., \$5.50, \$270 million, and \$40 million multiplied by 1.030 equals \$5.67, \$278.1 million, and