

Opportunity to Object

Domestic interested parties, as defined in § 353.2(k)(3), (4), (5), and (6) of the Department's regulations, may object to the Department's intent to revoke these antidumping duty orders and findings or to terminate the suspended investigations by the last day of April 1995. Any submission to the Department must contain the name and case number of the proceeding and a statement that explains how the objecting party qualifies as a domestic interested party under § 353.2(k)(3), (4), (5), and (6) of the Department's regulations.

Seven copies of such objections should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room B-099, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230. You must also include the pertinent certification(s) in accordance with § 353.31(g) and § 353.31(i) of the Department's regulations. In addition, the Department requests that a copy of the objection be sent to Michael F. Panfeld in Room 4203.

This notice is in accordance with 19 CFR 353.25(d)(4)(i).

Dated: March 7, 1995.

Joseph A. Spetrini

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.
[FR Doc. 95-8002 Filed 3-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Todd Peterson or Thomas Futtner, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4195 or 482-3814, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department published preliminary results on December 19, 1994. The Department has now completed the administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act).

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of racing plates (horseshoes) that are made of aluminum, may have cleats or caulks, and come in a variety of sizes. They are used on race horses, polo, jumping, hunting, and other performing horses, as differentiated from pleasure and work horses. During the review period such merchandise was classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item number 7616.90.00. The HTS item number is provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Final Results of Review

The Department received no comments on its preliminary results. Therefore, the margin from the preliminary results is the final margin for the period February 1, 1993, through January 31, 1994:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Equine Forgings Limited014

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results of administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed firm will be that firm's rate established in the final results of this administrative review. Since the rate for Equine Forgings Limited is *de minimis*, there will be a cash deposit of zero on shipments from this firm; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the

most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the original less-than-fair value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the "all others" rate will remain at 6.77 percent, the "all others" rate established in the LTFV investigation.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: March 22, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-8012 Filed 3-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

[A-122-050]

Racing Plates (Aluminum Horseshoes) From Canada; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: In response to a request by a respondent, the Department of Commerce (the Department) has conducted an administrative review of the antidumping duty finding on racing plates from Canada. The review covers one firm and the period February 1, 1993, through January 31, 1994. Preliminary results were published on December 19, 1994. Since there were no comments, we determine that the dumping margin for Equine Forgings Limited remains at .01 percent, the dumping margin from the preliminary results of review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 31, 1995.

[A-570-804]

Sparklers from the People's Republic of China; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On October 18, 1994, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on sparklers from the People's Republic of China (PRC) (59 FR 52510). The review was requested for one manufacturer, Guangxi Native Produce Import and Export Corporation, Beihai Fireworks and Firecrackers Branch (Guangxi), of the subject merchandise to the United States and the review period June 1, 1992 through May 31, 1993.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. We received no

comments. The final results are unchanged from those presented in the preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 31, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matthew Blaskovich or Zev Primor, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-5831/4114.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 18, 1991, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on sparklers from the PRC (56 FR 27946). On June 7, 1993, the Department published a notice in the **Federal Register** notifying interested parties of the opportunity to request an administrative review of sparklers from the PRC (58 FR 31941). On June 28, 1993, the petitioners requested, in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(a), that we conduct an administrative review of exports to the United States by Guangxi, for the period June 1, 1992, through May 31, 1993. Guangxi had received a separate rate in the final determination of sales at less than fair value (LTFV). We published a notice of initiation of the antidumping administrative review on July 21, 1993 (58 FR 39007). On October 18, 1994, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on sparklers from the PRC. The Department has now completed that review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Review

The products covered by this administrative review are sparklers from the PRC. Sparklers are fireworks, each comprising a cut-to-length wire, one end of which is coated with a chemical mix that emits bright sparks while burning. Sparklers are currently classifiable under subheading 3604.10.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedules* (HTS). The HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive as to the scope of this proceeding. The period of review (POR) was June 1, 1992, through May 31, 1993.

Best Information Available

On February 22, 1994, we mailed Guangxi a questionnaire explaining the review procedures. The questionnaire, which covered exports to the United

States for the POR, was due on April 14, 1994. We did not receive a response by the due date and, thus, asked Skypak International Express (TNT) to trace the mailing and verify Guangxi's receipt of the document. On May 4, 1994, TNT's delivery office in Hong Kong confirmed that the questionnaire was accepted by a representative of Guangxi on March 3, 1994. Because we have received no response and have not been contacted by Guangxi, we determine that Guangxi is an uncooperative respondent. Therefore, in accordance with section 776(c) of the Act, we are using the best information available (BIA) as the basis for determining a dumping margin for Guangxi's United States entries during the POR.

In determining what to use as BIA, the Department follows a two-tiered methodology whereby the Department normally assigns lower margins to those respondents who cooperate in a review, and margins based on more adverse assumptions for those respondents who do not cooperate in a review. This methodology has been upheld by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (*see Allied-Signal Aerospace Co. v. the United States, Slip Op. 93-1049* (Fed. Cir. June 22, 1993); *see also Krupp Stahl Ag. et al. v. the United States, Slip Op. 93-84* (CIT May 26, 1993)). Given that Guangxi did not respond to the Department's questionnaire, we find that Guangxi has not cooperated in this review.

In accordance with our BIA methodology for uncooperative respondents, we assign as BIA the higher of: (1) The highest of the rates found for any firm for the same class or kind of merchandise in the same country of origin in the LTFV investigation or prior administrative reviews; or (2) the highest rate found in this review for any firm for the same class or kind of merchandise in the same country of origin (*see Final Results of Antidumping Administrative Review: Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From France; et al.* (57 FR 28379, June 24, 1992)).

We are using as BIA the highest rate established in the remand of the LTFV final determination (58 FR 53708, July 29, 1993), which was 93.54 percent.

Final Results of the Review

We invited interested parties to comment on the preliminary results. We received no comments. The final results are therefore unchanged from those presented in the preliminary results, and we determine that a margin of 93.54 percent exists for Guangxi for the period June 1, 1992, through May 31, 1993.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Guangxi will be the rate as stated above; (2) for PRC exporters not covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC country-wide rate of 93.54 percent, the rate established in the remand of the LTFV final determination; and (3) the cash deposit rate for non-PRC exporters will be the rate established for that firm if a separate rate has been established for that firm; if a non-PRC exporter does not have its own separate rate, the deposit rate for that firm's shipments will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until the publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: March 16, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-8014 Filed 3-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

[A-583-806]

Certain Small Business Telephone Systems and Subassemblies Thereof from Taiwan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 31, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David J. Goldberger or Lou Apple, Office of Antidumping Investigations, Import