

[A-427-801]

Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From France; Amendment to Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews and Rescision of Partial Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amendment to final results of antidumping duty administrative reviews and rescision of partial revocation of Antidumping Duty Order.

SUMMARY: On February 28, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** (60 FR 10959) the final results of its administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof (AFBs) from France, Germany, Japan, Singapore, Sweden, Thailand, and the United Kingdom. The classes or kinds of merchandise covered by these reviews are ball bearings and parts thereof (BBs), cylindrical roller bearings and parts thereof (CRBs), and spherical plain bearings and parts thereof (SPBs). The review period was May 1, 1992, through April 30, 1993. Based on corrections to the calculation of United States price (USP), we are amending the final results with respect to French BBs and SPBs sold by one company, SKF France (SKF).

We are also rescinding the revocation of the antidumping duty order on SPBs from France with respect to SKF, since the dumping margin is no longer *de minimis*.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 31, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matthew Rosenbaum or Michael Rill, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202)482-4733.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 28, 1995, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the final results of its administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on AFBs from France, Germany, Japan, Singapore, Sweden, Thailand, and the United Kingdom. The classes or kinds of merchandise covered by these reviews are BBs, CRBs, and SPBs. The review

period was May 1, 1992, through April 30, 1993.

In these final results, we revoked in part the antidumping duty order on SPBs from France with respect to SKF based on three consecutive years of zero or de minimis weighted- average dumping margins in accordance with 19 CFR 353.25(a).

Subsequent to the issuance of our final results, the Torrington Company (Torrington), the petitioner, alleged a clerical error in the calculation of dumping margins for SKF with respect to BBs and SPBs from France. We determined there was a ministerial error in the calculation of USP in the final results for AFBs from France sold by SKF. Specifically, purchase price sales made by SKF were reported in French francs, and we failed to convert these prices to U.S. dollars. We have therefore corrected our calculation of SKF's USP.

Rescision of Revocation

After correction of this ministerial error, we found that the weighted-average margin for SPBs from France sold by SKF no longer is *de minimis*. Therefore, the criteria for partial revocation pursuant to 19 CFR 353.25(a) have not been met, and we hereby rescind the partial revocation of the antidumping duty order on SPBs from France with respect to SKF.

Amended Final Results of Reviews

As a result of our corrections, we have determined the following percentage weighted-average margins to exist for the period May 1, 1992 through April 30, 1993:

Company	BBs	SPBs
SKF	3.74	49.08

Based on these results, we will direct the Customs Service to collect cash deposits of estimated antidumping duties on all appropriate entries in accordance with the procedures discussed in the final results of these reviews.

These deposit requirements are effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice and shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative reviews.

This notice also serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with

this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751(f) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(f) and 19 CFR 353.28(c).

Dated: March 23, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-8011 Filed 3-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-588-834]

Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Antidumping Duty Investigation of Stainless Steel Angle From Japan

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 31, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Maeder or Bill Crow, Office of Antidumping Investigations, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3330 or 482-0116, respectively.

Final Determination

We determine that stainless steel angle (SSA) from Japan is being sold in the United States at less than fair value, as provided in section 735 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). The estimated margins are shown in the "Suspension of Liquidation" section of this notice.

Case History

Since the preliminary determination of sales at less than fair value in this investigation on November 4, 1994 (59 FR 56053, November 10, 1994), the following events have occurred.

On November 23, 1994, the petitioners alleged that the preliminary margin calculations contained three distinct ministerial errors. As detailed in the December 8, 1994, memorandum to Barbara R. Stafford, the Department agreed that the errors identified by the petitioners were ministerial in nature, but did not amend the preliminary determination because these errors were not significant, as defined in the Proposed Regulations (19 CFR 353.15(g)(4)(ii)).

In December 1994, the Department conducted its sales and cost