

Needs and Uses: Section 76.962 requires cable operators to certify their compliance with Commission orders requiring prospective rate reductions, refunds, or other remedial relief to subscribers. They must include a description of precise measures taken to implement the remedies ordered by the Commission. This data is used by FCC to monitor the cable operators compliance with Commission orders.
OMB Number: 3060-0331.

Title: Section 76.615 Notification Requirements.

Action: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Businesses or other for-profit.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.
Estimated Annual Burden: 2,100 responses; 30 minutes burden per response; 1050 hours total annual burden.

Needs and Uses: Section 76.615 requires that cable TV operators notify the Commission before transmitting any carrier or other signal component with an average power level across a 25 kHz bandwidth in any 160 microsecond of time equal to or greater than 10 -4 watts at any point in the cable distribution system on any new frequency or frequencies in the aeronautical frequency bands. This information is used by FCC to locate and eliminate harmful interference as it occurs, to help assure safe operation of aeronautical and marine radio services and to minimize the possibility of interference to these safety-of-life services.

OMB Number: 3060-0185.

Title: Section 73.3613.

Action: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.
Estimated Annual Burden: 5,900 responses; 30 minutes burden per recordkeeper; 2,950 hours total annual burden.

Needs and Uses: Section 73.3613 requires licensees of TV and low power TV broadcast stations to file network affiliation contracts with FCC. All broadcast stations are required to file contracts relating to ownership or control and personnel. Radio licensees are required to file time brokerage agreements which result in arrangement being counted in compliance with local and national radio multiple ownership rules. Certain contracts must be retained at station. The data is used by FCC to assure that the licensee maintains full control over the station.

OMB Number: 3060-0542.

Title: Frequency Coordinator Evaluation.

Action: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Businesses or other for-profit; not-for-profit institutions; State, Local or Tribal Governments.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.

Estimated Annual Burden: 11,000 responses; 10 minutes per response; 1,826 hours total annual burden.

Needs and Uses: Report and Order #83-737 requires the Commission to monitor the performance and quality of frequency coordination committees designated for the Private Land Mobile Radio Service. This evaluation is used by FCC staff to evaluate the frequency coordinators process, and service to the public. The Commission will make recommendations on any necessary corrective actions.

OMB Number: 3060-0361.

Title: Section 80.29 Change during license term.

Action: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Individuals or households; Business or other for-profit; Not-for-Profit Institutions; State, Local or Tribal Government.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.

Estimated Annual Burden: 250 responses; 1 hour burden per response; 250 hours total annual burden.

Needs and Uses: The information is used by the FCC to update the coast and ship station license files and data base concerning current name and address of licensees. Information concerning changes in the names of vessels is also used to update the ITU List of Ship Stations.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-8065 Filed 3-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-F

[CC Docket No. 91-35; DA 95-620]

Request for Additional Comments on the Costs and Benefits of International Blocking for Residential Customers; Pleading Cycle Established

March 24, 1995.

Comments: April 24, 1995.

Reply Comments: May 8, 1995.

The Commission currently has under consideration in the above-referenced docket issues concerning the provision by local exchange carriers (LECs) of a service that automatically blocks international calls.¹

¹ See policies and Rules Concerning Operator Service Access and Pay Telephone Compensation, CC Docket No. 91-35, Notice of Proposed Rule Making, 6 FCC Rcd 1448 (1991), Report and Order

In the Further Reconsideration and FNPRM in CC Docket 91-35, the Commission request comment on whether it should require LECs to provide international blocking to residential customers in order to prevent toll fraud. Interested parties commented on this issue, and the LECs also provided general information above the costs and difficulties that they would incur to provide this service to residential customers. Parties then commented on the LECs' cost claims. Parties have not, however, commented about any benefits that residential customers may receive by using international blocking for purposes other than toll fraud prevention.

Since this record was established, there has been a significant increase in the number of complaints the Commission has received about information services provided through international toll calls. Such calls are directly dialed by domestic telephone subscribers to information providers located in foreign countries who offer adult-oriented information services. These services arose after the Commission adopted its "pay-per-call" rules in 1991 governing 900 and other information services.² The use of international calls to provide domestic information services evades important consumer safeguards in our "pay-per-call" and other rules. Such safeguards include, for example, the requirement that LECs offer a service that blocks these calls and that they identify the calls separately on subscribers' bills. Moreover, the Federal Trade Commission's "pay-per-call" rules require information providers to include a preamble explaining the cost of the call and to allow the caller to hand up before charges commence. See 16 C.F.R. §§ 308.5 (a) and (b).

The Commission hereby asks for comments on whether, and in what manner, residential customers would benefit from having the capability to block international calls. In particular, we request comments on whether residential customers would benefit from being able to block international calls in order to limit access to information services. We also solicit comments from the LECs on the costs that the LECs would incur to provide international blocking capability to

and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, 6 FCC Rcd 4736 (1991); Second Report and Order, 7 FCC Rcd 3251 (1992); Order on Reconsideration, 7 FCC Rcd 4355 (1992); and Order on Further Reconsideration and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 8 FCC Rcd 2863 (1993) (Further Reconsideration and FNPRM).

² See Sections 64.1501 to 64.1515 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 65.1501-64.1515.

residential customers. The LECs' comments on costs should include the categories of costs (e.g., switching, administration, etc.) that would be incurred to provide international blocking capability to all residential customers. They should also show the extent to which those costs would be reduced by not providing blocking in areas in which it would not be technically feasible and economically reasonable to do so. For each instance in which a LEC claims that it would not be technically feasible and economically reasonable to provide residential blocking, its comments should specify the type of equipment, the number of end offices affected, the nature of the problem (i.e., inadequate switch memory) and the percentage of residential access lines that would not receive international blocking. Also, the LEC should provide a timeable indicating when, under its current investment plans, it would become technically feasible and economically reasonable to offer international blocking to residential customers from those end offices.

Interested parties may file comments on these issues no later than April 24, 1995. Replies should be filed by May 8, 1995.

An original and four copies of all comments and replies must be filed in accordance with Section 1.51(c) of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.51(c). In addition, one copy of each pleading must be filed with International Transcription Services (ITS), the Commission's duplicating contractor, at its office at 2100 M Street, N.W., Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037 and one copy with the Chief, Tariff Division, Room 518, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.

For further information, contact Thomas G. David, Tariff Division, Common Carrier Bureau, (202) 418-1530.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-7950 Filed 3-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Notice of Agreement(s) Filed

The Federal Maritime Commission hereby gives notice of the filing of the following agreement(s) pursuant to section 5 of the Shipping Act of 1984.

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of each agreement at the Washington, D.C. Office of the Federal

Maritime Commission, 800 North Capitol Street, N.W., 9th Floor. Interested parties may submit comments on each agreement to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, within 10 days after the date of the **Federal Register** in which this notice appears. The requirements for comments are found in section 572.603 of Title 46 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Interested persons should consult this section before communicating with the Commission regarding a pending agreement.

Agreement No.: 217-011495.

Title: ANZDL/Nedlloyd Space Charter Agreement.

Parties:

Australia-New Zealand Direct Line
Nedlloyd Lijnen, B.V.

Synopsis: The proposed Agreement permits the parties to charter space to and from each other on vessels operated in the trade between U.S. Pacific Coast ports and inland and coastal points via such ports, on the one hand, and ports in Australia and New Zealand and inland and coastal points via such ports, on the other.

Agreement No.: 224-200278-001

Title: Port of Oakland/Hyundai Merchant Marine Co., Ltd., Marine Terminal Agreement

Parties:

Port of Oakland
Hyundai Merchant Marine Co., Ltd.

Synopsis: The proposed amendment removes provisions that require payment to the Port for empty containers loaded or discharged from User's vessels and the provisions for additional wharfage refunds to User in the event User has less than ten percent (10%) empty twenty foot equivalent units (TEUs) in a contract year.

Dated: March 28, 1995.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Joseph C. Polking,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-7937 Filed 3-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-7

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Brazosport, Corporation; Acquisition of Company Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The organization listed in this notice has applied under § 225.23(a)(2) or (f) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(2) or (f)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C.

1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company engaged in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Comments regarding the application must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than April 14, 1995.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas
(Genie D. Short, Vice President) 2200 North Pearl Street, Dallas, Texas 75201-2272:

1. *Brazosport, Corporation*, Freeport, Texas; to acquire First Commerce Mortgage Corporation, Corpus Christi, Texas, and thereby engage in making, acquiring, or servicing loans for itself or for others, and loan marketing and advisory services, pursuant to § 225.25(b)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y. The geographic scope for these activities is Corpus Christi, Texas, Brazosport Area, which includes Freeport, Lake Jackson and Clute, Texas.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, March 27, 1995.

William W. Wiles,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 95-7915 Filed 3-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-F