

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 27th day of March 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Paul W. O'Connor,

Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate IV-1, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulations.

[FR Doc. 95-7920 Filed 3-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Nuclear Safety Research Review Committee; Meeting of Waste Subcommittee

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

The NSRRC Waste Subcommittee will hold a meeting on May 1, 1995 in Room C-103, Main Building, Department of Energy Federal Building, 2753 South Highland, Las Vegas, Nevada.

The meeting will be open to public attendance.

The subject of review will be research addressed to assessing the safety of high-level waste disposal.

The agenda will be as follows:

- 8:00-8:15—Introductory remarks.
- 8:15-9:45—Research program overview.
- 10:00-12:00—Review of key technical uncertainties and their relationship to specific research projects (regional hydrologic processes, rock mechanics, performance assessment).
- 1:15-5:30—Review of key technical uncertainties and their relationship to specific research projects, continued (geochemical natural analogs, sorption mechanisms, integrated waste package experiments, tectonics, volcanic systems).
- 5:30-6:00—Subcommittee discussion.

The Subcommittee will report to the full Committee on the facts and analyses discussed at the meeting.

A detailed agenda will be made available at the meeting.

Oral statements may be presented by members of the public with the concurrence of the Subcommittee Chairman; written statements will be accepted and made available to the Subcommittee. Questions may be asked only by members of the Committee and the staff. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff member named below as far in advance as is practicable so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

During the initial portion of the meeting, the Subcommittee may exchange preliminary views regarding matters to be considered during the

balance of the meeting. The Subcommittee will then hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff and NRC contractors regarding this review.

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, the scheduling of sessions, whether the meeting has been canceled or rescheduled, and the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefore can be obtained by a prepaid telephone call to Mr. George Sege (telephone 301/415-6593) between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. (EST). Persons planning to attend this meeting are urged to contact the above named individual one or two business days before the scheduled meeting to be advised of any changes in schedule, etc., that may have occurred.

Dated: March 27, 1995.

George Sege,

Technical Assistant to the Director, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 95-7919 Filed 3-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

All Licensees; Receipt of Petition for Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that by a letter dated March 8, 1995 from Thomas J. Saporito, Jr., to the Executive Director for Operations, the NRC received a Petition under 10 CFR 2.206 requesting that all licensees be required to review their operating procedures in order to ascertain whether those procedures place any restrictions on the ability of employees to bring safety concerns directly to the NRC without following the normal chain of command. The Petition requests that each licensee be required to report to the Commission, under oath or affirmation, that the review has been completed, that its employees are free to bring concerns to the NRC without following the normal chain of command, and that this information has been communicated to all of its employees.

Petitioner's request is being considered pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206 of the Commission's regulations. Action will be taken on these requests within a reasonable time. A copy of the Petition is available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 23rd day of March 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Joseph R. Gray,

Deputy Director, Office of Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 95-7918 Filed 3-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Draft Report on Responsiveness to the Public; Availability

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has published its Draft Report on Responsiveness to the Public. It has been a long-standing policy of the NRC to conduct its business activities in an open and public manner, and in recent years NRC has moved to be even more open and responsive to the public. The public is defined as individual citizens, public interest groups, petitioners, licensees, industry groups, contractors, the Congress, and others with whom NRC does business.

Recent initiatives to be more responsive to the public have included increased use of public workshops for rulemaking activities, participatory rulemaking, a pilot program opening enforcement conferences to the public, surveys of licensees to identify ways to reduce the regulatory burden on licensees, and the Cost Beneficial Licensing Action Program. While these initiatives represent significant improvements, the NRC has not heretofore given responsiveness to the public priority attention in all NRC programs nor had there been a systematic review of NRC business activities to identify potential improvements.

The National Performance Review has placed new emphasis on Federal agencies "placing the customer first." More can be done to broaden and institutionalize public responsiveness and openness as an underpinning tenet of how NRC does business. In this spirit, on July 27, 1994, the Executive Director for Operations launched the Public Responsiveness Initiative asking NRC program directors to identify the business activities where public interaction is relatively frequent and to develop Public Responsiveness Improvement Plans. The draft report reflects the initial results of that effort and contains improvement plans prepared by the offices. The improvement plans are being published for public comment so that NRC can consider comments and make adjustments and improvements in the plans as implementation proceeds.

Those considering public comment may obtain a free single copy of draft NUREG/BR-0199 by writing to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Administration, Printing and Mail

Services Section, Washington, DC 20555-0001. In addition, this draft report is available through the Internet World Wide Web server, which can be accessed by using the Uniform Resource Locator, (URL) <http://www.nrc.gov>. A copy is also available for inspection and/or copying for a fee in the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W. (Lower Level), Washington, DC 20555-0001.

Written comments should be mailed to: Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. Attn: Docketing and Service Branch. Comments may also be submitted over the Internet to: secy@nrc.gov. Comments must be submitted by May 30, 1995.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of March, 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John C. Hoyle,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 95-7935 Filed 3-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION

Coastal Barrier Improvement Act; Property Availability; Sunbow/Sunbow 2, San Diego County, CA

AGENCY: Resolution Trust Corporation.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the property known as Sunbow/Sunbow 2, located in Chula Vista, San Diego County, California, is affected by Section 10 of the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 as specified below.

DATES: Written notice of serious interest to purchase or effect other transfer of all or any portion of this property may be mailed or faxed to the RTC until June 29, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Copies of detailed descriptions of this property, including maps, can be obtained from or are available for inspection by contacting the following person: Mr. E. Ted Hine, Resolution Trust Corporation, California Field Office, 4000 MacArthur Boulevard, 2nd Floor, West Tower, Newport Beach, CA 92660-2516, (714) 263-4648; Fax (714) 852-7623.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Sunbow/Sunbow 2 property is located east of Interstate Highway 805 and south of Telegraph Canyon Road in Chula Vista, California. The site consists of approximately 604 acres of undeveloped land with coastal sage scrub habitat. This property contains wetlands and habitat for the Federally-listed endangered California gnatcatcher. The

site is adjacent to Greg Rogers Park which is managed by the City of Chula Vista for recreational purposes. This property is covered property within the meaning of Section 10 of the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990, P.L. 101-591 (12 U.S.C. 1441a-3).

Written notice of serious interest in the purchase or other transfer of all or any portion of this property must be received on or before June 29, 1995 by the Resolution Trust Corporation at the appropriate address stated above.

Those entities eligible to submit written notices of serious interest are:

1. Agencies or entities of the Federal Government;
2. Agencies or entities of State or local government; and,
3. "Qualified organizations" pursuant to section 170(h)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (26 U.S.C. 170(h)(3)).

Written notices of serious interest must be submitted in the following form:

Notice of Serious Interest

Re: [insert name of property]

Federal Register Publication Date:

[Insert Federal Register publication date]

1. Entity name.
2. Declaration of eligibility to submit Notice under criteria set forth in the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990, P.L. 101-591, section 10(b)(2), (12 U.S.C. 1441a-3(b)(2)), including, for qualified organizations, a determination letter from the United States Internal Revenue Service regarding the organization's status under section 170(h)(3) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code (26 U.S.C. 170(h)(3)).
3. Brief description of proposed terms of purchase or other offer for all or any portion of the property (e.g., price, method of financing, expected closing date, etc.).
4. Declaration of entity that it intends to use the property for wildlife refuge, sanctuary, open space, recreational, historical, cultural, or natural resource conservation purposes (12 U.S.C. 1441a-3(b)(4)), as provided in a clear written description of the purpose(s) to which the property will be put and the location and acreage of the area covered by each purpose(s) including a declaration of entity that it will accept the placement, by the RTC, of an easement or deed restriction on the property consistent with its intended conservation use(s) as stated in its notice of serious interest.

5. Authorized Representative (Name/Address/Telephone/Fax).

List of Subjects: Environmental protection.

Dated: March 27, 1995.
Resolution Trust Corporation.

William J. Tricarico,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-7894 Filed 3-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6714-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-35534; File No. SR-AMEX-94-52]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change by the American Stock Exchange, Inc. Relating to S&P MidCap 400 Depository Receipts

March 24, 1995.

I. Introduction and Background

On November 22, 1994, the American Stock Exchange, Inc. ("Amex" or "Exchange") submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to list and trade Standard & Poor's ("S&P") MidCap 400 Depository Receipts. Notice of the proposal appeared in the **Federal Register** on December 28, 1994.³ No comments were received on the proposed rule change set forth in the Notice. This order approves the Exchange's proposal.

II. Description of the Proposal

The Amex proposes to list and trade under Amex Rules 1000 *et seq.* S&P MidCap 400 Depository Receipts. In addition, the Exchange proposes to amend Amex Rule 1000(b)(1) to include a reference to the alternative reinvestment of periodic cash payments to holders to reflect the availability of the DTC Dividend Reinvestment Service ("DRS") to holders of Portfolio Depository Receipts ("PDRs"), and to amend the Amex Rule 1004 disclaimer provision so that it applies to the S&P MidCap 400 Index.⁴

A. Portfolio Depository Receipts

On December 11, 1992, the Commission approved Amex Rules 1000 *et seq.*⁵ to accommodate trading on the Exchange of PDRs, which are securities that represent interests in a unit investment trust ("Trust") operating on an open-end basis and holding a portfolio of securities. The Trust

¹ 15 U.S.C. § 78s(b)(1) (1982).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4 (1994).

³ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 35127, (December 20, 1994), 59 FR 66982.

⁴ The S&P MidCap 400 Index is a capitalization-weighted index of 400 actively traded securities that includes issues selected from a population of 1,700 securities, each with a year-end market-value capitalization of between \$200 million and \$5 billion. The issues included in the Index cover a broad range of major industry groups, including industrials, transportation, utilities, and financials.

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 31591 (December 11, 1992), 57 FR 60253.