

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 27th day of March 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Paul W. O'Connor,

Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate IV-1, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulations.

[FR Doc. 95-7920 Filed 3-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Nuclear Safety Research Review Committee; Meeting of Waste Subcommittee

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

The NSRRC Waste Subcommittee will hold a meeting on May 1, 1995 in Room C-103, Main Building, Department of Energy Federal Building, 2753 South Highland, Las Vegas, Nevada.

The meeting will be open to public attendance.

The subject of review will be research addressed to assessing the safety of high-level waste disposal.

The agenda will be as follows:

- 8:00-8:15—Introductory remarks.
- 8:15-9:45—Research program overview.
- 10:00-12:00—Review of key technical uncertainties and their relationship to specific research projects (regional hydrologic processes, rock mechanics, performance assessment).
- 1:15-5:30—Review of key technical uncertainties and their relationship to specific research projects, continued (geochemical natural analogs, sorption mechanisms, integrated waste package experiments, tectonics, volcanic systems).
- 5:30-6:00—Subcommittee discussion.

The Subcommittee will report to the full Committee on the facts and analyses discussed at the meeting.

A detailed agenda will be made available at the meeting.

Oral statements may be presented by members of the public with the concurrence of the Subcommittee Chairman; written statements will be accepted and made available to the Subcommittee. Questions may be asked only by members of the Committee and the staff. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff member named below as far in advance as is practicable so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

During the initial portion of the meeting, the Subcommittee may exchange preliminary views regarding matters to be considered during the

balance of the meeting. The Subcommittee will then hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff and NRC contractors regarding this review.

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, the scheduling of sessions, whether the meeting has been canceled or rescheduled, and the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefore can be obtained by a prepaid telephone call to Mr. George Sege (telephone 301/415-6593) between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. (EST). Persons planning to attend this meeting are urged to contact the above named individual one or two business days before the scheduled meeting to be advised of any changes in schedule, etc., that may have occurred.

Dated: March 27, 1995.

George Sege,

Technical Assistant to the Director, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 95-7919 Filed 3-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

All Licensees; Receipt of Petition for Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that by a letter dated March 8, 1995 from Thomas J. Saporito, Jr., to the Executive Director for Operations, the NRC received a Petition under 10 CFR 2.206 requesting that all licensees be required to review their operating procedures in order to ascertain whether those procedures place any restrictions on the ability of employees to bring safety concerns directly to the NRC without following the normal chain of command. The Petition requests that each licensee be required to report to the Commission, under oath or affirmation, that the review has been completed, that its employees are free to bring concerns to the NRC without following the normal chain of command, and that this information has been communicated to all of its employees.

Petitioner's request is being considered pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206 of the Commission's regulations. Action will be taken on these requests within a reasonable time. A copy of the Petition is available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 23rd day of March 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Joseph R. Gray,

Deputy Director, Office of Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 95-7918 Filed 3-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Draft Report on Responsiveness to the Public; Availability

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has published its Draft Report on Responsiveness to the Public. It has been a long-standing policy of the NRC to conduct its business activities in an open and public manner, and in recent years NRC has moved to be even more open and responsive to the public. The public is defined as individual citizens, public interest groups, petitioners, licensees, industry groups, contractors, the Congress, and others with whom NRC does business.

Recent initiatives to be more responsive to the public have included increased use of public workshops for rulemaking activities, participatory rulemaking, a pilot program opening enforcement conferences to the public, surveys of licensees to identify ways to reduce the regulatory burden on licensees, and the Cost Beneficial Licensing Action Program. While these initiatives represent significant improvements, the NRC has not heretofore given responsiveness to the public priority attention in all NRC programs nor had there been a systematic review of NRC business activities to identify potential improvements.

The National Performance Review has placed new emphasis on Federal agencies "placing the customer first." More can be done to broaden and institutionalize public responsiveness and openness as an underpinning tenet of how NRC does business. In this spirit, on July 27, 1994, the Executive Director for Operations launched the Public Responsiveness Initiative asking NRC program directors to identify the business activities where public interaction is relatively frequent and to develop Public Responsiveness Improvement Plans. The draft report reflects the initial results of that effort and contains improvement plans prepared by the offices. The improvement plans are being published for public comment so that NRC can consider comments and make adjustments and improvements in the plans as implementation proceeds.

Those considering public comment may obtain a free single copy of draft NUREG/BR-0199 by writing to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Administration, Printing and Mail