

proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

#### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. App. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); and 14 CFR 11.89.

##### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**Boeing:** Docket 94-NM-177-AD.

*Applicability:* Model 727 and Model 737 series airplanes; equipped with J.C. Carter Company fuel valve actuators, as listed in J.C. Carter Company Service Bulletin 61163-28-08, dated December 2, 1994, certificated in any category.

**Note 1:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must use the authority provided in paragraph (b) to request approval from the FAA. This approval may address either no action, if the current configuration eliminates the unsafe condition; or different actions necessary to address the unsafe condition described in this AD. Such a request should include an assessment of the effect of the changed configuration on the

unsafe condition addressed by this AD. In no case does the presence of any modification, alteration, or repair remove any airplane from the applicability of this AD.

**Compliance:** Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent improper functioning of certain actuators, which could result in a fuel imbalance due to the inability of the flightcrew to crossfeed fuel, or which could prevent the pilot from shutting off the fuel to the engine following an engine failure and/or fire, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 24 months after the effective date of this AD, replace the actuator having part number (P/N) 40574-2 (Model EM487-2, serial numbers 0001 through 1443 inclusive; and Model EM487-3, serial numbers 0001 through 2711 inclusive), on the fuel system crossfeed valve and the engine shutoff valves with a new actuator having P/N 40574-4, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of J.C. Carter Company Service Bulletin 61163-28-08, dated December 2, 1994.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 24, 1995.

**Darrell M. Pederson,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*  
[FR Doc. 95-7779 Filed 3-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 94-NM-116-AD]

#### Airworthiness Directives; Fokker Model F28 Mk 0100 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Fokker Model F28 Mk 0100 series airplanes. This proposal would require the installation of modified Passenger Service Unit (PSU) panel lenses. This proposal would also require

a one-time post-installation inspection to detect corrosion or deterioration of the PSU connectors, and correction of discrepancies, and application of sealant. This proposal is prompted by reports that "No Smoking" and "Fasten Seat Belt" signs installed in certain overhead PSU's are not readable from passengers' and flight attendants' seats. This proposal is also prompted by reports of smoke in the passenger cabin caused by overheating of the PSU connectors. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to ensure that warning signs are readable to passengers and flight attendants, and to eliminate a potential fire hazard.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by May 8, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 94-NM-116-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Fokker Aircraft USA, Inc., 1199 North Fairfax Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mark Quam, Aerospace Engineer, Standardization Branch, ANM-113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (206) 227-2145; fax (206) 227-1320.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments,

in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 94-NM-116-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

#### Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 94-NM-116-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

#### Discussion

The Rijksluchtvaartdienst (RLD), which is the airworthiness authority for the Netherlands, recently notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain Fokker Model F28 Mk 0100 series airplanes. The RLD advises that the "No Smoking" and "Fasten Seat Belt" signs installed in certain overhead Passenger Service Units (PSU) on these airplanes may not be readable to each seated passenger under all probable conditions of cabin illumination. Additionally, these "No Smoking" or "Fasten Seat Belt" signs are not visible at all from the flight attendants' seats in the aft cabin. The ability to clearly see these signs under all conditions of illumination in the cabin is necessary in order to ensure that passengers and flight attendants are notified when smoking is not permitted on an aircraft, and when seat belts must be fastened. If the "No Smoking" signs are not readable or visible, passengers and flight attendants may not be aware of situations when smoking on the airplane could present a fire hazard. If the "Fasten Seat Belts" signs are not readable or visible, passengers and flight attendants may not be aware of situations when it is necessary that they be properly restrained in their seats to prevent injury during turbulent or other flight conditions.

Additionally, the RLD advises that there have been two reports of smoke in the passenger aft compartment coming from a PSU. Investigation revealed that, in each case, the cause of the smoke was water ingress (condensation from the air duct) in the electrical connectors of the PSU. Water ingress in PSU connectors can result in corrosion of the contacts. Because there is a 115V

(alternating) current present, the temperature inside the connector can rise subsequently and cause the connector to melt. This condition, if not corrected, can result in failure of the warning signs at the PSU to illuminate and could pose a fire hazard.

Fokker has issued Service Bulletin SBF100-25-061, dated March 8, 1994 (as corrected by Fokker Service Bulletin Change Notification SBF100-25-061/02, dated June 20, 1994), which describes procedures for installing modified PSU panel lenses. (This Fokker service bulletin refers to Grimes Aerospace Service Bulletins 10-1178-33-0036 and 10-1178-33-0039, Revision 1, dated October 31, 1993, for additional installation instructions.) Certain of the modified lenses are configured so that the readability of the warning signs from the passengers' seats is improved. Other modified lenses incorporate a tilted legend (prisma lens) that makes readability possible from the aft cabin flight attendants' seats. The RLD classified this service bulletin as mandatory and issued Netherlands airworthiness directive BLA 94-078(A), dated May 11, 1994, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in the Netherlands.

Fokker also has issued Service Bulletin SB100-25-068, dated March 31, 1994, which describes procedures for conducting a one-time inspection to detect corrosion or deterioration of the PSU connectors, correction of discrepancies identified, and application of sealant. Fokker recommends that this inspection be performed after the modified PSU panel lenses are installed in accordance with Service Bulletin SBF100-25-061. The RLD has classified this service bulletin as "Recommended."

This airplane model is manufactured in the Netherlands and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the RLD has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the RLD, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the proposed AD would require the installation of modified PSU panel

lenses. This action would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the Fokker Service Bulletin SBF100-25-061, described previously.

Additionally, this proposed AD would require a one-time post-installation inspection to detect corrosion or deterioration of the PSU connectors, correction of discrepancies identified, and application of sealant. These actions would be required to be accomplished in accordance with Fokker Service Bulletin SB100-25-068.

The proposed AD would be applicable only to Model F28 Mk 0100 series airplanes having serial numbers 11244 through 11437, inclusive. Beginning at serial number 11438, the modified PSU panel lenses were installed during production.

As a result of recent communications with the Air Transport Association (ATA) of America, the FAA has learned that, in general, some operators may misunderstand the legal effect of AD's on airplanes that are identified in the applicability provision of the AD, but that have been altered or repaired in the area addressed by the AD. The FAA points out that all airplanes identified in the applicability provision of an AD are legally subject to the AD. If an airplane has been altered or repaired in the affected area in such a way as to affect compliance with the AD, the owner or operator is required to obtain FAA approval for an alternative method of compliance with the AD, in accordance with the paragraph of each AD that provides for such approvals. A note has been included in this notice to clarify this requirement.

The FAA estimates that 83 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD.

The proposed installation of the modified PSU's would take approximately 2 work hours per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately \$248 per airplane. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed installation action on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$30,544, or \$368 per airplane.

The proposed one-time inspection of the PSU connectors would take approximately 5 work hours per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed inspection action on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$24,900, or \$300 per airplane.

Based on the figures discussed above, the total cost impact of the proposed AD is estimated to be \$55,444, or \$668 per airplane. This total cost impact figure is

based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

#### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. App. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); and 14 CFR 11.89.

##### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**Fokker:** Docket 94-NM-116-AD.

**Applicability:** Model F28 Mk 0100 series airplanes having serial numbers 11244 through 11437, inclusive; and equipped with Grimes Aerospace Passenger Service Units having part number (P/N) 10-1178-() through P/N 10-1571-(), inclusive; certificated in any category.

**Note 1:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must use the authority provided in paragraph (d) to request approval from the FAA. This approval may address either no action, if the current configuration eliminates the unsafe condition; or different actions necessary to address the unsafe condition described in this AD. Such a request should include an assessment of the effect of the changed configuration on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD. In no case does the presence of any modification, alteration, or repair remove any airplane from the applicability of this AD.

**Compliance:** Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To ensure that warning signs are readable to passengers and flight attendants, and to eliminate a potential fire hazard, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 9 months after the effective date of this AD, install modified Passenger Service Unit (PSU) panel lenses in accordance with Fokker Service Bulletin SBF100-25-061, dated March 8, 1994 (as corrected by Fokker Service Bulletin Change Notification SBF100-25-061/02, dated June 20, 1994).

(b) Prior to further flight after accomplishing the installation required by paragraph (a) of this AD, perform a one-time post-installation inspection to detect corrosion and/or deterioration of the PSU connector, in accordance with Fokker Service Bulletin SBF100-25-068, dated March 31, 1994. Prior to further flight, correct any discrepancies detected and apply sealant in accordance with the service bulletin.

(c) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install on any airplane a Grimes Aerospace Passenger Service Unit having part number (P/N) 10-1178-() through P/N 10-1571-(), inclusive.

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM-113, FAA, Transport Aircraft Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM-113.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Standardization Branch, ANM-113.

(e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 24, 1995.

**Darrell M. Pederson,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 95-7780 Filed 3-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 95-NM-06-AD]

#### Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 747 SP, SR, -100, -200, and -300 Series Airplanes Equipped With Pratt & Whitney Model JT9D Series Engines (Excluding Model JT9D-70 Engines)

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Boeing Model 747 SP, SR, -100, -200, and -300 series airplanes. This proposal would require repetitive operational tests of the reversible gearbox pneumatic drive unit (PDU) or the reversing air motor PDU to ensure that the unit can restrain the thrust reverser sleeve, and correction of any discrepancy found. This proposal is prompted by the results of an investigation, which revealed that, in the event of thrust reverser deployment during high-speed climb or during cruise, these airplanes could experience control problems. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to ensure the integrity of the fail safe features of the thrust reverser system by preventing possible failure modes in the thrust reverser control system that can result in inadvertent deployment of a thrust reverser during flight.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by May 24, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95-NM-06-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport