

Dates and Times: Thursday, April 20, 9:00 am–4:15 pm; Friday, April 21, 9:00 am–12:00 pm.

Place: Holiday Inn-Capitol, 550 C Street, S.W., Washington, DC.

Contact: Ms. Renee Miller, EIA Committee Liaison, U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration, EI-72, Washington, DC 20585, Telephone: (202) 254-5507.

Supplementary Information:

Purpose of the Committee: To advise the Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration (EIA), on EIA technical statistical issues and to enable the EIA to benefit from the Committee's expertise concerning other energy statistical matters.

Tentative Agenda

Thursday, April 20, 1995

- A. Opening Remarks
- B. Major Topics
 - 1. Effects of Structural Changes in Industry
 - 2. How the NEMS Transportation Module Operates
 - 3. Reconciliation of AEO and STEO Forecasts (Public Comment)

Friday, April 21, 1995

- 4. Review of RECS Survey Design
- 5. Estimates of State Level Natural Gas Consumption
- 6. Results and Overall Plans for Customer Surveys (Public Comment)
- C. Topics for Future Meetings

Public Participation: The meeting is open to the public. The Chairperson of the committee is empowered to conduct the meeting in a fashion that will facilitate the orderly conduct of business. Written statements may be filed with the committee either before or after the meeting. If there are any questions, please contact Ms. Renee Miller, EIA Committee Liaison, at the address or telephone number listed above or Mrs. Antoinette Martin at (202) 254-5409.

Transcripts: Available for public review and copying at the Public Reading Room, (Room 1E-290), 1000 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586-6025, between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Issued at Washington, DC on March 27, 1995.

Rachel Murphy Samuel,

Acting Deputy Advisory Committee, Management Officer

[FR Doc. 95-7853 Filed 3-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER95-724-000, et al.]

Iowa-Illinois Gas & Electric Company, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

March 23, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Iowa-Illinois Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER95-724-000]

Take notice that on March 10, 1995, Iowa-Illinois Gas and Electric Company (Iowa-Illinois), 206 East Second Street, P.O. Box 4350, Davenport, Iowa 52808, tendered for filing pursuant to § 35.12 of the Regulations under the Federal Power Act an initial rate schedule consisting of a Transmission Service Agreement dated as of December 16, 1994 between Iowa-Illinois and Louis Dreyfus Electric Power Inc. (Dreyfus).

Iowa-Illinois states that the terms and conditions of this Agreement are identical in all respects to Iowa-Illinois Transmission Service Agreements with other power marketers which have been accepted for filing by the Commission in Docket Nos. ER95-334-000, ER95-426-000, and ER95-541-000. Iowa-Illinois further states that under the Agreement it will provide non-firm transmission service to Dreyfus on a monthly, weekly, daily or hourly basis to transmit power and associated energy from certain defined points to other defined points on Iowa-Illinois' interconnected electric system. Service will be provided upon request by Dreyfus on an as available basis as determined by Iowa-Illinois.

Iowa-Illinois requests a waiver of the Commission's 60-day notice requirement in order to permit the Agreement to become effective on or before April 18, 1995.

Copies of the filing were served upon the Iowa Utilities Board, the Illinois Commerce Commission and Dreyfus.

Comment date: April 7, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. Louisiana Public Service Commission vs. Entergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. EL95-33-000]

Take notice that on March 15, 1995, the Louisiana Public Service Commission filed a complaint under §§ 205 and 206 of the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 824d and 824e against Entergy Services, Inc., as the representative of Entergy Corporation and its operating companies, Louisiana Power & Light Co. (LP&L), Arkansas Power & Light Co. (AP&L), Mississippi Power & Light Co. (MP&L), and New Orleans Public Service, Inc. (NOPSI). The complaint seeks a revision of the Entergy System Agreement based upon allegations that the terms of that agreement, under current circumstances, are unjust and unreasonable. Specifically, the complaint alleges that the absence of any provision in the System Agreement

excluding curtailable load from the determination of a company's load responsibility under the System Agreement results in an unjust and unreasonable cost allocation to companies that do not cause these costs to be incurred, and results in cross-subsidation among the companies. Additionally, it is alleged that the absence of any provision in MSS-3 for allocating marginal energy costs to customers that purchase energy under Entergy's "real time pricing" rate schedules at the retail level discriminates against a company that offers real time pricing.

Comment date: April 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. New England Power Company

[Docket No. ER95-725-000]

Take notice that on March 10, 1995, New England Power Company, tendered for filing a transmission contract for service to the Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company.

Comment date: April 7, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. New England Power Company

[Docket Nos. ER95-267-002 and EL95-25-002]

Take notice that on March 13, 1995, New England Power Company (NEP), made a compliance filing in the above referenced, consolidated dockets. NEP's compliance filing conforms fully with the Commission's February 9 order (*New England Power Company*) 70 FERC ¶ 61,152 (1995) by revising NEP's proposed W-95 rate to compute decommissioning and depreciation expense and to amortize materials and supplies inventories and final nuclear fuel cores for NEP's entitlements in Seabrook Unit No. 1 and Millstone Unit No. 3 on the basis of their remaining Nuclear Regulatory Commission license lives of 36 and 39 years, respectively.

Comment date: April 7, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. Illinois Power Company

[Docket No. ER95-726-000]

Take notice that on March 10, 1995, Illinois Power Company (Illinois), tendered for filing an Interchange Agreement between Illinois and NorAm Energy Services, Inc. (NES). Illinois states that the purpose of this agreement is to provide for the buying and selling of capacity and energy between Illinois and NES.

Comment date: April 7, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. PacifiCorp

[Docket No. ER95-727-000]

Take notice that on March 10, 1995, PacifiCorp, tendered for filing in accordance with 18 CFR Part 35 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, Service Agreements with AES Power Inc. (AES), Engelhard Power Marketing, Inc. (Engelhard), InterCoast Power Marketing Company (InterCoast) and Gulfstream Energy, LLC (Gulfstream) under PacifiCorp's FERC Electric Tariff, Volume No. 3, Service Schedule PPL-3.

Copies of this filing were supplied to AES, Engelhard, InterCoast, Gulfstream, the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission and the Public Utility Commission of Oregon.

Comment date: April 7, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-7767 Filed 3-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP95-178-000]

Williams Natural Gas Co.; Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Wilson County Replacement Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues

March 24, 1995.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) will prepare an environmental assessment (EA) that will discuss the environmental impacts of the construction and operation of the facilities proposed in the Wilson County

Replacement Project.¹ This EA will be used by the Commission in its decision-making process to determine whether an environmental impact statement is necessary and whether to approve the project.

Summary of the Proposed Project

Williams Natural Gas Company (Williams) wants to replace about 2,200 feet of 8-inch lateral pipeline with about 2,270 feet of 2-inch and 6-inch lateral pipeline in Wilson County, Kansas. The replacement would continue to provide service to the Neodesha, Kansas area. Williams requests Commission authorization to construct and operate the following facilities needed to continue service:

- abandon in place 1,300 feet of 8-inch lateral pipeline;
- abandon by removal 900 feet of 8-inch lateral pipeline;
- construct 2,144 feet of 6-inch lateral pipeline; and
- construct 130 feet of 2-inch lateral pipeline.

The general location of the project facilities and specific locations for facilities on new sites are shown in appendix 1.²

Land Requirements for Construction

Construction for the proposed facilities would require about 3.45 acres of land. Following construction, about 2.3 acres would be maintained as permanent right-of-way. The remaining 1.3 acres of land would be restored and allowed to revert to its former use.

The EA Process

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires the Commission to take into account the environmental impacts that could result from an action whenever it considers the issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. NEPA also requires us to discover and address concerns the public may have about proposals. We call this "scoping". The main goal of the scoping process is to focus the analysis in the EA on the important environmental issues. By this Notice of Intent, the Commission requests public comments on the scope of the issues it will address in the EA. All comments

¹ Williams Natural Gas Company's application was filed with the Commission under Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations.

² The appendices referenced in this notice are not being printed in the **Federal Register**. Copies are available from the Commission's Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, Room 3104, 941 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, or call (202) 208-1371. Copies of the appendices were sent to all those receiving this notice in the mail.

received are considered during the preparation of the EA. State and local government representatives are encouraged to notify their constituents of this proposed action and encourage them to comment on their areas of concern.

The EA will discuss impacts that could occur as a result of the construction and operation of the proposed project under these general headings:

- geology and soils
- water resources, fisheries, and wetlands³
- vegetation and wildlife
- endangered and threatened species
- public safety
- land use
- cultural resources
- air quality and noise
- hazardous waste

We will also evaluate possible alternatives to the proposed project or portions of the project, and make recommendations on how to lessen or avoid impacts on the various resource areas.

Our independent analysis of the issues will be in the EA. Depending on the comments received during the scoping process, the EA may be published and mailed to Federal, state, and local agencies, public interest groups, interested individuals, affected landowners, newspapers, libraries, and the Commission's official service list for this proceeding. A comment period will be allotted for review if the EA is published. We will consider all comments on the EA before we recommend that the Commission approve or not approve the project.

Currently Identified Environmental Issues

One issue that has arisen based on a preliminary review of the proposed facilities and the environmental information provided by Williams concerns the location of a residential area near the proposed pipeline. The proposed right-of-way has been routed to avoid impact to residences, however several new landowners would be affected by the location of the new pipeline right-of-way in Neodesha, Kansas.

Public Participation

You can make a difference by sending a letter addressing your specific comments or concerns about the project. You should focus on the potential environmental effects of the proposal,

³ According to the applicant, the project will not affect any waters of the United States. We will report any potential impacts, or their absence, under this heading.