

## 6. PacifiCorp

[Docket No. ER95-727-000]

Take notice that on March 10, 1995, PacifiCorp, tendered for filing in accordance with 18 CFR Part 35 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, Service Agreements with AES Power Inc. (AES), Engelhard Power Marketing, Inc. (Engelhard), InterCoast Power Marketing Company (InterCoast) and Gulfstream Energy, LLC (Gulfstream) under PacifiCorp's FERC Electric Tariff, Volume No. 3, Service Schedule PPL-3.

Copies of this filing were supplied to AES, Engelhard, InterCoast, Gulfstream, the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission and the Public Utility Commission of Oregon.

*Comment date:* April 7, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-7767 Filed 3-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP95-178-000]

### Williams Natural Gas Co.; Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Wilson County Replacement Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues

March 24, 1995.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) will prepare an environmental assessment (EA) that will discuss the environmental impacts of the construction and operation of the facilities proposed in the Wilson County

Replacement Project.<sup>1</sup> This EA will be used by the Commission in its decision-making process to determine whether an environmental impact statement is necessary and whether to approve the project.

### Summary of the Proposed Project

Williams Natural Gas Company (Williams) wants to replace about 2,200 feet of 8-inch lateral pipeline with about 2,270 feet of 2-inch and 6-inch lateral pipeline in Wilson County, Kansas. The replacement would continue to provide service to the Neodesha, Kansas area. Williams requests Commission authorization to construct and operate the following facilities needed to continue service:

- abandon in place 1,300 feet of 8-inch lateral pipeline;
- abandon by removal 900 feet of 8-inch lateral pipeline;
- construct 2,144 feet of 6-inch lateral pipeline; and
- construct 130 feet of 2-inch lateral pipeline.

The general location of the project facilities and specific locations for facilities on new sites are shown in appendix 1.<sup>2</sup>

### Land Requirements for Construction

Construction for the proposed facilities would require about 3.45 acres of land. Following construction, about 2.3 acres would be maintained as permanent right-of-way. The remaining 1.3 acres of land would be restored and allowed to revert to its former use.

### The EA Process

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires the Commission to take into account the environmental impacts that could result from an action whenever it considers the issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. NEPA also requires us to discover and address concerns the public may have about proposals. We call this "scoping". The main goal of the scoping process is to focus the analysis in the EA on the important environmental issues. By this Notice of Intent, the Commission requests public comments on the scope of the issues it will address in the EA. All comments

<sup>1</sup> Williams Natural Gas Company's application was filed with the Commission under Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations.

<sup>2</sup> The appendices referenced in this notice are not being printed in the **Federal Register**. Copies are available from the Commission's Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, Room 3104, 941 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, or call (202) 208-1371. Copies of the appendices were sent to all those receiving this notice in the mail.

received are considered during the preparation of the EA. State and local government representatives are encouraged to notify their constituents of this proposed action and encourage them to comment on their areas of concern.

The EA will discuss impacts that could occur as a result of the construction and operation of the proposed project under these general headings:

- geology and soils
- water resources, fisheries, and wetlands<sup>3</sup>
- vegetation and wildlife
- endangered and threatened species
- public safety
- land use
- cultural resources
- air quality and noise
- hazardous waste

We will also evaluate possible alternatives to the proposed project or portions of the project, and make recommendations on how to lessen or avoid impacts on the various resource areas.

Our independent analysis of the issues will be in the EA. Depending on the comments received during the scoping process, the EA may be published and mailed to Federal, state, and local agencies, public interest groups, interested individuals, affected landowners, newspapers, libraries, and the Commission's official service list for this proceeding. A comment period will be allotted for review if the EA is published. We will consider all comments on the EA before we recommend that the Commission approve or not approve the project.

### Currently Identified Environmental Issues

One issue that has arisen based on a preliminary review of the proposed facilities and the environmental information provided by Williams concerns the location of a residential area near the proposed pipeline. The proposed right-of-way has been routed to avoid impact to residences, however several new landowners would be affected by the location of the new pipeline right-of-way in Neodesha, Kansas.

### Public Participation

You can make a difference by sending a letter addressing your specific comments or concerns about the project. You should focus on the potential environmental effects of the proposal,

<sup>3</sup> According to the applicant, the project will not affect any waters of the United States. We will report any potential impacts, or their absence, under this heading.

alternatives to the proposal (including alternative routes), and measures to avoid or lessen environmental impact. The more specific your comments, the more useful they will be. Please follow the instructions below to ensure that your comments are received and properly recorded:

- Address your letter to: Lois Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol St., NE., Washington, DC 20426.

- Reference Docket No. CP95-130-000.

- Send a copy of your letter to: Ms. Amy Olson, EA Project Manager, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol St., NE., Room 7312, Washington, DC 20426; and

- Mail your comments so that they will be received in Washington, DC on or before May 1, 1995.

If you wish to receive a copy of the EA, you should request one from Ms. Olson at the above address.

#### Becoming an Intervenor

In addition to involvement in the EA scoping process, you may want to become an official party to the proceeding or become an "intervenor". Among other things, intervenors have the right to receive copies of case-related Commission documents and filings by other intervenors. Likewise, each intervenor must provide copies of its filings to all other parties. If you want to become an intervenor you must file a motion to intervene according to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214) (see appendix 2).

The date for filing of timely motions to intervene in this proceeding has passed. Therefore, parties now seeking to file late interventions must show good cause, as required by Section 385.214(b)(3), why this time limitation should be waived. Environmental issues have been viewed as good cause for late intervention. You do not need intervenor status to have your scoping comments considered.

Additional information about the proposed project is available from Ms. Amy Olson, EA Project Manager, at (202) 208-1199.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-7765 Filed 3-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

#### Notice of Application

March 24, 1995.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed

with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

a. *Type of Application:* Major License.

b. *Project No.:* 11214-001.

c. *Date Filed:* February 22, 1995.

d. *Applicant:* Southwestern Electric Cooperative, Inc.

e. *Name of Project:* Carlyle Reservoir.

f. *Location:* On the Kaskaskia River near the City of Carlyle, Clinton County, Illinois.

g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)825(r).

h. *Applicant Contact:* Mr. Robert Weinberg, 1615 M Street, N.W. Suite 800, Washington, DC 20036, (202) 467-6370.

i. *FERC Contact:* Charles T. Raabe (dt) (202) 219-2811.

j. *Comment Date:* On or before April 24, 1995.

k. *Description of Project:* The proposed project would utilize the existing U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Carlyle Dam and Reservoir and would consist of: (1) An intake structure; (2) five 96-inch-diameter penstocks; (3) a power plant having five 800-Kw turbine/generator units; (4) a 1400-foot-long underground and a 3,000-foot-long overhead transmission line; and (5) appurtenant facilities.

l. With this notice, we are initiating consultation with the State Historic Preservation officer (SHPO), as required by § 106, National Historic Preservation Act, and the regulations of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 36 CFR 800.4.

m. Pursuant to § 4.32(b)(7) of 18 CFR of the Commission's Regulations, if any resource agency, SHPO, Indian Tribe, or person believes that an additional scientific study should be conducted in order to form an adequate factual basis for a complete analysis of the application of its merits, the resource agency, SHPO, Indian Tribe, or person must file a request for a study with the Commission not later than 60 days from the filing date and serve a copy of the request on the applicant.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-7762 Filed 3-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-262-000, et al.]

#### Phillips Gas Pipeline Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

March 23, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

#### 1. Phillips Gas Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP95-262-000]

Take notice that on March 13, 1995, Phillips Gas Pipeline Company (Phillips), P.O. Box 1967, Houston, Texas 77251-1967, filed an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for an order permitting and approving the abandonment of all of Phillips' interstate pipeline transmission facilities located in Oklahoma and Texas in order to convert the facilities to its former configuration for oil transportation, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Phillips requests permission and approval to abandon all of its interstate pipeline facilities consisting of approximately 153 miles of 30-inch pipeline that transports natural gas from Cushing, Oklahoma to approximately 1 mile across the northern Texas border, as well as related facilities and equipment. Phillips proposes to abandon these facilities so that Phillips would be able to perform its former function of transporting oil.

Phillips states that Phillips has not been able to transport any natural gas on a firm basis for almost two years, since May 1, 1993, which has significantly impaired Phillips' ability to recover its cost of service because Phillips' rates are based upon recovery of 100% of its fixed costs through its reservation fees. Phillips states that Phillips Gas Marketing Company (PGMC), an affiliate of Phillips and Phillips' primary interruptible customer, representing over 97% of Phillips' annual volumetric throughput during 1994, recently advised Phillips that PGMC would no longer require any transportation service on Phillips facilities in the near future. Phillips indicates that the imminent loss of over 97% of Phillips' volumetric throughput and Phillips' inability to acquire any firm transportation contracts compels Phillips to seek abandonment authorization for the facilities. Phillips states that Phillips has entered into a joint venture agreement with ARCO Pipeline Company (ARCO) regarding plans to convert the facilities into an oil pipeline to provide useful and necessary oil transportation services. ARCO would make all appropriate filings at the Commission prior to commencing operation of any new oil pipeline facility, it is stated.

*Comment date:* April 13, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.