

RECORD GROUPS CLOSING JULY–DECEMBER 1995—Continued

Cluster title	RG No.	Record group short title	Close date	Reopen date
Treasury/Revenue/Finance	365	Treasury Department Collection of Confederate Records ..	07/24/95	08/31/95
Treasury/Revenue/Finance	366	Civil War Special Agencies of the Treasury Department	07/24/95	08/31/95

[FR Doc. 95-7514 Filed 3-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board; Notice

Before Administrative Judges: Peter B. Bloch, Chair, Dr. James H. Carpenter, Thomas D. Murphy.

[Docket Nos. 50-424-OLA-3 50-425-OLA-3; Re: License Amendment (Transfer to Southern Nuclear) ASLBP No. 96-671-01-OLA-3]

March 22, 1995.

In the matter of Georgia Power Company, *et al.*; (Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2)

Pursuant to 10 CFR § 2.752, we will hold a public evidentiary hearing beginning at 9 am on April 17, 1995, at the Hearing Room (T 3 B45), Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The purpose of the hearing is to receive evidence concerning alleged misrepresentations about diesel generators at the Vogtle Nuclear Power Plant. The first week of hearing may include Saturday April 22. Thereafter, the hearing will recess until May 17. It will reconvene on that date at a place that is to be determined in light of the needs of the parties.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.

Peter B. Bloch,

Chair.

[FR Doc. 95-7562 Filed 3-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 50-312]

Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station); Order Approving the Decommissioning Plan and Authorizing Decommissioning of Facility

I

Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD, the licensee) is the holder of Facility Operating License No. DPR-54 issued by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC, the Commission)

pursuant to 10 CFR part 50 on August 16, 1974. The license was amended on March 17, 1992, to remove the authority of the licensee to operate the Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station (Rancho Seco). The facility is located on the licensee site in Sacramento County, California.

II

On June 6, 1989, the voters of Sacramento approved a referendum indicating their desire that the licensee not operate Rancho Seco. On June 7, 1989, the licensee shut down Rancho Seco after approximately 15 years of operation. The licensee defueled the reactor and reduced its staff. In a letter of May 20, 1991, the licensee requested approval of the proposed decommissioning plan for Rancho Seco. The Decommissioning Plan was supplemented on April 15, August 6, and August 31, 1992; January 7, April 7, and April 19, 1993; and March 23, April 28, July 26, and October 26, 1994.

A Notice of Consideration of Issuance of an Order Authorizing Decommissioning a Facility and Opportunity for Hearing related to the requested action was published in the **Federal Register** on March 19, 1992 (57 FR 9577). The Environmental and Resources Conservation Organization (ECO), in its letter of April 20, 1992, provided comments on the proposed action and requested a hearing. On May 8, 1992, the Secretary of the Commission forwarded the request for hearing to the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (ASLB) for further action. The ASLB issued an order which terminated the proceeding by denying standing to ECO (LBP-92-23, dated August 20, 1992). On September 8, 1992, ECO appealed this ruling to the Commission pursuant to 10 CFR 2.714(a). In CLI-93-03, dated March 3, 1993, the Commission remanded certain issues raised by ECO to the ASLB for further consideration. On June 16, 1993, the staff issued its decommissioning safety evaluation and associated environmental assessment. As allowed by CLI-93-03, on July 12, 1993, ECO submitted contentions to the ASLB on (1) adequacy of the NRC environmental assessment, (2) adequacy of the SMUD analysis of a postulated loss of offsite power, (3) adequacy of the funding plan

proposed by SMUD, and (4) adequacy of the staff safety evaluation. On November 30, 1993, the ASLB admitted only the decommissioning funding issue for litigation. On December 15, 1993, the licensee petitioned the Commission for directed certification of the funding issue. On March 1, 1994, (in CLI-94-02), the Commission declined to review the ASLB determinations on the particular issues. On August 1, 1994, ECO reached a settlement with the licensee and filed a notice of withdrawal suggesting that the ASLB terminate the proceeding. A termination order was issued by the ASLB on August 11, 1994. On September 2, 1994, (in CLI-94-14), the Commission concluded that *sua sponte* review of the matter was unwarranted and authorized the staff to issue a decommissioning order.

III

The NRC has reviewed the licensee application with respect to the provisions of the Commission rules and regulations and has found that decommissioning as stated in the Rancho Seco Decommissioning Plan will be consistent with the regulations in 10 CFR Ch. I, and will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public. The bases for these findings are given in the staff safety evaluation previously issued on June 16, 1993, and updated by the supplemental safety evaluation issued concurrently with this Order.

The staff concluded that this Order should contain provisions which: (1) Specify the method by which changes may be made to the FSAR (now referred to as the Defueled Safety Analysis Report or DSAR) and to the decommissioning plan; (2) require periodic updating of the FSAR/DSAR and decommissioning plan; and (3) require the licensee to maintain its fire protection program in accordance with this Order. The staff has discussed these additional provisions with the licensee and they were found to be mutually acceptable.

IV

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 103, 161b, 161i, and 161o, of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (as amended), 10 CFR 50.82, and the Commission Order