

**ADDRESSES:** Address written comments to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, DC 20231, Attention: H. Dieter Hoinkes, Office of Legislative and International Affairs, Crystal Park 2, Suite 902, or by facsimile to (703) 305-8885.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** H. Dieter Hoinkes by telephone at (703) 305-9300, by facsimile at (703) 305-8885, or by mail marked to his attention addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Box 4, Washington, DC 20231.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under section 156 of title 35, United States Code, patent term extensions are issued for eligible patents from the original expiration date of the patent. Since this provision was enacted in 1984, the PTO has issued 195 certificates of patent term extension in accordance with section 156. Under the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"), Public Law 103-465, patents in force on June 8, 1995, are entitled to a patent term of 17 years from grant or 20 years from their earliest filing date, whichever is greater (see 35 U.S.C. 154(c)(1)). It is estimated that 94 patents whose terms were extended under section 156 are entitled to such a longer patent term.

On February 16, 1995, the PTO held a public hearing to elicit comments on what action it should take regarding patents that are entitled to a longer patent term under the URAA and that had previously been extended under section 156. (See 60 Fed. Reg. 3398 (Jan. 17, 1995)). After having considered all the comments, both written and oral, the PTO intends to publish the new expiration date of all patents that fall into the category mentioned above. The determination of the new expiration dates will be based on the following three considerations:

(1) A patent that would have expired under the original 17-year patent term before June 8, 1995, but that has received a patent term extension for a period beyond June 8, 1995, is a patent "in force" on June 8, 1995, even though the rights derived from that patent are circumscribed by section 156(b) of title 35.

(2) The "original expiration date of the patent" referred to in section 156(a) of title 35 is the date on which the patent would have expired if it had not been extended under section 156 to expire at a later date. Therefore, the "original expiration date" of the patents under consideration is the date on which the 20-year term from filing terminates.

(3) The extension already issued on the basis of the 17-year term will be

added to the 20-year term, subject to the limitation imposed by section 156(c)(3) of title 35. That provision limits the period remaining in the term of an extended patent to fourteen years counted from the date on which the product under review received approval for commercial marketing by the relevant regulatory authority.

In addition, it should be noted that under the provisions of section 155 of title 35, 33 patents were extended, each for a period of five years, ten months and 17 days. Of the 32 patents in force on June 8, 1995, 20 are entitled to the longer term of 20 years from their relevant filing date. Their new expiration date, taking into account the term of extension provided by 35 U.S.C. 155, is also intended to be published.

Comments are invited on the course of action the PTO intends to undertake with respect to the new expiration dates of patents in the category discussed above. In issuing its final determination, the PTO will respond to significant comments received.

Dated: March 20, 1995.

**Bruce A. Lehman,**

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce and Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks.*

[FR Doc. 95-7388 Filed 3-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-M

**COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS**

**Adjustment of Import Limits for Certain Cotton, Man-Made Fiber, Silk Blend and Other Vegetable Fiber Textile Products Produced or Manufactured in the People's Republic of China**

March 21, 1995.

**AGENCY:** Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

**ACTION:** Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs reducing limits.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 28, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jennifer Aldrich, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482-4212. For information on the quota status of these limits, refer to the Quota Status Reports posted on the bulletin boards of each Customs port or call (202) 927-6703. For information on embargoes and quota re-openings, call (202) 482-3715.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Authority:** Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as amended; section 204 of the

Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854).

The current limits for certain categories are being reduced for carryforward used during 1994.

A description of the textile and apparel categories in terms of HTS numbers is available in the **CORRELATION:** Textile and Apparel Categories with the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (see **Federal Register** notice 59 FR 65531, published on December 20, 1994). Also see 59 FR 65760, published on December 21, 1994.

The letter to the Commissioner of Customs and the actions taken pursuant to it are not designed to implement all of the provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding dated January 17, 1994, but are designed to assist only in the implementation of certain of its provisions.

**Rita D. Hayes,**

*Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.*

**Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements**

March 21, 1995.

Commissioner of Customs,  
*Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229.*

Dear Commissioner: This directive amends, but does not cancel, the directive issued to you on December 16, 1994, by the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. That directive concerns imports of certain cotton, wool, man-made fiber, silk blend and other vegetable fiber textile products, produced or manufactured in the People's Republic of China and exported during the twelve-month period which began on January 1, 1995 and extends through December 31, 1995.

Effective on March 28, 1995, you are directed to amend the directive dated December 16, 1994 to reduce the limits for the following categories, as provided under the terms of the Memorandum of Understanding dated January 17, 1994 between the Governments of the United States and the People's Republic of China:

Category	Adjusted twelve-month limit <sup>1</sup>
Sublevels in Group I	
340 .....	786,355 dozen of which not more than 405,245 dozen shall be in Category 340-Z. <sup>2</sup>
617 .....	15,431,268 square meters.
634 .....	553,806 dozen.
636 .....	505,085 dozen.
638/639 .....	2,272,199 dozen.
643 .....	463,884 numbers.
670-L <sup>3</sup> .....	14,276,734 kilograms.

Category	Adjusted twelve-month limit <sup>1</sup>
870 .....	27,553,177 kilograms.

<sup>1</sup> The limits have not been adjusted to account for any imports exported after December 31, 1994.

<sup>2</sup> Category 340-Z: only HTS numbers 6205.20.2015, 6205.20.2020, 6205.20.2050 and 6205.20.2060.

<sup>3</sup> Category 670-L: only HTS numbers 4202.12.8030, 4202.12.8070, 4202.92.3020, 4202.92.3030 and 4202.92.9025.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that these actions fall within the foreign affairs exception to the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Rita D. Hayes,

*Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.*

[FR Doc. 95-7423 Filed 3-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-F

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Air Force

#### USAF Scientific Advisory Board Meeting

The New World Vistas Information Applications Panel of the USAF Scientific Advisory Board will meet on 25-26 April 1995 at the Air Intelligence Agency, San Antonio, TX from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

The purpose of this meeting will be to provide information applications assessments on issues relating to New World Vistas.

The meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with Section 552b of Title 5, United States Code, specifically subparagraphs (1) and (4) thereof.

For further information, contact the Scientific Advisory Board Secretariat at (703) 697-4648.

**Patsy J. Conner,**

*Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 95-7456 Filed 3-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3910-01-P

### Department of the Army Corps of Engineers

#### Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Rancho Palos Verdes Shore Protection Feasibility Study

**AGENCY:** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District, DOD.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The Los Angeles District intends to prepare an Environmental

Impact Statement (EIS) to support a cost-shared feasibility study with the City of Rancho Palos Verdes, California for shoreline protection along the City of Rancho Palos Verdes coastline. The purpose of the feasibility study is to evaluate alternatives for reducing erosion, sedimentation, and turbidity along the Rancho Palos Verdes coastline. A Corps-recommended plan would include construction of shore-protection measures to reduce ongoing damages to the shoreline from coastal erosion by storm, wave, and tidal events, and continued deposition of eroded materials in the adjacent marine environment. The EIS will analyze potential impacts of the recommended plan and a range of alternatives on the environment.

**ADDRESSES:** Commander, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District, Environmental Support Section, P.O. Box 2711, Los Angeles, CA 90053-2325.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Rey Farve, Project Ecologist, (213) 894-5510, or Ms. Anna Zacher, Study Manager, (213) 894-2028.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The study area is located along the coastline of the Palos Verdes Peninsula in the City of Rancho Palos Verdes. The topography consists primarily of a shoreline backed by steep slopes below a pronounced sea cliff, and is also characterized by rocky headlands, and gravelly, narrow beaches.

Previous shoreline surveys indicate that the nearshore, in the recent past, has experienced significant accretion and a seaward advance of more than 150 feet. Since 1956, when a contemporary landslide began, the shoreline has been accreted and extended by earth movement into the surf zone.

Thousands of tons of material have been washed away from the shoreline and deposited in the nearshore basin, offshore, or carried downcoast. As much as 30 inches of sediment have been deposited over rocky bottom areas which historically supported a rich and diverse intertidal and subtidal biological community near the toe of the landslide berm. Up to 6 inches of sediment have been measured, in certain areas, as far offshore as 300 feet.

#### Proposed Action and Alternatives

The Los Angeles District will investigate and evaluate all reasonable alternatives to address methods to reduce shore erosion, sedimentation, and turbidity along the Rancho Palos Verdes coastline. In addition to the NO ACTION alternative, the construction of structures such as revetments or gabions along the shoreline or breakwaters or

dikes in the nearshore would be evaluated as to their feasibility as shore protection measures. It should be noted that the Corps will not analyze or address a solution to the landslide problem, and will not develop Corps position on whether the landslide is stabilizing, or is expected to stabilize, based on shore protection.

#### Scoping Process

The scoping process is on-going and has involved preliminary coordination with Federal, State, and local agencies. A public scoping meeting is scheduled at the Fred Hesse Community Park Building, 29301 Hawthorne Blvd., Rancho Palos Verdes, CA at 7:30 pm, April 26, 1995. The purpose of the meeting is to gather information from the general public or interested organizations about issues and concerns they would like to see addressed in the EIS. Comments may be delivered in writing or verbally at the meeting or sent in writing to the Los Angeles District at the address given above.

**Kenneth L. Denton,**

*Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 95-7457 Filed 3-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-KF-M

### Department of the Navy

#### Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Conversion and Redevelopment); Community Redevelopment Authority and Available Surplus Buildings and Land at Military Installations Designated for Closure: Naval Training Center, Orlando, FL—Herndon Annex

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DOD.  
**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This Notice provides information regarding the redevelopment authority that has been established to plan the reuse of the Naval Training Center, Herndon Annex, Orlando, FL, the surplus property that is located at that base closure site, and the timely election by the redevelopment authority to proceed under new procedures set forth in the Base Closure Community Redevelopment and Homeless Assistance Act of 1994.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John J. Kane, Deputy Division Director, Department of the Navy, Real Estate Operations, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, 200 Stovall Street, Alexandria, VA 22332-2300, telephone (703) 325-0474; or E. R. Nelson Real Estate Division, Southern, Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, 2155 Eagle Drive, North Charleston, SC