

labeling requirements for termiticide and flammability. We estimate that there will be approximately 300 respondents spending an average of 5 hours to meet these requirements.

The sixth component is the generic burden associated with future policy changes. Over the period of clearance we expect to modify reporting requirements to better serve the needs of the applicants; we have provided 50,000 hours for this contingency.

Respondents: Respondents includes applicants for: (1) pesticide registrations for new never-before-registered chemicals, (2) "reduced-risk" new active ingredients, and (3) monitoring and reporting on labeling activities. Respondents also include trainers (organizations and individuals) for monitoring and reporting on the Training Verification Program.

Estimated No. of Respondents: 38,000.
Estimated No. of Responses per Respondent: 1.4.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 199,000 hours.

Frequency of Collection: On occasion.

Send comments regarding the burden estimate, or any other aspect of the information collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden, (please refer to EPA ICR #0277.10 and OMB #2070-0060) to:

Sandy Farmer, EPA ICR #0277.10, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Information Policy Branch—2136, 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460 and

Tim Hunt, OMB #2070-0060, Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20503.

Dated: March 20, 1995.

Paul Lapsley,

Director, Regulatory Management Division.
[FR Doc. 95-7354 Filed 3-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[FRL-5177-4]

Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) responses to Agency PRA clearance requests.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sandy Farmer (202) 260-2740, please refer to the EPA ICR No.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Responses to Agency PRA Clearance Requests

OMB Approvals

EPA ICR No. 0820.06; Hazardous Waste Generator Standards; was approved 02/23/95; OMB No. 2050-0035; expires 02/28/98.

EPA ICR No. 1487.05; Cooperative Agreements and Superfund State Contracts for Superfund Response Actions; was approved 02/03/95; OMB No. 2010-0020; expires 02/28/98.

EPA ICR No. 1391.03; Information Request for State Revolving Fund Program; was approved 02/24/95; OMB No. 2040-0118; expires 02/28/98.

OMB Disapprovals

EPA ICR No. 1667.01; Public Information and Confidentiality Regulations; was disapproved 02/17/95.

EPA ICR No. 1693.01; Plant-Pesticides Subject to the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act; was disapproved 01/24/95.

Dated: March 17, 1995.

Paul Lapsley,

Director, Regulatory Management Division.
[FR Doc. 95-7357 Filed 3-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

[ER-FRL-4721-5]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared February 20, 1995 Through February 24, 1995 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 260-5076.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 10, 1995 (59 FR 16807).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-FHW-E40757-AL Rating EC2, Eastern Pleasure Island Hurricane Evacuation Route Construction, AL-182 in Orange Beach to CR-95 near CR-20 (on the mainland) and CR-95 near CR-20 to I-10, Funding and US Coast Guard Bridge and COE Section 404 Permits Issuance, Baldwin County, AL.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns that the projects will impact between 66.7 and 75 acres of wetlands and that the

documents do not evaluate impacts to terrestrial resources.

ERP No. D-FHW-G40140-TX Rating EC2, Grand Parkway Segment (TX-99) Improvements Project, from TX-225 to I-10, Funding, COE Section 404 Permit and Right-of-Way Grant, Harris and Chambers Counties, TX.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the proposal and requested that the additional information needed in the final EIS primarily focus on project alternatives, cumulative impacts, a current air quality analysis, and environmental justice.

ERP No. D-FHW-K53007-CA Rating EC2, Alameda Railroad Corridor Consolidated Project, Construction from Downtown Los Angeles to the Badger Avenue Bridge/CA-91, Funding, COE Section 404 Permit and ICC Approval, Los Angeles County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns primarily with the draft EIS's assessment of the project's potential air quality impacts and the mitigation measures described in the project documentation. EPA commended the inclusion of a worst-case emergency response plan for hazardous material incidents, and pollution prevention features to reuse/recycle waste material from existing rail lines.

ERP No. DS-FTA-K51035-CA Rating EC2, Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART) Transportation Improvements, San Francisco to San Francisco International Airport Extension, Updated and Additional Information, Approval, Funding, COE Section 404 and Possible FHWA Encroachment Permits Issuance, San Mateo County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns due to potential impacts to wetlands, endangered species, and groundwater that is a drinking water source for area residents. EPA asked that the final EIS provide more information on issues associated with the Clean Water Act Section 404 permit, including the least environmentally damaging practicable alternative; and potential groundwater impacts from project construction and operation including mitigation and monitoring for adverse impacts.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-L65173-OR Buzzard Project Area Timber Sale and Road Construction, Implementation, Umatilla National Forest, Walla Walla Ranger District, Union and Wallowa Counties, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns based on

uncertain sediment output from the proposed timber harvest and potential effects on water quality and the lack of a monitoring feedback loop.

ERP No. F-FHW-D40261-WV US 19/ Corridor L Improvements from Nicholas County High School to I-79, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Nicholas and Braxton Counties, WV.

Summary: EPA believed that the mitigative measures to offset unavoidable impacts to aquatic and terrestrial resources are insufficient and that noise and visual impact mitigation are not sufficiently described.

ERP No. F-USA-A11072-00 Theater Missile Defense (TMD) Extended Test Range, Demonstration and Operation, Missile Flight Test, Implementation, United States, Republic of the Marshall Islands and Wake Island, Pacific.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns that the final EIS did not properly address alternatives analysis, noise issues, hazardous waste issues, and response to federal and state comments.

Regulations

ERP No. R-DOA-A99201-00 7 CFR Part 335, Plant Pests: Introduction of Non-Indigenous Organisms—Proposed Rule.

Summary: The proposed regulation on the importation of non-indigenous species does not indicate how the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) intends to deal with the issue of the importation of genetically altered species or with the issue of overlapping authority between APHIS and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) with regard to the importation of species used in pesticidal activities. The proposed regulation also does not clearly define the standards which APHIS will apply when making permitting decisions and does not clearly define the information which will be required in a permit application.

Dated: March 21, 1995.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 95-7359 Filed 3-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

[ER-FRL-4721-4]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 260-5076 OR (202) 260-5075.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed March 13, 1995 Through March 17, 1995 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 950091, FINAL EIS, BLM, CO, Royal Gorge Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Canon City District, several counties, CO, Due: April 26, 1995, Contact: Dave Taliaferro (719) 539-7289.

EIS No. 950092, DRAFT EIS, FTA, PR, Tren Urbano Transit Project, Improvement, San Juan Metropolitan Area, Funding, NPDES Permit, US Coast Guard Bridge Permit and COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, PR, Due: May 08, 1995, Contact: Roger Krahl (404) 347-7875.

EIS No. 950093, FINAL EIS, AFS, UT, Gardner Canyon Gypsum Open Pit Mine, Development and Operation, Special Use Permit and Possible COE Section 404 Permit, Mount Nebo Wilderness Area, Uinta National Forest, Juab County, UT, Due: April 24, 1995, Contact: Mark Sensibaugh (801) 798-3571.

EIS No. 950094, DRAFT EIS, AFS, WA, Thunder Mountain Fire Recovery and Salvage Project, Implementation, Okanogan National Forest, Tonasket and Methow Valley Ranger Districts, Okanogan County, UT, Due: May 08, 1995, Contact: Don Rose (509) 486-5109.

EIS No. 950095, FINAL EIS, COE, CA, Petaluma River Flood Control Improvements, Implementation, City of Petaluma, Sonoma County, CA, Due: April 24, 1995, Contact: Gary Flickinger (415) 744-3341.

EIS No. 950096, DRAFT EIS, EPA, CA, Joint Water Pollution Control Plant (JWPCP), Full Secondary Treatment Upgrade Project, Construction and Funding, City of Carson, Los Angeles County, CA, Due: May 08, 1995, Contact: Elizabeth Borowiec (415) 744-1948.

EIS No. 950097, FINAL EIS, UAF, PA, Institute for Advanced Science and Technology (IAST) Site Selection and Construction, Funding, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, Due: April 24, 1995, Contact: Terry Armstrong (210) 536-3869.

EIS No. 950098, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, FTA, MA, Old Colony Railroad Rehabilitation Project, Transit Improvements, New and Updated Information, concerning construction of Greenbush Line Corridor, MA, Due: May 22, 1995, Contact: Mary Beth Mello (617) 494-2055.

EIS No. 950099, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, FHW, CA, Benicia-Martinez Bridge Project, Transportation Improvements, Updated Information, I-680 from CA-4 in Martinez to I-80 in Fairfield, I-80 from Red Top Road to CA-12 east in Fairfield, I-780 from the I-680 Interchange in Benicia to Lemon Street in Vallejo, Funding, US

Coast Guard Bridge Permit, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Contra Costa and Solano Counties, CA, Due: May 12, 1995, Contact: John Schultz (916) 498-5041.

EIS No. 950100, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, COE, IN, Little Calumet River Multipurpose Project, Additional Information, Flood Control and Flood Protection, Lake and Porter Counties, IN, Due: May 08, 1995, Contact: Philip B. Moy (312) 886-0451.

Dated: March 21, 1995.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 95-7358 Filed 3-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

[FRL-5178-3]

Clean Water Act Section 303(d): Availability of List Submission and Proposed Decision

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the availability of lists submitted to EPA by California pursuant to Clean Water Act section 303(d)(2) as well as EPA's proposed approval decision, and requests public comment. Section 303(d)(2) requires that states submit and EPA approve or disapprove lists of waters for which existing technology-based pollution controls are not stringent enough to attain or maintain state water quality standards and for which total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) must be prepared. EPA is providing this opportunity for the public to review California's lists because California did not provide adequate opportunities for public participation in the development of the lists, as required by Public Participation regulations (40 CFR part 25). EPA will consider public comments in reaching its final decision on California's lists. **DATES:** Comments must be submitted to EPA on or before May 23, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments on the proposed decision should be sent to David Smith, Watershed Protection Branch (W-3-2), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, (415) 744-2012. Copies of the California lists and report explaining the rationale for EPA's proposed decision can be obtained by writing or calling Mr. Smith at the above address. Underlying documentation comprising the record for this decision is available for public inspection at the above address.