

- Energy accounting and analysis;
- Life-cycle cost methodologies;
- Fuel supply and pricing; and,
- Instrumentation for energy surveys

and audits.

(2 days. No tuition fee.)

Designing Low Energy Building Workshop for Non-Residential Buildings

Formerly known as the Passive Solar Design Strategy Workshop, the course addresses whole building design, stressing the integration of daylighting, energy efficient equipment, and passive solar design strategies. Case studies and analysis for various climates are included.

(2 days. No tuition fee.)

Designing Low Energy Building Workshop for Residential Buildings

For personnel involved in the Federal residential building design process, this course can be tailored for your installations and conducted there, as requested and approved.

(1 day. No tuition fee.)

Life Cycle Costing/A Simplified Energy Analysis Method (ASEAM) Workshop

Basic for all energy improvement projects, the first two days of this course include instruction in LCC economic evaluation methods and an introduction to LCC software use in project design, sizing, and selection. Retrofit case examples and class team problems will be explored. The third day includes hands-on instruction in the use of the ASEAM software tool for simulating the impact of energy retrofits in buildings. A working knowledge of IBM-compatible microcomputers is required.

(3 days. No tuition fee.)

Federal Energy Decision Screening (FEDS) Workshop

Designed for Federal agency-level and installation-level energy managers who want to identify cost-effective site-specific energy system revitalization projects. The workshop includes hands-on use of the FEDS level-1 analytical software for site project screening and prioritizing and FEDS level-2 software for analyzing specific improvements.

(2½ days. No tuition fee.)

Federal Relighting Initiative (FRI) Workshop

The FRI workshop is targeted at Federal personnel responsible for lighting analysis, procurement, planning, and the installation of life-cycle cost-effective technology. The objective is to provide guidance on energy-efficient lighting consistent with

other facility lighting considerations, quality and cost, and whole building analysis. Topics include:

- Basic lighting concepts; a comprehensive process for Federal relighting project development and implementation;
- Application of the Federal Lighting Expert (FLEX) System and other analytical software tools; and,
- The use of professional lighting design services.

An additional half-day is for hands-on problem solving using software tools. (2½ days. No tuition fee.)

Energy Savings Performance (ESP) Contracting

EPAct streamlined the process for awarding shared energy savings contracts through the use of ESP contracting. This course places the ESP approach in the context of other financing options and will familiarize technical facility management personnel and procurement specialists with this form of third party financing for performing energy saving retrofits on buildings. Course materials include model solicitation on diskette. Topics include: ESP concepts and statutory basis, solicitation design and preparation, proposal evaluation, and contract implementation. Students will look at case histories and discuss the selection of candidate ESP project sites and receive hands-on help for specific projects they bring to the class. (4 days. No tuition fee.)

Issued in Washington, DC on February 21, 1995.

Christine A. Ervin,

Assistant Secretary, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy.

[FR Doc. 95-7365 Filed 3-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER95-706-000, et al.]

Central Hudson Gas and Electric Corporation, et al., Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

March 17, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Central Hudson Gas and Electric Corporation

[Docket No. ER95-706-000]

Take notice that on March 6, 1995, Central Hudson Gas and Electric Corporation (CHG&E), tendered for filing a Service Agreement between CHG&E and CNG Power Services

Corporation. The terms and conditions of service under this Agreement are made pursuant to CHG&E's FERC Electric Rate Schedule, Original Volume 1 (Power Sales Tariff) accepted by the Commission in Docket No. ER94-1662. CHG&E also has requested waiver of the 60-day notice provision pursuant to 18 CFR 35.11.

A copy of this filing has been served on the Public Service Commission of the State of New York.

Comment date: April 3, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. Central Hudson Gas and Electric Corporation

[Docket No. ER95-707-000]

Take notice that on March 6, 1995, Central Hudson Gas and Electric Corporation (CHG&E), tendered for filing a Service Agreement between CHG&E and Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation. The terms and conditions of service under this Agreement are made pursuant to CHG&E's FERC Electric Rate Schedule, Original Volume 1 ("Power Sales Tariff") accepted by the Commission in Docket No. ER94-1662. CHG&E also has requested waiver of the 60-day notice provision pursuant to 18 CFR 35.11.

A copy of this filing has been served on the Public Service Commission of the State of New York.

Comment date: April 3, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. Central Hudson Gas and Electric Corporation

[Docket No. ER95-708-000]

Take notice that on March 6, 1995, Central Hudson Gas and Electric Corporation (CHG&E), tendered for filing a Service Agreement between CHG&E and Citizens Lehman Power Sales. The terms and conditions of service under this Agreement are made pursuant to CHG&E's FERC Electric Rate Schedule, Original Volume 1 (Power Sales Tariff) accepted by the Commission in Docket No. ER94-1662. CHG&E also has requested waiver of the 60-day notice provision pursuant to 18 CFR 35.11.

A copy of this filing has been served on the Public Service Commission of the State of New York.

Comment date: April 3, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Arizona Public Service Company

[Docket No. ER95-710-000]

Take notice that on March 7, 1995, Arizona Public Service Company (APS),

tendered for filing a request for CIAC treatment of certain facilities which are required to be replaced pursuant to the terms of the Mead-Phoenix Project Westwing Substation Interconnection Agreement (Agreement). The parties to the Agreement are APS, The United States of America, Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District, Department of Water and Power of the City of Los Angeles, Nevada Power Company, M-S-R Public Power Agency, Southern California Public Power Authority, Tucson Electric Power Company, and City of Vernon.

In addition to all the Parties to this Agreement copies of this filing have been served upon El Paso Electric Company, Public Service Company of New Mexico, the Arizona Corporation Commission, the New Mexico Public Service Commission, the Public Utility Commission of Texas, and the Nevada Public Service Commission.

Comment date: April 3, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. Entergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-712-000]

Take notice that on March 7, 1995, Entergy Services, Inc. (Entergy Services), on behalf of Arkansas Power & Light Company, Gulf States Utilities Company, Louisiana Power & Light Company, Mississippi Power & Light Company, and New Orleans Public Service Inc., tendered for filing a Transmission Service Agreement (TSA) between Entergy Services and Entergy Power, Inc. (EPI). Entergy Services states that the TSA sets out the transmission arrangements under which the Entergy Operating Companies will provide EPI non-firm transmission service under Entergy Services Transmission Service Tariff.

Comment date: April 3, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. American Electric Power Service Corporation

[Docket No. ER95-713-000]

Take notice that on March 8, 1995, the American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEPSC), tendered for filing, as initial Rate Schedules, Agreements dated December 1, 1994, and January 1, 1995, between AEPSC, as agent for the AEP System Operating Companies and the following companies: InterCoast Power Marketing Company and CNG Power Services Corporation (Marketers).

The Agreements provide the Marketers access to the AEP System for short-term transmission service. The

parties request an effective date of March 9, 1995.

A copy of the filing was served upon the state regulatory commissions of Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Ohio, Tennessee, Virginia and West Virginia, and the Marketers.

Comment date: April 3, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-714-000]

Take notice that on March 8, 1995, Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. (O&R), tendered for filing an amendment to § 3 of the Agreement between Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. and Long Island Lighting Company (LILCO) dated April 27, 1976.

O&R states that a copy of this filing has been served by mail upon LILCO.

Comment date: April 3, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

8. Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-715-000]

Take notice that on March 8, 1995, Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. (Orange and Rockland), tendered for filing an extension dated December 1, 1994, to an agreement between Orange and Rockland and New York Power Authority (Authority) for the sale of system capacity and/or energy by Orange and Rockland to Authority.

Orange and Rockland requests waiver of the notice requirements of Part 35.3 of the Commission's Regulations so that the proposed rate schedule can be made effective December 1, 1994 in accordance with the anticipated utilization by the parties.

Orange and Rockland states that a copy of its filing was served on New York Power Authority.

Comment date: April 3, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. Gordonsville Energy, L.P. (Unit I and Unit II)

[Docket Nos. QF92-166-005, QF92-167-005 and EL95-32-000]

Take notice that on March 10, 1995, Gordonsville Energy, L.P., (Gordonsville) tendered for filing a Petition For Temporary Waiver of the Commission's Regulations under the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (PURPA). Gordonsville requests the Commission to temporarily waive the operating and efficiency standards for qualifying cogenerating facilities as set forth in Section 292.205, 18 CFR 292.205 of the Commission's Regulations implementing Section 201

of PURPA, as amended, with respect to its cogeneration facilities located near Gordonsville, Virginia. Specifically, Gordonsville requests waiver of the operating and efficiency standards for the calendar years of 1994 and 1995.

Comment date: April 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

10. Birchwood Power Partners, L.P.

[Docket No. QF93-126-001]

On March 13, 1995, Birchwood Power Partners, L.P. (Applicant), of 900 Ashwood Parkway, Suite 500, Atlanta, Georgia 30338-4780, submitted for filing an application for recertification of a facility as a qualifying cogeneration facility pursuant to Section 292.207(b) of the Commission's Regulations. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

According to Applicant, the topping-cycle cogeneration facility will be located on Birchwood Drive, in Sealston, Virginia. The Commission previously certified the facility as a qualifying cogeneration facility in Birchwood Power Partners, L.P., 65 FERC ¶62,048 (1993). The instant request for recertification is due to a change in ownership of the facility.

Comment date: April 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-7309 Filed 3-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P